

Lecture #33

- Goals for today: 1) Prove Thm 2 of Lecture 32
 2) Use it to derive bases of U_q^{\pm} .

Proof of [Lecture 32, Theorem 2]

a) Want to prove

(1) $\left\{ T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{r-1}} (E_{i_r})^{a_r} \dots T_{i_1} (E_{i_2})^{a_2} \cdot E_{i_1}^{a_1} \mid a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$ - lin. indep.

for any reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_r}$. The proof is by induction on $l(w)$. If $l(w) = 0 \Rightarrow w = 1 \Rightarrow$ nothing to check. Otherwise, write $w = s_{i_1} (s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_r}) = s_{i_1} w'$. Note that $w' = s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_r}$ is reduced, hence, by induction hypothesis the elements

(2) $\left\{ T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_{r-1}} (E_{i_r})^{a_r} \dots T_{i_2} (E_{i_3})^{a_3} \cdot E_{i_2}^{a_2} \mid a_2, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$ - lin. indep.

On the other hand, applying $T_{i_1}^{-1}$ to any linear dependence:

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r} c_{a_1, \dots, a_r} T_{i_1}^{-1} T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{r-1}} (E_{i_r})^{a_r} \dots T_{i_1} (E_{i_2})^{a_2} \cdot E_{i_1}^{a_1} = 0,$$

we get

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r} c_{a_1, \dots, a_r} \cdot \left(T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_{r-1}} (E_{i_r})^{a_r} \dots T_{i_2} (E_{i_3})^{a_3} \cdot E_{i_2}^{a_2} \right) \cdot (-K_{i_1}^{-1} F_{i_1})^{a_1} = 0$$

But $U_q^+ \otimes U_q^{\pm} \xrightarrow{\text{mult}} U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ - isomorphism of vector spaces (by triangular decomp)
 Thus, combining lin. indep. of (2) with lin. independence of $(-K_{i_1}^{-1} F_{i_1})^{a_1} \mid a_1 \geq 0$ (for degree reasons), we immediately conclude that all $c_{a_1, \dots, a_r} = 0$. ✓

b) Want to prove that the span of the aforementioned products is independent of a reduced decomposition of w . But, evoking Fact 1 from Lecture 32, it suffices to show that this span does not change under a single elementary move:

$$w = w_1 \underbrace{s_i s_j s_i \dots}_N w_2 \xrightarrow[\text{move}]{\text{elementary}} w = w_1 \underbrace{s_j s_i s_j \dots}_N w_2$$

$N = \text{order of } s_i s_j \text{ in } W$

Lecture #33

(Continuation)

However, it's easy to see that this essentially reduces to the rank 2 case.

(Indeed, if $w_1 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p}$, $w_2 = s_{i_{p+1}} \dots s_{i_t}$, so that $l = N+t$, then the first $t-p$ and the last p terms in the sequence $T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_t}(E_i)$ are independent of the above elementary move

Thus, the result follows from the following simple lemma:

Lemma 1: If $i \neq j$ and $\tilde{w} = w_0^J = \frac{s_i s_j s_i \dots}{N} = \frac{s_j s_i s_j \dots}{N}$ is the longest elt in $\langle s_i, s_j \rangle = W^J$ with $J = \{i, j\}$, then the span of the corresponding products is $\langle E_i, E_j \rangle$ -subalgebra generated by E_i, E_j .

This is a rank 2 computation that can be checked case-by-case!

Case 1: $N=2$ ($a_{ij}=0=a_{ji}$)

Then $\tilde{w} = s_i s_j \Rightarrow T_i(E_j)^{a_2} \cdot E_i^{a_1} = E_j^{a_2} \cdot E_i^{a_1} \Rightarrow$ they span $\langle E_i, E_j \rangle$ as $[E_i, E_j]=0$

Case 2: $N=3$ ($a_{ij}=-1=a_{ji}$)

Then $\tilde{w} = s_i s_j s_i \Rightarrow T_i T_j (E_i)^{a_3} \cdot T_i (E_j)^{a_2} \cdot E_i^{a_1} = E_j^{a_3} \cdot (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i) \cdot E_i^{a_1}$

Want: prove $\text{span} \{ E_j^{a_3} (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)^{a_2} \cdot E_i^{a_1} \} = \langle E_i, E_j \rangle$

We clearly have \subseteq . To prove $=$, it suffices to check that this span is stable under left multiplication by E_i, E_j (as it contains 1).

The case of E_j is obvious: $E_j \cdot E_j^{a_3} (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)^{a_2} \cdot E_i^{a_1} = E_j^{a_3+1} (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)^{a_2} E_i^{a_1}$

Thus, it remains to rewrite $E_i \cdot E_j^{a_3} (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)^{a_2} \cdot E_i^{a_1}$ as a linear combination of such "ordered products".

We thus need to "move" E_i to the right of $E_j^{a_3}$ and then to the right of $E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i$. The latter is easy:

$$\underbrace{E_i^2 E_j - (q_i + q_i^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0}_{q\text{-Serre rel-n}} \Rightarrow E_i (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i) = q_i (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i) E_i$$

Lecture #33

▷ (Continuation)

It remains to move E_i to the right of $E_j^{a_3}$. We illustrate this now:

• if $a_3 = 1$, then

$$E_i E_j = q_i^{-1} E_j E_i + (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)$$

• if $a_3 = 2$, then

$$E_i E_j^2 \stackrel{q\text{-Serre}}{=} (q_i + q_i^{-1}) E_j E_i E_j - E_j^2 E_i \stackrel{q_i = q_j}{=} q_i^{-2} \underbrace{E_j^2 E_i}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{both ordered as wanted}}} + (q_i + q_i^{-1}) \underbrace{E_j (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{both ordered as wanted}}}$$

• if $a_3 = 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_i E_j^3 &= E_i E_j^2 E_j = (q_i^{-2} E_j^2 E_i + (q_i + q_i^{-1}) E_j (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)) E_j = \\ &= q_i^{-2} E_j^2 (q_i^{-1} E_j E_i + (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)) + (q_i + q_i^{-1}) E_j (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i) E_j \\ &= q_i^{-3} E_j^3 E_i + \underbrace{(q_i^2 + 1 + q_i^{-2})}_{=[3]_{q_i}} E_j^2 (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i) \end{aligned}$$

Easy to prove by induction:

$$E_i E_j^{a_3} = q_i^{-a_3} E_j^{a_3} E_i + [a_3]_{q_i} E_j^{a_3-1} (E_i E_j - q_i^{-1} E_j E_i)$$

as wanted. This completes case 2 in the proof of Lemma 1.

Case 3: $N=4$

[Exercise

Case 4: $N=6 \rightarrow$ as always, this is the most unpleasant computation we skip □

This completes our proof of Theorem 2 from Lecture 32 □

We denote the span considered above by $U^+[w]$. Applying the Cartan involution ω of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we define $U^-[w] := \omega(U^+[w]) \subseteq U_q^-$

(Remark: In fact, $U^\pm[w]$ are not just subspaces but also are subalgebras

Lecture #33

The following simple lemma is needed for our main result.

Lemma 2: If $w \in W$, $i \in I$ satisfy $w^{-1}(d_i) \in \Delta^-$, then $U^+[w] \cdot E_i \subseteq U^+[w]$

It suffices to show that w admits a reduced decomposition

$$w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_\ell} \quad \text{with } i_i = i$$

since all the "ordered" products are then mapped to "ordered" products under the right multiplication by E_i . To this end, it suffices to show

$$l(s_i w) < l(w)$$

as then picking any reduced decomposition $s_i w = s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_\ell}$ yields the claim.

But: $s_i: d_i \mapsto -d_i, \Delta^+ \setminus \{d_i\} \ni \gamma \Rightarrow l(s_i w) = \#\{\gamma \in \Delta^+ \mid (s_i w)^{-1} \gamma \in \Delta^-\}$

$$l(w) - 1 = \#\{\gamma \in \Delta^+ \mid w^{-1} \gamma \in \Delta^-\} - 1$$

We are now ready to state the main result.

Theorem 1: Consider any reduced decomposition of the longest elt

$$w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \dots s_{i_\ell} \quad (\text{note: } \ell = |\Delta^+|)$$

Then, the ordered products

$$\left\{ T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_{r-1}} (E_{i_r})^{a_r} \dots T_{i_1} (E_{i_2})^{a_2} E_{i_1}^{a_1} \mid a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} - \text{basis of } U_q^+$$

As above products are lin. indep, it's equivalent to $U^+[w_0] = U_q^+$

However, due to Lemma 2 and $w_0^{-1}(d_i) \in \Delta^- \forall i$, we see that

$U^+[w_0]$ is stable under right multiplication by all E_i . As $1 \in U^+[w_0]$, the equality $U^+[w_0] = U_q^+$ follows.

Remark: a) Completely analogously, $U^-[w_0] = U_q^- \Rightarrow$ get bases of U_q^-

b) As follows from Fact 2 of Lecture 32, we have

$$\{d_{i_1}, s_{i_1}(d_{i_2}), \dots, s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{r-1}}(d_{i_r})\} = \Delta^+$$

$$\text{while } E_{s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{r-1}}(d_{i_r})} := T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_{r-1}}(E_{i_r}) \in (U_q^+)_{s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{r-1}}(d_{i_r})}$$

is the "q-analogue" of the root generator of \mathfrak{g} .