

Lecture #8

• Last time :

- Category of fin. dim. \mathfrak{g} -modules
 - quotient, dual, \oplus , \otimes of \mathfrak{g} -modules
 - Schur Lemma
 - Main Theorem for \mathfrak{sl}_2 (proved only the first two parts)
 - characters of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules (application to Clebsch-Gordan formula)
- We shall start today's class by finishing the proof of this Main Thm for \mathfrak{sl}_2 .

▶ c) - see the end of notes for Lecture #7.

d) The proof of the complete reducibility of any fin. dim. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module V requires a new technique/idea, namely, consider the following operator on V :

$$\text{Casimir operator } C_V := 2\rho(f)\rho(e) + \frac{\rho(h)^2}{2} + \rho(h) = \rho(f)\rho(e) + \rho(e)\rho(f) + \frac{\rho(h)^2}{2}$$

Easy Check: a) $C_V : V \rightarrow V$ is a homomorphism of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules, i.e.

$$[C_V, \rho(x)] = 0 \text{ for } x = e, h, f.$$

b) On irreducible modules V_n (see part a)), it acts by scalar (Schur Lemma) which can be computed from the action on $x^n y^0 \in V_n$, so that

$$C_{V_n} = \frac{n^2}{2} + n = \frac{n(n+2)}{2}$$

Now, given as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module (V, ρ) , let's first split it as \oplus indecomposables.

Henceforth, we may assume V is indecomposable. By Lemma 1 from Lect 7:

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V(\lambda) \text{ as } \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-modules, hence, } V = V(\lambda) \text{ for some } \lambda \text{ (as } V \text{ is indecomposable)}$$

generalized eigenspace of C_V

So: The Casimir operator acts on V with a single eigenvalue α .

Now, consider any Jordan-Holder filtration of V , which by part c) means a filtration of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules $0 = W_0 \subseteq W_1 \subseteq W_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_{N-1} \subseteq W_N = V$ with

$W_k/W_{k-1} \cong V_{n_k}$ as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules. But then $\alpha = \frac{n_k(n_k+2)}{2} \forall k \Rightarrow n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_N =: n$.

In particular, $\dim V = N(n+1)$, as well as $\dim V(\ell) = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } \ell \in \{n, n-2, \dots, -n+2, -n\} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

Pick a basis $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(N)}$ of $V(n)$, the "highest weight component" w.r.t. $\rho(h)$.

Lecture #8

(Continuation)

For each $1 \leq k \leq N$, the vectors $\{v_0^{(k)} := v^{(k)}, v_1^{(k)} := f v^{(k)}, \dots, v_n^{(k)} := f^n v^{(k)}\}$ will span an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -submodule of V , to be denoted by U_k , s.t. $U_k \cong V_n$.

Easy Check (Exercise): The natural inclusions $U_k \hookrightarrow V$ give rise to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module embedding $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_N \xrightarrow{\cong} V$ (i.e. the sum is actually direct!)

As $\dim V = N(n+1) = \dim(U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_N)$, we conclude \cong is isomorphism!

For the rest of today, we shall study universal enveloping algebras. Before we give a definition, let's recall a notion of a tensor algebra of a vector space V (over a field k):

$$T(V) := k \oplus V \oplus V \otimes V \oplus V \otimes V \otimes V \oplus \dots = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$$

with the multiplication $V^{\otimes n} \times V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V^{\otimes(n+m)}$
 $(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n), (w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_m) \mapsto v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_m$

The tensor algebra $T(V)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded, with degree n component being $V^{\otimes n}$.
 Down-to-earth, picking a basis $\{v_i\}$ of V , $T(V)$ is identified with the free algebra in $\{v_i\}$.

Def 1: For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a field k , the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , denoted by $U(\mathfrak{g})$, is defined as the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal generated by $\{x \cdot y - y \cdot x - [x, y] \mid x, y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$

Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the natural map, i.e. composition of $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$

The following is straight forward:

Lemma 1: For any associative algebra A over k , the map $\text{Hom}_{\text{ass. alg}}(U(\mathfrak{g}), A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Lie alg}}(\mathfrak{g}, A), \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ \rho$ is a bijection (where we view A as a Lie algebra via $[a, b] := a \cdot b - b \cdot a$)

This universal property of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ characterizes it uniquely and explains the terminology.

As an immediate corollary, we get:

Corollary 1: Any \mathfrak{g} -module has a natural structure of a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module, and vice versa each $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module has a natural structure of \mathfrak{g} -mod

Thus, understanding a structure of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is useful in the study of \mathfrak{g} -modules.

Example: The Casimir operator C_V we introduced above in the proof of part d) of Main Thm is just coming from an action of an element $C := e \cdot f + f \cdot e + \frac{1}{2} h \cdot h \in U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In particular, C_V being a homomorph. of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules is just the "shadow" of the following result a).

Exercise: a) C -central element of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$
 b)* The center of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is just $\mathbb{K}[C]$.

Down-to-earth, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ can be described as the quotient of the free algebra $\mathbb{K}\langle\{x_i\}\rangle$ by the rel-s $x_i x_j - x_j x_i - \sum_k C_{ij}^k x_k = 0$, where $\{x_i\}$ - basis of \mathfrak{g} , and $\{C_{ij}^k\}$ are structure constants of the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} , i.e. $[x_i, x_j] = \sum_k C_{ij}^k x_k$.

Simplest Example: \mathfrak{g} -abelian, i.e. $[x, y] = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$

Then, $U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong S(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbb{K}\langle\{x_i\}\rangle$

Observation: Recall that any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} acts on itself via $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. This gives rise to a natural action of $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright T(\mathfrak{g})$ via derivations and we claim that it descends to $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright U(\mathfrak{g})$. To this end, we shall verify that $\text{Ker}(T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}))$ is ad -invariant

key verification

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad } x(y \cdot z - z \cdot y - [y, z]) &= [x, y] \cdot z + y \cdot [x, z] - [x, z] \cdot y - z \cdot [x, y] \\ &\quad - [x, [y, z]] = \underbrace{([x, y] \cdot z - z \cdot [x, y] - [x, y] \cdot z)}_{\neq \text{the form } a \cdot b - b \cdot a - [a, b]} + \underbrace{(y \cdot [x, z] - [x, z] \cdot y)}_{-[y, [x, z]]} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{[x, [y, z]] + [y, [x, z]] - [x, [y, z]]}_{= 0 \text{ by Jacobi}} \end{aligned}$$

So: $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright U(\mathfrak{g})$ via derivations

As $\text{ad}(x)(y) = [x, y] \stackrel{\in U(\mathfrak{g})}{=} x \cdot y - y \cdot x \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we actually see that $\text{ad}(x)$ is an inner derivation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $y \mapsto x \cdot y - y \cdot x$

Lecture #8

4

We can summarize the above discussion by

Lemma 2: The adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ gives rise to an action of $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ via inner derivations.

As an immediate Corollary, we get:

Corollary 2: The center $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{g} -invariants of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e.

$$Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \text{R see Hwk 4, #1c}$$

To state one of the key structural results on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, the PBW theorem, let us first recall a general notion of filtered algebras and associated graded.

Def 2: a) A $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtered associative algebra is an assoc. algebra A with a filtration $0 \subseteq F_0 A \subseteq F_1 A \subseteq F_2 A \subseteq \dots$ by vector subspaces, s.t.

- $1 \in F_0 A$
- $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} F_n A = A$ ("exhaustive filtration")
- w.r.t. product on A , we have $F_k A \cdot F_\ell A \subseteq F_{k+\ell} A$

b) The associated graded algebra of A with $F_\bullet A$ as in part a) is the algebra, denoted by $\text{gr}(A)$ or $\text{gr}_{F_\bullet} A$, which as v. space is:

$$\text{gr}(A) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \underbrace{F_k A / F_{k-1} A}_{=: \text{gr}_k A} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \text{gr}_k(A)$$

while the product is defined as follows

given $\bar{x} \in \text{gr}_k A$, $\bar{y} \in \text{gr}_\ell A$ pick their (non-unique) "lifts"
 $x \in F_k A$, $y \in F_\ell A$ and define $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} := \overline{x \cdot y}$
product in $\text{gr}(A)$ product in A

Exercise: a) Verify the above product is well-defined.

b) Prove that if $\text{gr}(A)$ has no zero divisors, then so is A .

Note: If the algebra A was already $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded, i.e. $A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k$ with $A_k \cdot A_\ell \subseteq A_{k+\ell}$, then it has a canonical $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtration with $F_k A := \bigoplus_{\ell \leq k} A_\ell$ and $\text{gr}_{F_\bullet} A \simeq A$ as algebras. For example, tensor algebra $T(V)$ was $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded, hence, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtered.

Lecture #8

If A is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded algebra and $I \subseteq A$ is a 2-sided ideal which is also $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded, i.e. $I = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k \cap I$, then clearly A/I is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded algebra

However, if I is not $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -graded, then the quotient algebra A/I acquires only a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -filtration via $(A/I)_k := \text{image of } F_k A = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k A_l \text{ under } A \rightarrow A/I.$

Let us now apply the above general setup in case of interest.

$\mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow \underbrace{T(\mathfrak{g})}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\text{-graded}} \text{-tensor algebra} \rightsquigarrow \underbrace{U(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g})/I}_{\text{only } \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\text{-filtered}} \text{- universal enveloping alg.}$

Explicitly, $F_k U(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined as the image of $\bigoplus_{l=0}^k \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes l} \subseteq T(\mathfrak{g})$

Note: $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, have $x \cdot y - y \cdot x = [x, y] \in F_1 U(\mathfrak{g})$

Easy Check (do it!): for $x \in F_k U(\mathfrak{g})$, $y \in F_l U(\mathfrak{g})$, we have $[x, y] \in F_{k+l-1} U(\mathfrak{g})$

Thus, the associated graded algebra $gr. U(\mathfrak{g})$ is commutative!
Moreover, as $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by \mathfrak{g} (the degree 1 component: $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq F_1 U(\mathfrak{g})$) so does $gr. U(\mathfrak{g})$.

\Rightarrow get an algebra epimorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\phi} gr. U(\mathfrak{g})$

Theorem 1 (Poincare - Birkhoff - Witt theorem): ϕ is an isomorphism
or PBW theorem for short

Before starting the proof of this fundamental result, let's discuss some of useful corollaries. We start with the classical reformulation of it:

Corollary 3: For any basis $\{x_i\}$ of \mathfrak{g} and any ordering $<$ on it, the ordered monomials $\prod_i x_i^{n_i}$ form a basis of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.

Note: The easy part is that the ordered monomials span $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus, the core of the PBW thm is actually linear independence of ordered monomials.

Corollary 4: The map $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ is injective