

• Last time  $\rightarrow$  Inner products, Lengths, Angles, Orthogonality

$$\theta = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|}\right) \quad \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$$

$\rightarrow$  Orthogonal sets

Projection of a vector onto a vector / a line

Ex 1: Verify that  $\vec{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{u}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$  is an orthogonal set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Recall two main claims from the end of last class:

Claim 1: Let  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$  be an orthogonal basis for a subspace

$W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For any  $\vec{y} \in W$ , we have

$$\vec{y} = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_1}{\vec{u}_1 \cdot \vec{u}_1} \vec{u}_1 + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_2}{\vec{u}_2 \cdot \vec{u}_2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_k}{\vec{u}_k \cdot \vec{u}_k} \vec{u}_k$$

Claim 2: The projection of  $\vec{y}$  onto a nonzero vector  $\vec{u}$  (or a line  $L$  containing  $\vec{u}$ ) is given by

$$\hat{\vec{y}} = \text{proj}_L \vec{y} = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}}{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u}} \vec{u}$$

Later today we shall have a result generalizing both claims.

Note that the coefficients in both claims look very alike.

Def:  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$  is an orthonormal set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  iff it is an orthogonal set and each vector is a unit vector (i.e.  $\vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_j = 1$  for  $i=j$  and  $\vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ )

An orthonormal set  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$  is called an orthonormal basis of a subspace  $W$  if  $W = \text{span}\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$ .

Ex 2: Construct an orthonormal basis  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\vec{v}_i$  in the direction of  $\vec{u}_i$  from Ex 1.

$$\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{14}}, \quad \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \vec{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{42}}$$

## Lecture #24

A convenient way to encode  $k$  vectors  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is by an  $n \times k$  matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \dots & \vec{v}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Claim:  $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$  form an orthonormal set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  iff

$$A^T \cdot A = I_k$$

! Discuss why!

Claim: In the above setup (i.e. columns of  $A$  form orthonormal set), we have:

- 1)  $\|A\vec{x}\| = \|\vec{x}\|$  for any  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .
- 2)  $(A\vec{x}) \cdot (A\vec{y}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$  for any  $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ .
- 3)  $\underbrace{(A\vec{x}) \cdot (A\vec{y}) = 0}_{A\vec{x} \& A\vec{y} \text{ - orthogonal}} \iff \underbrace{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = 0}_{\vec{x} \& \vec{y} \text{ - orthogonal}}$

It is instructive to discuss the proofs (for homework problems)

$$2) \underbrace{(A\vec{x}) \cdot (A\vec{y})}_{\text{dot product}} = \underbrace{(A\vec{x})^T \cdot (A\vec{y})}_{\text{matrix product}} \stackrel{(AB)^T = B^T A^T}{=} \vec{x}^T \underbrace{A^T A}_{I_k} \vec{y} = \underbrace{\vec{x}^T \cdot \vec{y}}_{\text{matrix product}} = \underbrace{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}}_{\text{dot product}}$$

3) follows from 2)

1) follows from 2) by taking  $\vec{y} = \vec{x}$

Corollary: In the above setup (columns of  $A$  - orthonormal set), the linear map  $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{x} \mapsto A\vec{x}$ , preserves lengths & inner products, hence, also angles

## Lecture #24

Ex 3: Let  $W$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  spanned by  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .  
Find its orthogonal complement  $W^\perp$ .

First, according to Ex 1,  $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$  is in  $W^\perp$ , hence, any multiple of  $\vec{v}_3$  as well.

Now, let us show that any element in  $W^\perp$  is a multiple of  $\vec{v}_3$ .

Recall:  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3\}$  - orthogonal set in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \Rightarrow$  lin. indep.  $\Rightarrow$  basis

Any  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  may be written as

$$\vec{y} = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_1}{\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_1} \vec{v}_1 + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_2}{\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_2} \vec{v}_2 + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_3}{\vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3} \vec{v}_3 \quad (\text{Claim 1})$$

Hence, if  $\vec{y} \in W^\perp \Rightarrow$  1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> summands are zero  $\Rightarrow \vec{y} = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{v}_3}{\vec{v}_3 \cdot \vec{v}_3} \vec{v}_3$ .

So:  $W^\perp$  is a line containing  $\vec{v}_3$

Using the same reasoning, we get:

Claim: If  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  - orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  
and  $W = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ , then  
 $W^\perp = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_{k+1}, \vec{v}_{k+2}, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ .

In the rest of today's class, we shall discuss the projection of  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto a subspace  $W$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , denoted  $\hat{\vec{y}}$  or  $\text{proj}_W \vec{y}$ . In other words, we look for a decomposition

$$\vec{y} = \hat{\vec{y}} + \vec{z} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{array}{l} 1) \vec{z} \in W^\perp \\ 2) \hat{\vec{y}} \in W \end{array}$$

## Lecture #24

Claim: Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$  be an orthogonal basis of  $W$ . Then for any  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , have:

$$\hat{\vec{y}} = \text{proj}_W \vec{y} = \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_1}{\vec{u}_1 \cdot \vec{u}_1} \vec{u}_1 + \dots + \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_k}{\vec{u}_k \cdot \vec{u}_k} \vec{u}_k$$

Note: 1) For  $k=1$ , this recovers Claim 2 from page 1.

2) For  $k=n$  (i.e.  $W = \mathbb{R}^n$ ), this recovers Claim 1 from page 1,

since  $\vec{y} = \text{proj}_W \vec{y}$  whenever  $\vec{y} \in W$ .

Ex 4: Let  $W$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  spanned by  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Compute  $\text{proj}_W \vec{y}$  for  $\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$\hat{\vec{y}} = \text{proj}_W \vec{y} = \frac{13}{14} \vec{v}_1 + \frac{2}{3} \vec{v}_2$$

Claim ("Best approximation theorem"): If  $W$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\hat{\vec{y}}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\vec{y}$  onto  $W$ , then

$$\|\vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}}\| < \|\vec{y} - \vec{w}\| \text{ for any } \vec{w} \in W \text{ distinct from } \hat{\vec{y}}$$

Ex 5: In the context of Ex 4, find the distance from  $\vec{y}$  to  $W$ .

$$\|\vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}}\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{13}{14} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \dots < \text{compute!}$$

Finally, when  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$  is not just orthogonal, but orthonormal, get:

Claim: If  $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_k\}$  - orthonormal basis of  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\hat{\vec{y}} = \text{proj}_W \vec{y} = (\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_1) \vec{u}_1 + \dots + (\vec{y} \cdot \vec{u}_k) \vec{u}_k = A A^T \vec{y},$$

$$\text{where } A = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \vec{u}_2 & \dots & \vec{u}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

## Lecture #24

Two instances of products of  $A, A^T$  from today:

1) if columns of  $A$  form an orthonormal set, then  
 $A^T \cdot A = I$

2) if columns of  $A$  form an orthonormal set, and  
 $W$ -subspace spanned by them, then:

$$\text{proj}_W \vec{y} = AA^T \vec{y}$$

Remark: If  $A$  is square, i.e.  $A = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} \vec{u}_1 & \dots & \vec{u}_n \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} \updownarrow n \\ \leftarrow n \end{array}$

whose columns form an orthonormal set  $\Rightarrow$  columns form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow A$ -invertible.

Then, multiplying  $A^T A = I$  by  $A^{-1}$  on the right, we get

$A^T = A^{-1}$   $\leftarrow$  such matrices are called orthogonal

Multiplying this by  $A$  on the left, we also get

$$AA^T = I$$