

Goal for this week: Develop the theory of finite-dimensional representations over \mathbb{C} semisimple Lie algebras of (by [Lecture 7, Prop 1]) they can be exponentiated to give a repr. of the connected simply connected Lie gp G with $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$.

Recall that every fin. dim. \mathfrak{g} -module V is completely reducible (which was a consequence of Whitehead thm, see [Lect 13, Thm 2]). Therefore, we aim at:

classification of irreducible finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -modules

Def 1: Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and V be a \mathfrak{g} -module. Then $v \in V$ has a weight λ if $h(v) = \lambda(h) \cdot v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$, v is called a weight vector. Let $V[\lambda] := \{v \in V \mid h(v) = \lambda(h)v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ - weight subspace of V of weight λ . If $V[\lambda] \neq 0$, then λ is called a weight of V . Set $P(V) = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid V[\lambda] \neq 0\}$.
 \uparrow
set of weights of V

The following properties are obvious (simple exercise to check at home):

- 1) $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha V[\lambda] \subseteq V[\lambda + \alpha] \ \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \lambda \in R \cup \{0\}$
- 2) vectors of different weights are lin. independent.

Given a \mathfrak{g} -module V , set $V' = \text{span}\{\text{all weight vectors}\} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\lambda]$.

Def 2: A \mathfrak{g} -module V has a weight decomposition (w.r.t. $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$) if $V' = V$.

[Exercise: Provide an example of ∞ -dim \mathfrak{g} -module V which doesn't have weight decomposition]

Lemma: Any fin. dim. \mathfrak{g} -module V has a weight decomposition.

Furthermore, all weights of V are integral i.e. $\lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall \lambda \in P(V)$
 $\forall i$

Consider $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{h_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$. Viewing V as a fin. dim. module over $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{h_i}$, we see that h_i acts semisimply with integer eigenvalues. But $\{h_i^{k+1}\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{h} , hence, $\mathfrak{h} \curvearrowright V$ semisimply $\Rightarrow V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} V[\lambda]$

So: V -fin. dim. \mathfrak{g} -module $\Rightarrow P(V)$ -finite subset of $P \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$
 \uparrow
 weight lattice, see Lecture #20

Def 3: A vector $v \in V$ is called a highest weight vector of weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ if

$$\begin{cases} e_i(v) = 0 \quad \forall i, \text{ equivalently } n_+(v) = 0 \\ h(v) = \lambda(h) \cdot v \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, \text{ equivalently } v \in V[\lambda]. \end{cases}$$

A \mathfrak{g} -module V is called a highest weight representation with highest weight λ if it is generated by such a vector.

Lemma 2: Any finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -representation $V \neq 0$ contains a nonzero highest weight vector of some weight $\lambda \in P$.

According to Lemma 1, we have $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P(V)} V[\lambda]$ with $P(V) \subseteq P$ - finite set. As noted after Def 1, we have $e_i(V[\lambda]) \subseteq V[\lambda + \alpha_i]$. But then picking $\lambda_{\max} \in P(V)$ maximal in the sense of the pairing $\lambda(\vec{e}_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $e_i(V[\lambda_{\max}]) = 0 \quad \forall i$, hence any $v \in V[\lambda_{\max}]$ is a highest weight vector. \square

Corollary 1: Any irreducible fin. dim. \mathfrak{g} -module is a highest weight representation.

Follows from Lemma 2, since V is generated by any nonzero vector. \square

We shall now take some detour and consider highest weight modules which are not necessarily fin. dim. In fact, the largest of those, so-called Verma modules, are key to the theory of finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} -representations.

Def 4: Let $I_\lambda \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the left ideal generated by $\{e_i, i=1, \dots, n\} \cup \{h - \lambda(h) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. The Verma module M_λ is the quotient $U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\lambda$, viewed as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

Remark: Alternatively, evoking $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$, we can define M_λ as the induced module $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda =: \text{Ind}_{U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)}^{U(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda)$, where \mathbb{C}_λ denotes a 1-dim representation of $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ (hence $U(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)$) with \mathfrak{n}_+ acting by zero and \mathfrak{h} acting via λ .

! For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, we already encountered Verma modules M_λ back in Lecture 9, where it was shown that M_λ is irreducible unless $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and in the latter case $M_\lambda / M_{\lambda-2} \cong V_\lambda$ - fin. dim. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module.

In fact, as a module over $U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \subseteq U(\mathfrak{g})$ Verma modules are free rank 1 modules:

Proposition 1: The map $U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \xrightarrow{\phi} M_\lambda$ given by $\phi(x) = x(\underbrace{v_\lambda}_{\text{class of } v \in U(\mathfrak{g})})$ is an isomorphism of left $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ -modules

Consider the vector space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_+$
PBW theorem implies that the multiplication map $U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}_+) \xrightarrow{m} U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a vector space isomorphism, see [Lecture 3, Corollary 2].

Consider $\varphi_\lambda: U(\mathfrak{h}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by $\varphi_\lambda(h) = \lambda(h)$, $\varphi_\lambda(e_i) = 0$, and set $K_\lambda = \ker \varphi_\lambda$.

Then: $m^{-1}(I_\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes K_\lambda$

Hence: $M_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\lambda \cong U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}_+)/U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes K_\lambda \cong U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$

As an immediate corollary of the above result, we get:

Corollary 2: M_λ has a weight decomposition with $P(M_\lambda) = \{ \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha_i \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}$
Moreover, $\dim M_\lambda[\mu] = 1$ and $\dim M_\lambda[\mu] < \infty \forall \mu$

Notation: $Q_+ = \{ \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha_i \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}$, so that we get $P(M_\lambda) = \lambda - Q_+$

In fact, the Verma modules can be characterized by their universal property:

Proposition 2: If V is a \mathfrak{g} -module and $v \in V[\lambda]$ is a highest weight vector, then there is a unique \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphism $M_\lambda \xrightarrow{\phi_v} V$
 $v_\lambda \mapsto v$

In particular, if V is a highest weight representation with highest weight λ , then it is a quotient of M_λ .

To construct ϕ_v , note that we have $U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow V$ given by $x \mapsto x(v)$, which contains I_λ in its kernel, hence gives rise to the desired $\phi_v: M_\lambda \rightarrow V$.

The uniqueness is clear as M_λ is generated by v_λ .

Finally, if V is generated by such v , then we get $M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow V$

Exercise: A quotient of any module with a highest weight decomposition must have a weight space decomposition

Corollary 3: Any highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module has a weight decomposition with fin. dim. weight subspaces

In what follows, we shall use the partial order \leq on \mathfrak{h}^* :

$$\lambda \leq \mu \iff \mu - \lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_+$$

Lemma 3: Any highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module V has a unique highest weight and unique up to scaling highest weight vector.

► Indeed, if λ is a highest weight of V , then $M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow V$ and so $P(V) \subseteq P(M_\lambda) \Rightarrow \forall \mu \in P(V)$ we have $\mu \leq \lambda$. Therefore, if μ is a highest weight of V too, then $\mu \leq \lambda$ & $\lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow \lambda = \mu$.

Finally, $\dim M_\lambda[\lambda] = 1 \Rightarrow \dim V[\lambda] = 1 \Rightarrow$ highest weight vector is unique up to scaling. ◻

Proposition 3: For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the Verma module M_λ has a unique irreducible quotient L_λ . Furthermore, L_λ is a quotient of any highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module V with highest weight λ .

► Consider any \mathfrak{g} -submodule $W \subsetneq M_\lambda$. By the previous exercise, W has a weight decomposition $W = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \lambda - \mathbb{Q}_+} W[\mu]$. But $W[\lambda] = 0$ as otherwise $v_\lambda \in W \Rightarrow W = M_\lambda$.

Define $J_\lambda := \sum_{W \subsetneq M_\lambda \text{-submodule}} W =$ the (non-direct) sum of all proper \mathfrak{g} -submodules of M_λ .

Then: J_λ is also a proper submodule, namely the largest one.

We set $L_\lambda := M_\lambda / J_\lambda$. First, we claim it is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module.

Indeed, if $0 \subsetneq N_\lambda \subsetneq J_\lambda$, then preimage of N_λ under $\pi: M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow L_\lambda$ would be a proper submodule strictly larger than J_λ , a contradiction. Second, given any highest weight \mathfrak{g} -module V of highest weight λ , we have by Prop 2: $\phi: M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow V$. Then $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ is a proper \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $M_\lambda \Rightarrow \text{Ker}(\phi) \subseteq J_\lambda$, hence the epimorphism $M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow L_\lambda$ descends through $V \twoheadrightarrow L_\lambda$. ◻

As an immediate corollary, we set:

Corollary 4: Irreducible highest weight \mathfrak{g} -modules are classified by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ via $\mathfrak{h}^* \ni \lambda \mapsto L_\lambda$ - from Prop 3

Lecture #24

15

As every irreducible finite-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} is highest weight (Corollary 1), our original problem is equivalent to:

classification of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $\dim(L_\lambda) < \infty$

Def 3: A weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is called dominant integral if $\frac{\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda)}{= \lambda(h_i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i$.
 $P_+ = \{\text{all dominant integral weights}\}$

Lemma 4: If L_λ is finite-dimensional, then $\lambda \in P_+$

► The vector $v_\lambda \in L_\lambda$ is the highest weight vector for $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ with the highest weight $\alpha_i^\vee(\lambda) = \lambda(h_i)$. Since L_λ - fin. dim, the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ -submodule generated by v_λ is also.

So: $\lambda \in P_+$

Lemma 5: If $\lambda \in P_+$, then we have $f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda = 0$ in L_λ

► By the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -theory, applied to $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$, we have $e_i f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda = 0$.

Also, we have $e_j f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda = f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} e_j v_\lambda = 0$ for $j \neq i$, as $[e_j, f_i] = 0$.

Finally, $f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda \in L_\lambda[\lambda - (\lambda(h_i)+1)\alpha_i]$.

Thus: the vector $f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda$ is a highest weight vector in L_λ . Therefore, it generates a proper submodule of L_λ (for degree reasons it cannot contain v_λ)

But: L_λ -irreducible \Rightarrow this submodule is zero $\Rightarrow f_i^{\lambda(h_i)+1} v_\lambda = 0$

Exercise: Verify that the corresponding \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphism of Verma modules $M_{\lambda - (\lambda(h_i)+1)\alpha_i} \rightarrow M_\lambda$ is injective.

Lemma 6: Let V be a \mathfrak{g} -module with weight decomposition, with all $V[\lambda]$ being fin. dim. If V is a sum of fin. dim. $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ -modules V_i , then $\forall \lambda \in P, w \in W$, we have

$$\dim V[\lambda] = \dim V[w(\lambda)]$$

In particular, $P(V)$ is a W -invariant set.

Lecture #24

Proof of Lemma 6

As W is generated by simple reflections, it suffices to show $\dim V[\lambda] = \dim V[s_i(\lambda)]$. This follows immediately from \mathfrak{sl}_2 -theory. Indeed, if $k_i := \lambda(h_i) \geq 0$, then $f_i^{k_i}: V[\lambda] \rightarrow V[s_i(\lambda)]$, $e_i^{k_i}: V[s_i(\lambda)] \rightarrow V[\lambda]$ are injective. If $k_i < 0$, then $(s_i(\lambda))(h_i) > 0$, hence replace λ by $s_i(\lambda)$ above. ■

Theorem 1: $\forall \lambda \in P_+$, L_λ is finite-dimensional
 Therefore, we get a bijection

$$P_+ = \{ \text{dominant integral weights} \} \xleftrightarrow{1 \text{ to } -1} \{ \text{irreducible f.d. } \mathfrak{g}\text{-modules} \}$$

$$\downarrow \psi \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \psi$$

$$\lambda \qquad \qquad \qquad L_\lambda$$

Moreover, $\forall \mu \in P \forall w \in W$ we have $\dim L_\lambda[\mu] = \dim L_\lambda[w(\mu)]$

By Lemma 5, $v_\lambda \in L_\lambda$ generates a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ -module $\forall 1 \leq i \leq r = \text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})$. We claim that then any vector $v \in L_\lambda$ generates a fin. dim. $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ -module. This can be proved by induction. Indeed, if $v \in W \subset L_\lambda$, then $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_j \quad x \cdot v \in \mathfrak{g}_j \bar{W} \subseteq L_\lambda$ and $\underbrace{\mathfrak{g}_j \bar{W}}_{\text{f.d. dim as } \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}\text{-mod}} \subseteq L_\lambda$ and $\text{f.d. dim as } \dim(\mathfrak{g}_j), \dim(W) < \infty$

$\mathfrak{g}_j \bar{W}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$ -submodule, as

$$t \cdot (y \cdot w) = \underbrace{y \cdot (tw)}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_j \bar{W}} + \underbrace{[t, y] \cdot w}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_j \bar{W}} \quad \forall w \in \bar{W}, y \in \mathfrak{g}_j, t \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i}$$

Then: $P(L_\lambda)$ is W -invariant by Lemma 6, and all weight subspaces are f.d.

The rest now follows from the following exercise:

- Exercise:
- 1) $\forall \lambda \in P$, its Weyl group orbit contains exactly one element of P_+
 - 2) $\forall \lambda \in P$, the intersection $P_+ \cap (\lambda - Q_+)$ is finite.

Indeed, the above exercise $\Rightarrow P(L_\lambda)$ is finite $\Rightarrow L_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P(L_\lambda)} L_\lambda[\mu]$ is fin. dimensional. The rest follows from Corollaries 1+4. ■