

Last time: ended with the discussion of sl_n -representations.

Today: Representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Recall: Any fin. dim. G -module is also a $Lie(G)$ -module, while for the inverse implication we need G to be simply connected.

Exercise: Show that $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are simply connected

Hint: You may wish to relate $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $SU(n)$ and use [Homework 3, Problem 1]

Thus, finite dimensional $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -modules are completely reducible, and the irreducible ones are $\{L_\lambda \mid \lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \text{ with } \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq 0\}$

Proposition 1: \uparrow

Recall Example 4 from Lecture 27:

$S^k \mathbb{C}^n$ is an irreducible highest weight sl_n -module with the highest vector $e_1^{\otimes k}$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n , and its weight is $(k, 0, \dots, 0) = k \cdot \underbrace{\omega_1}_{1^{\text{st}} \text{ fundamental weight}}$ which we depict by $\underbrace{\boxed{\quad} \boxed{\quad} \dots \boxed{\quad}}_{k \text{ boxes}}$

$\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^n$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) is irreducible with $e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k$ being the highest vector, and its weight is $(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k, 0, \dots, 0) = \underbrace{\omega_k}_{k^{\text{th}} \text{ fund. weight}}$ which we depict by $\underbrace{\boxed{\quad} \boxed{\quad} \dots \boxed{\quad}}_k$

Therefore: Any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq 0$ can be written as

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \cdot (1, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots) + (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4) (1, 1, 1, 0, \dots)$$

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \omega_1 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) \omega_2 + \dots + (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n) \omega_{n-1}$$

so λ as above correspond to $\{m_1 \omega_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} \omega_{n-1} \mid m_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$ with $m_k = \lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}$.
 Moreover, as we recalled above each fundamental representation L_{ω_k} is just $\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e. the exterior power of the tautological repr. \mathbb{C}^n .
 In particular, we note $\Lambda^n \mathbb{C}^n$ is the trivial repr. of $sl_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Exercise (easy): Show $\Lambda^k V^* \simeq \Lambda^{n-k} V \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n$

We have the following general result:

Proposition 2: Let \mathfrak{g} be a s.s. Lie algebra, and $\lambda = \sum m_i \omega_i \in \mathcal{P}_+$ - dominant integral weight

Then L_λ can be realized as \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $\bigotimes_i L_{\omega_i}^{\otimes m_i}$ generated by $v = \bigotimes_i v_{\omega_i}^{\otimes m_i}$ (here, v_{ω_i} is the highest weight vector in L_{ω_i})

Let $V := \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})v \subseteq \bigotimes_i L_{\omega_i}^{\otimes m_i}$ be the above \mathfrak{g} -submodule. Then it's completely reducible, and v is a highest weight vector $\Rightarrow V \simeq L_\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_k L_{\nu_k}$. But similarly to the proof of [Lecture 27, Thm 1b)] we immediately deduce there are in fact no L_{ν_k} 's (as v generates both V and L_λ).

In our context from p.1, we thus obtain:

Corollary 1: For $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} m_k \omega_k = (m_1 + \dots + m_{n-1}, m_2 + m_3 + \dots + m_{n-1}, \dots, m_{n-1}, 0)$,

the irreducible $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module L_λ is generated inside $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{n-1} (\mathbb{C}^k)^{\otimes m_k}$ by the tensor product $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{n-1} (e_1, \dots, e_k)^{\otimes m_k}$. In particular, all irreducible fin. dim representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be always realized inside $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes (\sum m_k)} = (\mathbb{C}^n)^{|\lambda|}$

Let us now move to representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $\mathbb{C}^* = \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} \mid \lambda \neq 0 \}$ is a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and any $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be written as $A = \lambda \cdot B$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $B \in SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. However, this decomposition is not unique as:

$$\mathbb{C}^* \cap SL_n(\mathbb{C}) = \{ \lambda \cdot \text{Id} \mid \lambda^n = 1 \} = \underbrace{\mu_n}_{\text{gp of roots of 1 of order } n} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

Therefore, we have the following short exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mu_n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^* \times SL_n(\mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & & & \\ & & \mathbb{Z} & \longmapsto & (\mathbb{Z}^{-1}, \mathbb{Z} \cdot \text{Id}) & & \end{array}$$

Hence, any representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a repr. of $\mathbb{C}^* \times SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and any repr. of $\mathbb{C}^* \times SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ on which μ_n acts trivially descends to a repr. of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Lecture #28

To this end, we shall first consider repr-s of \mathbb{C}^* . Note that $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{C}$ is an abelian 1dim Lie algebra, hence its representation is just a pair (V, α) where V -vect. space, $\alpha \in \text{End}(V)$ is the image of $1 \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, the exponential map $\begin{matrix} \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C}^* \\ x & \mapsto & e^{2\pi i x} \end{matrix}$ is surjective with the kernel \mathbb{Z} .

Therefore, a pair (V, α) with fin. dim. V exponentiates to a representation of \mathbb{C}^* if and only if $\boxed{e^{2\pi i \alpha} = \text{Id}_V}$

(Exercise (easy)): $e^{2\pi i \alpha} = \text{Id} \iff \alpha$ is diagonalizable with \mathbb{Z} eigenvalues

Corollary 2: Finite dimensional repr-s of \mathbb{C}^* are completely reducible with irreducible $\chi_r: \begin{matrix} \mathbb{C}^* & \rightarrow & \text{End}(\mathbb{C}) \\ z & \mapsto & z^r \end{matrix}$ being 1dim, labelled by $r \in \mathbb{Z}$

Combining the above discussion, we thus obtain:

Proposition 3: Irreducible finite dimensional representations of $\mathbb{C}^* \times \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are $\chi_r \otimes L_\lambda$ with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, λ as above. Moreover, those that factor through $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfy $r - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \in n\mathbb{Z}$.

(Exercise: fill in remaining details in the prop.)

If we write λ as $\lambda = (m_1 + \dots + m_{n-1}, m_2 + \dots + m_{n-1}, \dots, m_{n-1}, 0)$ and define $m_n := \frac{r - \sum \lambda_i}{n}$ (which is integer by Prop 3) we can easily see that the highest weight of above $\chi_r \otimes L_\lambda$ equals $(m_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} + m_n, m_2 + \dots + m_{n-1} + m_n, \dots, m_{n-1} + m_n, m_n)$.

Corollary 3: Highest weights of irred. fin. dim. $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -modules are $\{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n\}$

In other words they have the form:

$\{\lambda = m_1 \omega_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} \omega_{n-1} + m_n \omega_n \mid m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ \leftarrow $\omega_k (k < n)$ as above $\omega_n = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$

Let us note that while $\Lambda^n \mathbb{C}^n$ was a trivial $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module, as a $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module it's precisely L_{ω_n} . Moreover, its dual $(\Lambda^n \mathbb{C}^n)^*$ is also irreducible, namely $L_{-\omega_n}$.

Thus, generalizing Corollary 1, we get:

Corollary 4: For $\lambda = m_1 \omega_1 + \dots + m_{n-1} \omega_{n-1} + m_n \omega_n$ ($m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$), the $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module L_λ can be realized inside $\bigoplus_{k=1}^n (\Lambda^k \mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m_k}$.

\uparrow if $m_n < 0$, then $(\Lambda^n \mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m_n} = ((\Lambda^n \mathbb{C}^n)^*)^{\otimes (-m_n)}$

Def 1: Representations L_λ with $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \omega_k$ and all $m_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are called polynomial.

The terminology depicts the fact that all matrix coefficients of $\rho: GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}(L_\lambda)$ are polynomials in matrix entries of $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, and thus can be continuously extended to a representation of a semigroup $\text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$.

Exercise: $m_n \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow L_\lambda$ occurs inside $V^{\otimes N}$ for some N .

Thus: irreducible polynomial finite dimensional representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are parametrized by

$$\{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \}$$

Moreover, L_λ occurs inside $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes |\lambda|}$, where $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = \#$ boxes in corresponding Young diagram.

On the other hand, we know that any f.d.m. $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -module is completely reducible. We can thus, decompose $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N}$ into irreducible $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -mod:

$$\forall N \geq 1: (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N} = \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=N} L_\lambda \otimes U_\lambda, \text{ where } U_\lambda := \text{Hom}_{GL_n(\mathbb{C})}(L_\lambda, (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N})$$

$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$
 $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$

are just vector spaces for now.

Equivalently, we can say that the above summation is over all size N Young diagrams of length $\leq n$ (i.e. at most n nonzero rows). We shall now equip U_λ with an additional structure of S_N -modules, where S_N denotes the symmetric group in $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

Consider an action $S_N \curvearrowright (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N}$ via

$$g \cdot (V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_N) = V_{g^{-1}(1)} \otimes V_{g^{-1}(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{g^{-1}(N)}$$

This action obviously commutes with the diagonal action $GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N}$

$$g \cdot (V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_N) = (g \cdot V_1) \otimes (g \cdot V_2) \otimes \dots \otimes (g \cdot V_N).$$

We shall depict this by

$$GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \curvearrowright (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N} \leftarrow S_N$$

As they commute, we obtain a natural action of S_N on each multiplicity space

$$S_N \curvearrowright U_\lambda$$

Def 2: Let $A := \text{Im}(U(GL_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N}))$ - "Schur algebra"

$B := \text{Im}(C[S_N] \rightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N}))$ - "centralizer algebra"

Theorem 1 (Schur-Weyl duality):

- The centralizer of A is B and the centralizer of B is A .
- If a partition λ of N has at most n parts, then the representation U_λ of B is irreducible, nonzero, and pairwise non-isomorphic all irreducible representations of B .
- If $n \geq N$, then $\{U_\lambda\}_{|\lambda|=N}$ exhaust all irreducible representations of S_N .

Note that the centralizer of B is $Z_B = S^N(\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n))$. We shall thus start with two general results on symmetric powers $S^N U$.

Lemma 1: For any \mathbb{C} -vector space U , $S^N U$ is spanned by $\{x^{\otimes N} = x \otimes \dots \otimes x \mid x \in U\}$

For example, if $N=2$ this follows from $x \otimes y + y \otimes x = \frac{1}{2}((x+y)^{\otimes 2} - x^{\otimes 2} - y^{\otimes 2})$

By [Hwk 12, Problem 6] = [Hwk 4, Problem 6], $S^N U$ is an irreducible $GL(U)$ -module. But $\text{span}\{x^{\otimes N} \mid x \in U\}$ is clearly a nonzero $GL(U)$ -submodule.

Hence, it equals all $S^N U$

Lecture #28

While the above lemma provided a set of elements that linearly span $S^N U$, we wish to refine it to a set of elements that generate $S^N U$ as algebra (given U is an algebra itself).

Lemma 2: If U is an associative \mathbb{C} -algebra, then $S^N U$ is generated by $\Delta^{(N)}(x) := x \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \otimes \dots \otimes 1 + \dots + 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \otimes x, x \in U$

Let's illustrate it for $N=2$: $x \otimes x = \frac{1}{2}((x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)^2 - (x^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^2)) = \frac{\Delta^{(2)}(x)^2 - \Delta^{(2)}(x^2)}{2}$

Due to Lemma 1, it suffices to express $x^{\otimes N}$ via $\Delta^{(N)}(\frac{x}{2})$. This follows from the following explicit formula:

$x^{\otimes N} = p(\Delta^{(N)}(x), \Delta^{(N)}(x^2), \dots, \Delta^{(N)}(x^N))$
where p is a polynomial in N variables satisfying $y_1 \dots y_N = p(\sum y_i, \sum y_i^2, \dots, \sum y_i^N)$

We leave details as a simple exercise

Combining Lemma 2 with $Z_B = S^N(\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and the observation that $\Delta^{(N)}(x)$ for $x \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is precisely how x acts on $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N}$, we get:

$A = \text{centralizer of } B$

proving one part of Thm 1a). In fact, all the rest follows from the general algebraic statement:

Proposition 4 (The Double Centralizer Lemma): Let V be a fin. dim. vector space, $A, B \subseteq \text{End}(V)$ be subalgebras, s.t. B is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras and $A = \text{centralizer of } B$. Then: A is also isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras, $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m W_i \otimes U_i$ where W_i runs through all irred. A -modules, U_i - irred. B -modules, and $B = \text{centralizer of } A$. In particular, we get bijection b/w $\{\text{irred. } A\text{-mod}\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\text{irred. } B\text{-mod}\}$

Remark: An algebra A is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras iff A is semisimple (i.e. no nonzero elt of A acts trivially on all irreducible A -modules) or equivalently if any f.d. A -module is completely reducible.

The result follows immediately from decomposing V as B -module

$$V = \bigoplus_i W_i \otimes U_i \quad \text{with } W_i = \text{Hom}_B(U_i, V)$$

$$\text{as then } A = \bigoplus \text{End}(W_i), \quad B = \bigoplus \text{End}(U_i)$$

In our case, B is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}[S_N]$. As every f.d. S_N -module is completely reducible, the same holds for B -modules, and hence by above Prop 4 the algebra B is isomorphic to a direct sum of matrix algebras.

Hence: Prop 4 applies and we get:

- 1) $B = \text{centralizer of } A$, finishing the proof of Thm 1a.
- 2) $\{U_\lambda \mid \text{length}(\lambda) \leq n, |\lambda| = N\}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic and provide all irreducible B -modules, thus establishing Thm 1b.

Finally, to prove Thm 1c) it suffices to show $B \cong \mathbb{C}[S_N]$, i.e. the map $\mathbb{C}[S_N] \rightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N})$ is injective for $n \geq N$. To this end, we note that $\{G_\sigma = (e_1 \otimes e_2 \otimes \dots \otimes e_N) \mid \sigma \in S_N\}$ are clearly lin. indep. decomposable tensors.

This completes our proof of the Schur-Weyl duality!

Remark: We also have $A = \text{span} \{g^{\otimes N} \mid g \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$, i.e.

$$\text{Im}(U(g|_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N})) = \text{span}(\text{Im}(GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{End}((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes N})))$$