

Last time : $\mathbb{H} = \sum_{\substack{\mu \neq 0 \\ \mu \in \mathbb{Q}}} \mathbb{H}_\mu$, $\mathbb{H}_\mu = \sum_i y_i^\mu \otimes x_i^\mu$
 dual bases of $(\mathcal{U}_q^-)_\mu, (\mathcal{U}_q^+)_\mu$

$f: P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ s.t. $f(\lambda + \nu, \mu) = f(\lambda, \mu) \cdot q^{-\langle \nu, \mu \rangle}$, $f(\lambda, \mu + \nu) = f(\lambda, \mu) q^{-\langle \lambda, \nu \rangle} \forall \lambda, \mu \in P, \nu \in \mathbb{Q}$

Theorem 1: $\mathbb{H} \circ \tau \circ \tau = \mathbb{H} \circ \tau$ provides isomorphism of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules $M_2 \otimes M_1 \cong M_1 \otimes M_2$ (f.d., type 1)

Theorem 2: $\mathbb{H}_{12}^f \circ \mathbb{H}_{13}^f \circ \mathbb{H}_{23}^f = \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \circ \mathbb{H}_{13}^f \circ \mathbb{H}_{12}^f : M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes M_3 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes M_3$.

The last result from sl_2 -setup of Lectures 14-15 that admits a direct generalization:

Theorem 1: Let M_1, M_2, M_3 be f.d. dim. type 1 $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Assume that f also satisfies the following multiplicativity formulas:

$f(\lambda, \mu + \nu) = f(\lambda, \mu) f(\lambda, \nu)$, $f(\lambda + \nu, \mu) = f(\lambda, \mu) f(\nu, \mu) \forall \lambda, \mu, \nu \in P$

Then, $R^\vee := \mathbb{H}^f \circ \tau$ also satisfy the hexagon identities:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M_1 \otimes (M_2 \otimes M_3) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes R^\vee} & M_1 \otimes (M_3 \otimes M_2) & \xrightarrow{can} & (M_1 \otimes M_3) \otimes M_2 & \xrightarrow{R^\vee \otimes id} & (M_3 \otimes M_1) \otimes M_2 \\
 & \searrow can & & & & & \\
 & & (M_1 \otimes M_2) \otimes M_3 & \xrightarrow{R_{12,3}^\vee} & M_3 \otimes (M_1 \otimes M_2) & \xrightarrow{can} & \\
 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

as well as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (M_1 \otimes M_2) \otimes M_3 & \xrightarrow{R^\vee \otimes id} & (M_2 \otimes M_1) \otimes M_3 & \xrightarrow{can} & M_2 \otimes (M_1 \otimes M_3) & \xrightarrow{id \otimes R^\vee} & M_2 \otimes (M_3 \otimes M_1) \\
 & \searrow can & & & & & \\
 & & M_1 \otimes (M_2 \otimes M_3) & \xrightarrow{R_{1,23}^\vee} & (M_2 \otimes M_3) \otimes M_1 & \xrightarrow{can} &
 \end{array}$$

The proof is precisely the same as of [Lecture 15, Proposition 1]

Exercise: Classify all $f: P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ satisfying all the four relations

Remark: Theorem 2 translates into $R_{12}^\vee R_{23}^\vee R_{12}^\vee = R_{23}^\vee R_{12}^\vee R_{23}^\vee$. Indeed:

LHS = $\mathbb{H}_{12}^f \tau_{12} \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \tau_{23} \mathbb{H}_{12}^f \tau_{12} = \mathbb{H}_{12}^f \mathbb{H}_{13}^f \tau_{12} \tau_{23} \mathbb{H}_{12}^f \tau_{12} = \mathbb{H}_{12}^f \mathbb{H}_{13}^f \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \circ \tau_{12} \tau_{23} \tau_{12}$

RHS = $\mathbb{H}_{23}^f \tau_{23} \mathbb{H}_{12}^f \tau_{12} \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \tau_{23} = \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \mathbb{H}_{13}^f \tau_{23} \tau_{12} \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \tau_{23} = \mathbb{H}_{23}^f \mathbb{H}_{13}^f \mathbb{H}_{12}^f \circ \tau_{23} \tau_{12} \tau_{23}$

and finally $\tau_{12} \tau_{23} \tau_{12} = \tau_{23} \tau_{12} \tau_{23}$ (mapping $m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes m_3 \mapsto m_3 \otimes m_2 \otimes m_1$)

Lecture #29

If we now pick any type 1 f.d. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module V and $r \geq 3$, then we get a family of linear operators $R_i := R_{i, i+1}$ acting on $V^{\otimes r}$. By above Remark, $(1 \leq i \leq r-1)$ they satisfy $R_i R_{i+1} R_i = R_{i+1} R_i R_{i+1}$. Also $R_i R_j = R_j R_i$ whenever $|i-j| \geq 2$.

Corollary 1: The endomorphisms $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^{r-1}$ define a representation of the braid group B_r on $V^{\otimes r}$.

Remark: Here, the (type A) braid group B_r is abstractly defined by generators $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{r-1}$ subject to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j &= \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have a similar realization of the symmetric group S_r , for which we also add relations $\sigma_i^2 = id$ (here, σ_i represents a transposition $(i, i+1)$). We thus obtain:

$$\pi : B_r \rightarrow S_r$$

and $\text{Ker}(\pi)$ is called the pure braid group

Example: Evoking our computation from (Lecture 15, Remark) for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $M_1 = M_2 = L(1, +)$ and $f(q, q) = q^{-1}$, we have:

$$\mathbb{N}^f = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow R := \mathbb{N}^f \circ \tau = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & q^{-1} & q \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercise: Verify $R^2 = (q^{-1} - q)R + 1 \Rightarrow (qR^{-1})^2 = (q^2 - 1)(qR^{-1}) + q^2$

Thus, combining this with the Corollary above, we obtain an action of type A_{r-1} Hecke algebra on $L(1, +)^{\otimes r}$ arising through the intertwiner R .

Lecture #29

13

Let G be the simply connected, connected, semisimple Lie group with $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$.

Def: Let $\mathbb{K}_q[G]$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^*$ spanned by matrix coefficients
 $\{c_{f,m} \mid m \in M, f \in M^* \text{ where } M \text{ fin. dim. } \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})\text{-module}\}$.

Lemma 1: $\mathbb{K}_q[G]$ is actually a subalgebra (with unit)

Let $m_1 \in M_1, f_1 \in M_1^*, m_2 \in M_2, f_2 \in M_2^*$. Then, we claim that

$$c_{f_1, m_1} \cdot c_{f_2, m_2} = c_{f_1 \otimes f_2, \underbrace{m_1 \otimes m_2}_{\in M_1 \otimes M_2}}$$

Indeed, for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$c_{f_1 \otimes f_2, m_1 \otimes m_2}(u) = (f_1 \otimes f_2)(u(m_1 \otimes m_2)) = \sum_{(u)} f_1(u'(m_1)) \cdot f_2(u''(m_2)) = \sum_{(u)} \underbrace{c_{f_1, m_1}(u') \cdot c_{f_2, m_2}(u'')}_{(c_{f_1, m_1} \cdot c_{f_2, m_2})(u)}$$

Also, the unit is coming from taking $M = \mathbb{K}$ with the trivial (via co-unit) action, $m = 1 \in M, f = 1^* \in M^*$. Then: $c_{f, m} = \varepsilon$ which is identity for above product. \square

Exercise (Hwk 6): $\mathbb{K}_q[G]$ has a natural Hopf algebra structure.

Remark: For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, the above construction ultimately recovers $SL_q(n)$ from [Homework 2, Problem 10]. The key reason for this is that $L(\omega_1)$ which is the q -deformation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n \otimes \mathbb{C}^u$ generates all other f.d. type 1 $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules under taking direct summands in $L(\omega_1)^{\otimes 2}$.
And the matrix coeff- \rightarrow of $L(\omega_1)$ are spanned by $\{c_{e^+, e_j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$

Exercise (Hwk 6): Identify $\mathbb{K}_q[SL_2]$ with $SL_{q^{\pm}}(2)$ from Lecture 6.

Next time: Lusztig's braid group action and the PBW-type basis.