

- Last time → Inner product spaces
 - Recall 4 axioms
 - Recall two examples with $V = \mathbb{P}_n$ or $C[a, b]$.
 - Can apply all results for \mathbb{R}^n from Section 6!
- Finish pages 5-6 of Lecture #26
- § 7.1 Diagonalization of symmetric matrices

Recall that a matrix A is symmetric iff $A^T = A$

(in particular, if $A \in \text{Mat}_{m \times n}$ $\Rightarrow A^T \in \text{Mat}_{n \times m} \Rightarrow m=n$).

Ex 1: Let $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}^{\text{sym}}$ denote the subset of $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}$ consisting of symmetric ones

1) Verify that $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}^{\text{sym}}$ is a subspace of $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}$

2) Find a basis of $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}^{\text{sym}}$

3) Compute $\dim \text{Mat}_{n \times n}^{\text{sym}}$

Ex 2: Diagonalize (if possible) symmetric matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -6 & 4 \\ -6 & 2 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_3) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & -6 & 4 \\ -6 & 2-\lambda & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda) \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} 2-\lambda & -2 \\ -2 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix}}_{-10+\lambda+\lambda^2} + 6 \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} -6 & -2 \\ 4 & 3-\lambda \end{vmatrix}}_{6\lambda+26} + 4 \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} -6 & 2-\lambda \\ 4 & -2 \end{vmatrix}}_{4+4\lambda} \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 63\lambda + 162 = -(\lambda^3 - 63\lambda - 162) \end{aligned}$$

Note: -3 is a root of this polynomial as $-27 + 189 - 162 = 0$

$\Rightarrow \lambda + 3$ divides $\lambda^3 - 63\lambda - 162$ and explicitly get:

$$\lambda^3 - 63\lambda - 162 = (\lambda + 3)(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda - 54) = (\lambda + 3)(\lambda + 6)(\lambda - 9)$$

So: eigenvalues are $\lambda = -3, -6, 9$

Lecture #27

► (Continuation of Ex 2)

As there are 3 distinct eigenvalues, each corresponding eigenspace is 1-dm and we need to choose nonzero el-s in each.

$$\lambda = -3 \Rightarrow A + 3I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -6 & 4 \\ -6 & 5 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{choose } \vec{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = -6 \Rightarrow A + 6I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -6 & 4 \\ -6 & 8 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{choose } \vec{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = 9 \Rightarrow A - 9I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -8 & -6 & 4 \\ -6 & -7 & -2 \\ 4 & -2 & -12 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{choose } \vec{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So: $A = P \cdot D \cdot P^{-1}$ with $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$

BUT: the matrix P from the above prop has a nice property, namely, its columns form an orthogonal set (hence, an orthogonal basis) of \mathbb{R}^3 . Indeed

$$\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2 = \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = \vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v}_3 = 0.$$

From previous discussions we know that sometimes it's useful to have an orthonormal rather than orthogonal basis

So: choose $\vec{u}_1 := \frac{\vec{v}_1}{\|\vec{v}_1\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 \\ 2/3 \\ 2/3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{u}_2 := \frac{\vec{v}_2}{\|\vec{v}_2\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/3 \\ -2/3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{u}_3 := \frac{\vec{v}_3}{\|\vec{v}_3\|} = \begin{pmatrix} 2/3 \\ -2/3 \\ 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$

Then: $A = P \cdot D \cdot P^{-1}$ with $D = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$ and $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1/3 & 2/3 & 2/3 \\ 2/3 & 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 2/3 & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{pmatrix}$

||! P-orthogonal
P · D · P⁻¹

Lecture #27

Def: An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be orthogonally diagonalizable if there is a diagonal matrix D and an orthogonal matrix P :

$$A = PDP^{-1} = PDP^T$$

\uparrow
P-orthogonal $\Leftrightarrow P^{-1} = P^T$

Rmk: A -orthogonally diagonalizable $\Rightarrow A$ -diagonalizable.

Main Claim: An $n \times n$ matrix A is orthogonally diagonalizable iff A -symmetric

[Note: the direction " \Rightarrow " is easy:

$$\text{if } A = PDP^T \Rightarrow A^T = (PDP^T)^T = (P^T)^T \cdot D^T \cdot P^T = P \cdot D \cdot P^T = A$$

The orthogonality of $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$ from the proof of Ex2 is not just a mere luck as the following result says;

Claim: If A is symmetric, then any two eigenvectors from different eigenspaces are orthogonal

* see p. 420 for a proof.

Lecture #27

The above results may be summarized as follows:

Claim (Spectral theorem for symmetric matrices):

Let A be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then:

- 1) A has n real eigenvalues, counting multiplicities
- 2) The dimension of the eigenspace for each eigenvalue λ equals the algebraic multiplicity of λ
- 3) The eigenspaces are mutually orthogonal
- 4) A is orthogonally diagonalizable.

Let's conclude by obtaining another formula for A as above.

Let $A = PDP^{-1} = PD\tilde{P}^T$ with $D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$, $P = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \cdots & \vec{u}_n \end{pmatrix}$ orthogonal

So $\{\vec{u}_1, \dots, \vec{u}_n\}$ - orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Then:
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1 & \cdots & \vec{u}_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \vec{u}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \vec{u}_n^T \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \lambda_1 \cdot \vec{u}_1 \cdot \vec{u}_1^T + \dots + \lambda_n \cdot \vec{u}_n \cdot \vec{u}_n^T$$

spectral decomposition of A

Here: \vec{u}_i is an $n \times 1$ matrix, \vec{u}_i^T - an $1 \times n$ matrix $\Rightarrow \vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_i^T$ - an $n \times n$ matrix

Q: What is the rank of $\vec{u}_i \cdot \vec{u}_i^T$?

A: $\text{rk } A = 1$.