

So far we used only the algebra structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ to:

- describe a basis of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$
- center of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ for $q \neq \sqrt{-1}$
- fin. dimensional representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ for $q \neq \sqrt{-1}$

However, what is really important is the Hopf algebra structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ that allows to consider \otimes of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

Theorem 1: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ has a Hopf algebra structure with coproduct Δ , counit ε , and antipode S uniquely determined by the following q -las:

$$\Delta: E \mapsto E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E, F \mapsto F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F, K \mapsto K \otimes K$$

$$S: E \mapsto -K^{-1}E, F \mapsto -FK, K \mapsto K^{-1}$$

$$\varepsilon: E \mapsto 0, F \mapsto 0, K \mapsto 1$$

- First, we need to check that each of these 3 assignments is compatible with the defining rel's of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$:

$$K \cdot K^{-1} = 1 = K^{-1} \cdot K, KE = q^2 EK, KF = q^{-2} FK, EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

The first three are easy, so we will check only the last one

$$1) \Delta(E) \Delta(F) - \Delta(F) \Delta(E) = [E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E, F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F] =$$

$$= EF \otimes K^{-1} + E \otimes F + K \otimes EK^{-1} + K \otimes EF - FE \otimes K^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E - E \otimes F - K \otimes FE$$

$$= [E, F] \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes [E, F] = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{K \otimes K - K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = \frac{\Delta(K) - \Delta(K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \checkmark$$

$$2) [S(E), S(F)] = [-K^{-1}E, -FK] = K^{-1}EFK - FE = [E, F] = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} = - \frac{S(K) - S(K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \checkmark$$

$$3) [\varepsilon(E), \varepsilon(F)] = 0 = \frac{\varepsilon(K) - \varepsilon(K^{-1})}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \checkmark$$

- Next, one needs to check coassociativity and counity properties. It suffices to check these on generators, where it's straightforward.

[Exercise: Check all!]

- Finally, we need to check that S is indeed an antipode, i.e.

$$\sum_{(x)} S(x') x'' = \sum_{(x)} x' S(x'') = \eta \varepsilon(x) \quad \forall x \in U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$$

But according to Lemma 1 of Lecture 3, it suffices to check this only for $x = E, F, K^{\pm 1}$. It's a straightforward check!

[Exercise: Check it!]

We next state two lemmas of computational nature.

Lemma 1: a) $S^2(u) = K^{-1} u K \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

b) $S(E^r) = (-1)^r q^{r(r-1)} K^{-r} E^r$

$S(F^r) = (-1)^r q^{-r(r-1)} F^r K^r$

a) As both S^2 and $u \mapsto K^{-1} u K$ are algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, it suffices to check the above equality on the generators

$S^2(K^{\pm 1}) = S(K^{\mp 1}) = K^{\pm 1} = K^{-1} \cdot K^{\pm 1} \cdot K$

$S^2(E) = S(-K^{-1}E) = -S(E)S(K^{-1}) = K^{-1} \cdot E \cdot K$

$S^2(F) = S(-FK) = -S(K)S(F) = K^{-1} \cdot F \cdot K$

b) Prove both f-las by induction on r , or alternatively in a direct way:

$S(E^r) = \underbrace{S(E) \cdot \dots \cdot S(E)}_r = \underbrace{(-K^{-1}E) \cdot \dots \cdot (-K^{-1}E)}_r = (-1)^r \cdot K^{-1} E K^{-1} E \dots K^{-1} E$
 $= (-1)^r \cdot q^{2 \cdot \frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \cdot K^{-r} E^r = (-1)^r q^{r(r-1)} K^{-r} E^r$

$S(F^r) = \underbrace{S(F) \cdot \dots \cdot S(F)}_r = \underbrace{(-FK) \cdot \dots \cdot (-FK)}_r = (-1)^r q^{2 \cdot \frac{r(r-1)}{2}} F^r K^{-r} = (-1)^r q^{-r(r-1)} F^r K^r$

Lemma 2: The coproduct Δ behaves on the powers of generators as follows:

$\Delta(K^n) = K^n \otimes K^n, \quad \Delta(E^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{i(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q E^{n-i} K^i \otimes E^i, \quad \Delta(F^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{i(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q F^i \otimes F^{n-i} K^{-i}$

• First f-la is clear

• For the second one, note that $(K \otimes E) \cdot (E \otimes 1) = q^2 \cdot (E \otimes 1) \cdot (K \otimes E)$, hence we can apply q -binomial f-la (Proposition 3 from Lecture 6).

To this end, we recall that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[i]_q! [n-i]_q!}, \quad \binom{n}{i}_{q^2} = \frac{(n)_{q^2}!}{(i)_{q^2}! (n-i)_{q^2}!}$

As $[a]_q = \frac{q^a - q^{-a}}{q - q^{-1}} = q^{-a} \cdot \frac{q^{2a} - 1}{q^2 - 1} = q^{-a} \cdot (a)_{q^2}$, we get $[a]_q! = (a)_{q^2}! \cdot q^{-\frac{a(a-1)}{2}}$

$\Rightarrow \binom{n}{i}_{q^2} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \cdot q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{i(i-1)}{2} - \frac{(n-i)(n-i-1)}{2}} = q^{i(n-i)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q$

So: $\Delta(E^n) = (E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{n-i}_{q^2} E^{n-i} K^i \otimes E^i = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{i(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q E^{n-i} K^i \otimes E^i$

• Note that $(F \otimes K^{-1}) \cdot (1 \otimes F) = q^2 \cdot (1 \otimes F) \cdot (F \otimes K^{-1})$, we likewise get:

$\Delta(F^n) = (1 \otimes F + F \otimes K^{-1})^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{n-i}_{q^2} F^i \otimes F^{n-i} K^{-i} = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{i(n-i)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q F^i \otimes F^{n-i} K^{-i}$

Lecture #13

Exercise: Recalling the Hopf algebra structure on the universal enveloping algebras $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (from Theorem 1 of Lecture 7) and the exact degeneration $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ (from Lemmas 2-3 of Lecture 8), verify that the degeneration of Δ, S, ϵ on $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ recovers those on $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

The goal for the rest of today is to construct the intertwiner of \otimes -product of f.dim. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules. To this end, we note:

- 1) given f.dim. vector spaces V_1 & V_2 , the flip map $\tau: V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow V_2 \otimes V_1$
 $v_1 \otimes v_2 \mapsto v_2 \otimes v_1$
 is a vector space isomorphism
- 2) given f.dim. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules M_1 & M_2 , the flip map $\tau: M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes M_1$
 $m_1 \otimes m_2 \mapsto m_2 \otimes m_1$
 is actually an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module isomorphism since $\Delta = \underbrace{\Delta^{op}}_{=: \tau \circ \Delta}$
- 3) however given f.dim. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules M_1 & M_2 , the flip map τ as above is NOT a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module isomorphism. Our goal is to correct τ by extra terms to make it into a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -mod isom.

Assume: $q \neq \pm \sqrt{-1}$, char $k \neq 2$.

Def: We define a sequence $\{\mathbb{H}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ by
 $\mathbb{H}_1 = 0, \mathbb{H}_0 := 1 \otimes 1, \mathbb{H}_n := a_n \cdot F^n \otimes E^n$ with $a_0 = 1, a_n = -q^{-\binom{n-1}{2}} \frac{q-q^{-1}}{[n]} a_{n-1}$
 so: $a_n = (-1)^n \frac{(q-q^{-1})^n}{[n]_q!} \cdot q^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$

Lemma 3: For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have
 $(K \otimes K) \mathbb{H}_n = \mathbb{H}_n (K \otimes K)$
 $(E \otimes 1) \mathbb{H}_n + (K \otimes E) \mathbb{H}_{n+1} = \mathbb{H}_n (E \otimes 1) + \mathbb{H}_{n+1} (K^{-1} \otimes E)$
 $(1 \otimes F) \mathbb{H}_n + (F \otimes K^{-1}) \mathbb{H}_{n+1} = \mathbb{H}_n (1 \otimes F) + \mathbb{H}_{n+1} (F \otimes K)$

The first equality is obvious. For the second one, we note:
 $[E \otimes 1, \mathbb{H}_n] = a_n \cdot [E, F^n] \otimes E^n = a_n \cdot [n] \cdot F^{n-1} [K; 1-n] \otimes E^n$ (by Lemma 1 of Lecture 9)
 $\mathbb{H}_{n+1} (K^{-1} \otimes E) - (K \otimes E) \mathbb{H}_{n+1} = a_{n+1} (F^{n+1} K^{-1} \otimes E^{n+1} - K F^{n+1} \otimes E^{n+1}) = a_{n+1} \cdot F^{n+1} (K^{-1} - q^{-2\binom{n+1}{2}} K) \otimes E^{n+1}$
 Hence, the two expressions coincide b/c of the recursive f-la for a_n .

Exercise: Check the 3rd equality in the same way

Lecture #13

4

Evoking Lemma 2 of Lecture 10, we note that under our assumptions on \mathfrak{g} , \mathbb{K} , any fin. dimen. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module has a weight decomposition w.r.t. K -action, with weights being elts of the following set:

$$\tilde{\Lambda} := \{ \pm q^s \mid s \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

Let $f: \tilde{\Lambda} \times \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ be a map s.t.

$$f(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda f(\lambda, q^2 \mu) = \mu f(q^2 \lambda, \mu) \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \tilde{\Lambda}$$

Exercise: Classify all such f

Now we are ready to construct an intertwiner b/w \otimes -products.

Explicitly, given two finite dimensional $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules M_1, M_2 , define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}: M_1 \otimes M_2 &\rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2 \\ m_1 \otimes m_2 &\mapsto f(\lambda, \mu) \cdot m_1 \otimes m_2 \quad \begin{array}{l} \forall \text{ weight } \lambda \text{ vector } m_1 \\ \text{weight } \mu \text{ vector } m_2 \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

We also consider

$$\mathbb{H} := \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{H}_n : M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2$$

which is well-defined since F, E act nilpotently on M_1, M_2 (Lemma 1 of Lect 10)

Finally, we consider the composition

$$\mathbb{H}^f := \mathbb{H} \circ \tilde{f} : M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2$$

Theorem 2: The map $\mathbb{H}^f \circ \tau: M_2 \otimes M_1 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2$ is a $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -mod isom.

↑
flip map