

• Last time:

- Some remarks on \mathfrak{sl}_2 theory & PBW thm
- Symmetrization map
- Free Lie algebras
- Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff f-la

• Today we shall start with proving above statement

- Discuss coproduct $\Delta: T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow T(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes T(\mathfrak{g})$ & notions of primitive / group-like els
 $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$ (see the end of p.5 of Lecture 9 notes)

Proof of Thm (Lect 9)

It suffices to prove the statement for the biggest Lie algebra generated by given two els. To this end, let $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ with basis x, y , and consider free Lie algebra $L(V)$.

By Prop 1 of Lecture 9, $U(L(V)) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle$ - free algebra

Then, all maps μ_n appearing in BCH f-la are arising through the universal formula:

$$\log(\exp(x) \cdot \exp(y)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu_n(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle \quad \leftarrow \text{completion w.r.t. free}$$

Here, $\exp(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{n!}$, $\log(X) = -\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-X)^n}{n}$ and all above is viewed as formal series in noncommuting two variables.

Want: Each $\mu_n(x, y)$, which is some degree n homog. pol-l in x, y , is actually a Lie polynomial, i.e. is an element of $L_n(V)$.

The proof follows from the following exercise:

- Exercise:
- a) El-t u -primitive $\Leftrightarrow \exp(u)$ -group-like.
 - b)* Primitive elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ are just elements of \mathfrak{g} (\forall Lie algebra) assuming char $K=0$
 - c) Provide counterexample to b) when char $K=p>0$

$$x, y \in V \subseteq L(V) \xrightarrow{a)} \exp(x), \exp(y) \text{ - group-like } \xrightarrow{a)} \log(\exp(x) \cdot \exp(y)) \text{ - primitive} \\ \Rightarrow \mu_n(x, y) \text{ - primitive } \xrightarrow{b)} \mu_n(x, y) \in L_n(V).$$

Exercise: Verify that $\mu_3(x, y) = \frac{1}{12} ([x, [x, y]] + [y, [y, x]])$

Lecture #10

For the rest of today, we shall discuss solvable and nilpotent Lie algebras.

Recall: $I \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal if $[x, y] \in I \quad \forall x \in I, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We start with the following two simple results:

Lemma 1: For any Lie algebra homomorphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$:

- a) $\ker \varphi$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_1
- b) $\text{Im } \varphi$ is a Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_2
- c) $\mathfrak{g}_1 / \ker \varphi \cong \text{Im } \varphi$ as Lie alg.

Lemma 2: Given two ideals I_1, I_2 of \mathfrak{g} , the following are also ideals in \mathfrak{g} :

- a) $I_1 + I_2 := \{x+y \mid x \in I_1, y \in I_2\}$
- b) $[I_1, I_2] := \text{span} \{[x, y] \mid x \in I_1, y \in I_2\}$
- c) $I_1 \cap I_2$

Easy Exercise: Prove both lemmas

Def 1: The commutator of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the ideal $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$

- Obvious:
- a) $\mathfrak{g} / [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ - abelian Lie alg.
 - b) If \mathfrak{g}/I - abelian, then $I \supseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$

Example / Exercise: $(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{K})) = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K}) = [\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K}), \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{K})]$

Def 2: For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the sequence of ideals

$$\mathfrak{g} = D^0 \mathfrak{g} \supseteq D^1 \mathfrak{g} \supseteq D^2 \mathfrak{g} \supseteq \dots \quad \text{with } D^{i+1} \mathfrak{g} = [D^i \mathfrak{g}, D^i \mathfrak{g}]$$

is called the derived sequence

Def 3: Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is solvable if $D^n \mathfrak{g} = 0$ for $n \gg 1$.

Lemma 3: \mathfrak{g} is solvable \iff

\exists sequence of subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}^0 \supset \mathfrak{a}^1 \supset \mathfrak{a}^2 \supset \dots \supset \mathfrak{a}^n = 0$ such that

$\forall i$ \mathfrak{a}^{i+1} is an ideal in \mathfrak{a}^i and $\mathfrak{a}^i / \mathfrak{a}^{i+1}$ - abelian

\Rightarrow : take $\mathfrak{a}^i = D^i \mathfrak{g}$

\Leftarrow : Argue by induction that $D^i \mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^i \quad \forall i$

Lecture #10

Def 4: For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the sequence of ideals
 $\mathfrak{g} = D_0 \mathfrak{g} \supseteq D_1 \mathfrak{g} \supseteq D_2 \mathfrak{g} \supseteq \dots$ with $D_{i+1} \mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, D_i \mathfrak{g}]$
 is called the lower central series.

Def 5: Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if $D_n \mathfrak{g} = 0$ for $n \gg 1$.

Lemma 4: \mathfrak{g} - nilpotent \iff
 \exists sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_2 \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_n = 0$ such that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_i] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$.

\Rightarrow : set $\mathfrak{g}_i = D_i \mathfrak{g}$
 \Leftarrow : Show that $\mathfrak{g}_i \supseteq D_i \mathfrak{g} \forall i$ \circ

- Exercise:
- a) Any subalg or quotient of a solvable Lie algebra are solvable
 - b) -||- nilpotent -||- nilpotent
 - c) If ideal I of \mathfrak{g} and quotient \mathfrak{g}/I are solvable, must \mathfrak{g} be solvable?
 - d) -||- nilpotent, -||- nilpotent?

Example/Exercise: $\mathfrak{h} := \{ \text{upper-triangular } n \times n \text{ matrices} \}$ - solvable But Not nilpotent
 $\mathfrak{n} := \{ \text{strictly upper-triangular } n \times n \text{ matrices} \}$ - nilpotent

The two basic results on solvable & nilpotent Lie algebras are:

Theorem 1 (Lie's theorem): Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a complex fin. dim. representation of a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then, there is a basis of V s.t. all $\rho(x)$ are upper-triangular with respect to this basis

Theorem 2 (Engel's theorem): A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if and only if $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the operator $\text{ad}(x): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent

Remark: 1) Lie's theorem basically says that image of any solvable Lie alg \mathfrak{g} is inside \mathfrak{h} .
 2) Engel's theorem explains the terminology "nilpotent" is not just an accident

As an immediate Corollary, we get:

Corollary 1: a) Any irreducible repr- u of a solvable Lie algebra is 1-dim.
 b) If \mathfrak{g} is solvable \mathbb{C} Lie algebra, then \exists ideals $0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{g}$
 such that $\mathfrak{a}_{k+1}/\mathfrak{a}_k$ is 1-dim
 c) \mathfrak{g} -solvable $\Leftrightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ -nilpotent.

→ a) Obvious

b) Apply Lie theorem to $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$ (and note that ideals = submodules of \mathfrak{g})

c) \Leftarrow : If $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ -nilpotent, then it's also solvable } $\rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ -solvable
 $\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ -abelian, hence, solvable

\Rightarrow : Consider $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$. By Lie theorem, find a basis s.t. $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ ^{upper-tri}
 Then $\text{ad}([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{n}$ - nilpotent $\Rightarrow \text{ad}([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])$ -nilpotent.

As central el-s do not affect the lower central series $\Rightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ -nilpotent ^{Exercise}

• The proofs of both theorems are based on the following two results:

Proposition 1: Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a complex f. dim. module of a solvable \mathfrak{g} .
 Then $\exists v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ - common eigenvector of all $\rho(x) \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$

Arguing by ^{induction in} $\dim(V)$ and passing to the quotient module $V/\mathbb{C}v$, we get

Prop 1 \Rightarrow Thm 1

Proposition 2: Let V be a f. dim. vector space, and $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ consist of ^{any Lie algebra} nilpotent operators. Then $\exists v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ which is annihilated by all elements of \mathfrak{g} .

Arguing by induction in $\dim(V)$, we find a basis of V s.t. all elements of \mathfrak{g} are strictly upper-triangular. Applying this to $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$, we see

Prop 2 \Rightarrow Thm 2.

So: It remains to prove the above Prop 1 & Prop 2.

We shall do Prop 1 today and Prop 2 next time.

Lecture #10

Proof of Proposition 1

► We shall prove by induction on $\dim g$. Base case ($\dim g = 1$) is clear.

• Consider $g \geq [g, g]$. As g -solvable $\Rightarrow g \neq [g, g]$

Pick any g' -subspace of $\dim 1$ in g containing $[g, g]$: $[g, g] \subseteq g' \subseteq g$ ^{codim 1}

As $[g, g] \subseteq [g, g] \subseteq g'$, g' is an ideal. Let $x \in g$ be such that $g = \overset{v, sp.}{g'} \oplus \mathbb{C}x$

Induction hypothesis applied to $g' \curvearrowright V$ implies that

$$\boxed{\exists w \in V \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } \rho(h) = \lambda(h) \cdot w \quad \forall h \in g' \text{ with } \lambda \in (g')^*}$$

• Consider $W := \text{span} \{v_0 = v, v_1 := \rho(x)v, v_2 := \rho(x)v_1, \dots, v_n = \rho(x)v_{n-1} = \rho(x)^n v, \dots\}$

Then: $\forall h \in g'$, have

$$(*) \quad \boxed{\rho(h)v_k = \lambda(h)v_k + \sum_{\ell < k} \# \cdot v_\ell}, \quad \# - \text{some coeff.}$$

► Proof is by induction on k . Base $k=0$ is clear.

For $k > 0$, have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(h)v_k &= \rho(h)\rho(x)v_{k-1} = \rho(x) \underbrace{\rho(h)v_{k-1}}_{\in g'} + \underbrace{\lambda([h, x]) \cdot v_{k-1}}_{\in g'} + \sum_{\ell < k-1} \# \cdot v_\ell \\ &= \rho(x) \left(\lambda(h)v_{k-1} + \sum_{\ell < k-1} \# \cdot v_\ell \right) + \lambda([h, x]) \cdot v_{k-1} \\ &= \lambda(h)v_k + \sum_{\ell < k} \# \cdot v_\ell \end{aligned}$$

As $\dim W \leq \dim V < \infty$, pick n s.t. v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n - lin. indep, but $v_{n+1} \in \text{Span} \{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$

Then: $\boxed{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n - \text{basis of } W.}$

• Note that it follows from above: $\boxed{\text{tr}_W \rho(h) = (n+1) \lambda(h) \quad \forall h \in g'}$

In particular, $\forall h \in g'$ as $[h, x] \in g'$ and $\text{tr}[A, B] = 0$, we get

$$(n+1) \lambda([h, x]) = \text{tr}_W(\rho([h, x])) = \text{tr}_W([\rho(h), \rho(x)]) = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda([h, x]) = 0 \quad \forall h \in g'}$$

• But then: getting back to the proof of (*), we actually get

$$\boxed{\rho(h)v_k = \lambda(h)v_k \quad \forall k \quad \forall h \in g'}$$

• Picking $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ to be an eigenvector of $\rho(x)$, we see that it's also an eigenvector of all $\{\rho(h) | h \in g'\}$. Hence: w - common eigenvector of all $\{\rho(x) | x \in g\}$