

• Last time

• For any Lie group  $G$ , endowed  $T_1G$  with a Lie algebra structure (for  $G = GL_n(\mathbb{K})$ , recovered usual commutator  $[A, B] = AB - BA$  on  $gl_n(\mathbb{K})$ )

getting a functor  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{category of} \\ \text{Lie gps} \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{category} \\ \text{of Lie algs} \end{array} \right\}$

• Stated three fundamental theorems of Lie theory, implying an equivalence  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{category of connected} \\ \text{simply-connected Lie gps} \end{array} \right\} \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{finite-dimensional} \\ \text{Lie algebras (over } \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\}$

• Henceforth, we shall mostly focus on the Lie algebras alone and also their representations.

Def 1: a) A representation of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  is a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  together with a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow gl(V), \text{ i.e. } \rho \text{ is } \mathbb{K}\text{-linear and } \boxed{\rho([x, y]) = [\rho(x), \rho(y)] = \rho(x)\rho(y) - \rho(y)\rho(x) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}}$$

b) Given two representations  $(V, \rho_V)$  &  $(W, \rho_W)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , a homomorphism from the former to the latter is a linear map commuting with  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action, i.e.

$$d: V \rightarrow W \text{ linear s.t. } \boxed{d \circ \rho_V(x) = \rho_W(x) \circ d \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}}$$

Terminology: • representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are also called  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  
• homom. of repr-s are also called intertwining operators

Conventions: for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  it's also common to consider complex  $V$  (not just real)  
this shall actually simplify the theory

As a Corollary of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Fund. Thm of Lie theory, get:

Proposition 1: Let  $G$  be a real/cpx Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ .

a) Every fin. dim. rep.  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  defines a Lie algebra repr-n  $\rho_*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow gl(V)$  and any morphism of  $G$ -representations is also a morphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

b) If  $G$  is connected, simply-connected, then  $\rho \mapsto \rho_*$  from a) gives an equivalence of categories b/w the corresponding categories of finite dimensional repr-s. In particular, every f. dim.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module can be uniquely exponentiated to a representation of  $G$

Example: Besides for the trivial repr., every Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits an adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $\text{ad}(x): y \mapsto [x, y]$ .

Indeed, as we saw before, ad-representation  $\Leftrightarrow$  Jacobi for  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ .

As always, it's important to recall basic procedures to construct  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules.

Def 1: A subspace  $W$  of a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $(V, \rho_V)$  is called a subrepresentation if it is  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant, i.e.  $\rho_V(x)W \subseteq W \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

• Similar definition applies to  $G$ -modules. In either case, the quotient space  $V/W$  carries a natural structure of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module ( $G$ -module), called the quotient representation.

• Given a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ , the dual space  $V^*$  is endowed with a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure:

$$\boxed{\rho_{V^*}(x) := -\rho_V(x)^*} \quad \leftarrow \text{dual representation}$$

• Given two modules  $V, W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we can equip  $V \oplus W$  with a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure:

$$\boxed{\rho_{V \oplus W}(x) := \rho_V(x) \oplus \rho_W(x)} \quad \leftarrow \text{direct sum of representations}$$

• — — —, we can equip  $V \otimes W$  with a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structure:

$$\boxed{\rho_{V \otimes W}(x) := \rho_V(x) \otimes \text{id}_W + \text{id}_V \otimes \rho_W(x)} \quad \leftarrow \text{tensor product of representations}$$

Exercise 1: a) Verify the above  $\mathfrak{g}$ -like degree of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -actions

b) For  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ , derive these formulas from the corresponding constructions for  $G$ -modules

c) Identifying  $V^* \otimes W \cong \text{Hom}(V, W)$  as vector spaces, construct a natural action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ ,  $\forall \mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V, W$ .

d) Verify  $\text{Hom}(V, W)^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V, W)$  [see notation below for LHS].

In particular, above constructions endow  $S^n V$ ,  $\wedge^n V$ ,  $V^{\otimes n} \otimes (V^*)^m$  with canonical  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module structures.

Notation: For any  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$ , the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants are  $V^{\mathfrak{g}} := \{v \in V \mid \rho(x)v = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$

Major problem in representation theory is to classify all representations of a Lie group or a Lie algebra. To this end, we start with building blocks:

Def 3: a) A representation  $V \neq 0$  of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is irreducible if it has no subrepresentation other than  $V$  or  $0$ .

b) A representation  $V \neq 0$  of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is indecomposable if it does not admit decomposition into direct sum of nonzero modules, i.e.

$$V \underset{\text{f. mod}}{\simeq} W_1 \oplus W_2 \Rightarrow W_1 \text{ or } W_2 \text{ are zero.}$$

Clearly, every finite dimensional repr-n is isomorphic to  $\oplus$  indecomposable, but not necessarily into  $\oplus$  irreducibles (give a counter-example)

Def 4: A repr-n  $V$  is called completely reducible (a.k.a. semisimple) if it is  $\simeq \oplus$  irreducibles, i.e.  $V \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n n_i V_i$  ( $n_i$  are called multiplicities)  
 $V_i$ -pairwise nonisomorphic irreducible modules

Thus, the major questions are:

(1) classify all irreducible repr-s of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$

(2) for a given semisimple repr-n  $V$  of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$ , find all the multiplicities

(3) for which  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$ , all fin. dim. repr-s are completely reducible?

The following result is almost obvious (easy exercise to do at home):

Lemma 1: Let  $V$  be a fin. dimensional representation of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $A: V \rightarrow V$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -repr-s. Then  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V(\lambda)$  is a decomposition of representations  
 $\uparrow$  generalized eigenspace of  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Exercise 2: Verify that  $V, S^m V, \wedge^m V$  are irreducible representations of the group  $GL(V)$  or a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ . Deduce that  $V \otimes V$  is completely reducible.

As for finite groups, we shall start with the basic Schur's lemma.

Lemma 2 (Schur Lemma): Let  $V, W$  be irreducible fin. dim.  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations of the group  $G$  or a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then:

- a) if  $V \neq W$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_{G \text{ or } \mathfrak{g}}(V, W) = 0$ , i.e. no nonzero homomorphisms  
 b) if  $V \cong W$ , then  $\text{Hom}_{G \text{ or } \mathfrak{g}}(V, V) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}$

► Let  $A: V \rightarrow W$  be a nonzero homomorphism of repr-s.

Then  $\text{Ker } A$  is a proper submodule of  $V \xRightarrow{V\text{-irred.}} \text{Ker } A = 0$   
 $\text{Im } A$  is a nonzero submodule of  $W \xRightarrow{W\text{-irred.}} \text{Im } A = W$  }  $\Rightarrow A: V \xrightarrow{\cong} W$ .

This proves part a). For part b), let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  be an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then  $A - \lambda \cdot \text{Id}$  is still a homomorphism, but has nontrivial kernel  $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow A - \lambda \text{Id} = 0 \Rightarrow A = \lambda \text{Id}$ . This proves part b) ■

Note: As part b) may fail over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we will mostly consider  $\mathbb{C}$ -representations  
 (give counterexample!)

As the classical corollaries of Schur's lemma, we get:

Corollary 1: The center of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$  acts on any irreducible repr-n via scalars.  
 In particular, every irreducible repr-n of abelian  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$  is 1-dim.

Corollary 2: Let  $\{V_i\}$  be irreducible pairwise non-isomorphic complex representations of  $G$  or  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $V = \bigoplus n_i V_i$ ,  $W = \bigoplus m_i V_i$ . Then:

- a) there is a vector space isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{G \text{ or } \mathfrak{g}}(V, W) \cong \bigoplus_i \text{Mat}_{m_i \times n_i}(\mathbb{C})$   
 b) for  $W = V$ , the isomorphism in a) is an algebra isomorphism

We conclude today's lecture with the discussion of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  repr. theory.

Down-to-earth,  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  has a basis

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with a commutator

$$[e, f] = h, \quad [h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f.$$

Exercise 3: Prove these are defining relations for  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

Note that  $\text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$  actually gives rise to the action (check!)

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[x, y] =: V \quad \text{via} \quad e \mapsto x \partial_y, \quad h \mapsto x \partial_x - y \partial_y, \quad f = y \partial_x$$

each operator preserves degrees and so  $V \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V_n$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -decomposition, where  $V_n = \{\text{degree } n \text{ polynomials in } x, y\}$ ,  $\dim V_n = n+1$ .

Down-to-earth,  $V_n$  has a basis  $\{x^k y^{n-k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\}$  and action is  $=: v_{k, n-k}$

$$\begin{cases} e(v_{k, n-k}) = (n-k)v_{k+1, n-(k+1)} \\ h(v_{k, n-k}) = (2k-n)v_{k, n-k} \\ f(v_{k, n-k}) = kv_{k-1, n-(k-1)}. \end{cases}$$

The following is the key result on  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory (which will be later used to treat most general simple Lie algebras):

Theorem 1: a)  $V_n$  are irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules

b) If  $V \neq 0$  is a f.d.m.  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module, then  $e$  &  $f$  are nilpotent on  $V$ .

Therefore,  $U := \text{Ker}(e) \neq 0$ . Finally,  $h$  preserves  $U$ , acting diagonally with  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  coefficients

c) Any irreducible  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module is  $\simeq V_n$  for some  $n$

d) Any f.d.m.  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module is completely reducible

# Lecture #7

Let us first discuss some corollaries of the theorem above.

Corollary 3: Given a fin. dimensional vector space  $\tilde{V}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and a nilpotent operator  $E: \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ , there is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -action on  $\tilde{V}$  (Jacobsen-Morozov thm for  $\mathfrak{g}(V)$ )

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2 \curvearrowright \tilde{V} \text{ with } \rho(e) = E$$

Bring  $E$  to a Jordan normal form with diagonal blocks  $n_i \times n_i$  (and zero on diagonal as  $E$  - nilpotent). As in  $V_n$ , we have  $e \mapsto$  single  $n \times n$  Jordan block, deduce  $\tilde{V} \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-action}} V_{n_i}$

Corollary 4: We have  $V_n \otimes V_m \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\min(n,m)} V_{2i+n-m}$  (Clebsch-Gordan formula)

The simplest proof uses the notion of characters.

Def: For an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module  $V$  (of finite dim.), define its character

$$\chi_V(z) := \text{tr}_V(z^h) = \sum_m \dim V(m) \cdot z^m$$

eigenspace of  $h_V$  of eigenvalue  $\lambda$

Exercise 4: a) Verify  $\chi_{V \oplus W}(z) = \chi_V(z) + \chi_W(z)$

$$\chi_{V \otimes W}(z) = \chi_V(z) \cdot \chi_W(z)$$

b) Verify  $\chi_m(z) = \frac{z^{m+1} - z^{-m-1}}{z - z^{-1}}$  and check they are lin. indep.

c) Prove Corollary 4 by comparing characters of both sides.

Proof of Theorem 1 a-c)

a) As  $h|_{V_n}$  is diagonal with distinct eigenvalues, any  $h$ -stable subspace  $W$  of  $V_n$  is spanned by a collection of  $v_{\lambda, n, t}$ .

Applying operators  $e$  &  $f$ , we see that all  $v_{\lambda, n, t}$  are in  $W \Rightarrow W = V_n$

b)  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \underbrace{V(\lambda)}_{\text{generalized } \lambda\text{-eigenspace of } h\text{-action on } V}$

Easy:  $\left. \begin{array}{l} eV(\lambda) \subseteq V(\lambda+2) \\ fV(\lambda) \subseteq V(\lambda-2) \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{V\text{-f.in. dim}} e \text{ and } f \text{ act nilpotently on } V$

So:  $U := \text{Ker}(e)$  is non-empty.

$\forall v \in U: e(hv) = (h e - 2e)v = 0 \Rightarrow U$  is  $h$ -invariant

Exercise: a) Check that  $e f^m v = f^{m-1} \cdot m(h-m+1)v \quad \forall v \in V$   
 b) Deduce  $e^m f^m v = e^{m-1} f^{m-1} \cdot m(h-m+1)v = \dots = m! h(h-1)\dots(h-m+1)v$   
 c) As  $f^m \equiv 0$  for  $m > \dim V$ , get  $h(h-1)\dots(h-m+1) \equiv 0$  on  $U$ .  
 Deduce part b)

c) As  $U$  is  $h$ -invariant, there is  $v \in U \setminus \{0\}$  s.t.  $hv = \lambda v$ .

Consider a sequence

$$v_0 = v, v_1 = f v, v_2 = f^2 v, \dots$$

Clearly:  $f v_m = v_{m+1}$  and  $h v_m = (\lambda - 2m)v_m$

By above:  $e v_m = m(\lambda - m + 1)v_{m-1}$ .

Note that  $\{v_m \neq 0\}$  are lin. indep as they have different  $h$ -eigenvalues  
 but  $V$ -f.in. dim  $\Rightarrow \exists n$  s.t.  $v_{n+1} = 0$  but  $v_{\leq n} \neq 0$ . Then:

$$0 = e v_{n+1} = (n+1)(\lambda + 1 - (n+1))v_n \Rightarrow \underline{\lambda = n}$$

Moreover,  $\underbrace{V\text{-irreducible}}_{U \text{ Span}\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}\text{-submodule}} \Rightarrow V = \text{span}\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$

Now it's easy to see  $V \cong V_n$  (check!)

We will prove part d) next time!