MATLAB. 6
Numerical Methods
First consider the ode
$y^{\prime}=f(t, y)=2 * t * y^{\wedge} 2$,
$y(0)=0.1$.
We analyze this using the Euler, Improved Euler and Runge-Kutta methods.
First we make an M-file for the right hand side.
******************************************
function $w=f(t, y)$
$\mathrm{w}=2$ * t * $\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 2$;

Next save the M-files (eul.m, rk2.m, and rk4.m) for each of the three methods.
The syntax for the Euler method (eul.m) is [t,y]=eul(@f,tspan,y0,stepsize),
where $f$ is the name of the function m-file, tspan is the vector [t0,tfinal] containing the initial and final time conditions, y0 is the value of the initial condition, and stepsize is the step size, $h$, used in the method.
The syntax for the Improved Euler method is $[t, y]=r k 2(@ f, t s p a n, y 0$, stepsize).
The syntax for the Runga-Kutta method is $[t, y]=r k 4(@ f, t s p a n, y 0, s t e p s i z e)$.

To approximate the solution to the above equation with the various methods
over the interval $[0,3]$ with step size $\mathrm{h}=3 / 20$.
**************************************************
[teul,yeul]=eul(@f, $[0,3], 0.1,3 / 20)$;
$[$ trk2,yrk2]=rk2(@f,[0,3],0.1,3/20);
[trk4,yrk4]=rk4(@f,[0,3],0.1,3/20);
plot(teul,yeul,trk2,yrk2,'--',trk4,yrk4,'o')
相
Euler is given by a solid curve,Improved Euler by '--'s and
Runge-Kutta by 'o's.

ASSIGNMENT 6:

1. Let $f(t, y)$ be as above. Write an m-file for f. Plot the approximate solutions to the above differential equation given by eul, rk2,
and rk4 on the interval $[0,3]$ with step size $3 / 20$.

The actual solution to the differential equation is $y(t)=1 /\left(10-t^{\wedge} 2\right)$.
We will examine how accurate our approximations are. To find the distance between
$y(t)$ and the Euler approximation on the
interval $[0,3]$ for a given step size h type:
*****************************
$\mathrm{t}=0: \mathrm{h}: 3$;
$y=1 . /\left(10-t . \wedge^{\wedge} 2\right)$;
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}$ ';
\% Here we have transposed y from a row vector to a column vector.
[teul,yeul]=eul(@f,[0,3],0.1,h);
max(abs(y-yeul))
$\qquad$

Here
abs(y-yeul)=[abs(y(1)-yeul(1)),..., abs(y(3/h)-yeul(3/h))]'
and max(abs(y-yeul)) is the maximum of the $3 / \mathrm{h}$ components.
The theory predicts that
(I) $\max (\operatorname{abs}(y-$ yeul $))<C *(h)$
for some constant $C$ and each $h$.
2. Set $\mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{~h})=\max (\mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{y}$-yeul)) / h . Compute $\mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{~h})$ for $\mathrm{h}=.01, \mathrm{~h}=.001, \mathrm{~h}=.0001$, and $\mathrm{h}=.00001$. Does $\mathrm{C} 1(\mathrm{~h})$ grow as h gets small or tend to level off?

Is this consistent with (I)?
3. Set $C 2(h)=\max (a b s(y-y r k 2)) / h^{\wedge} 2$ for the Improved Euler method.

Compute C2(h) for the same values of $h$.
What should C3(n) be for the Runge-Kutta method? Compute C3(h).

