

Last time: $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebras & Verma modules

Today: Further study of Verma modules

Let's start with the following classical result, left as an exercise:

Exercise (Frobenius reciprocity): Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ - Lie subalg.

Let $\mathfrak{h} \curvearrowright M$ and $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright N$. Then, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}} M, N) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h}}(M, N)$$

where $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(-, -)$ means $\mathfrak{g}$ -module maps $\uparrow$ viewed as $\mathfrak{h}$ -module here also denoted $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(N) =$ restriction of N to $\mathfrak{h}$

Def 1: For a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V , a vector $v \in V$ is called a singular vector of weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ if $x(v) = 0$, $h(v) = \lambda(h) \cdot v \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

We denote the subspace of all such vectors by $\text{sing}_{\lambda}(V)$

With this definition, one can define the highest weight Verma via the following universal property

Lemma 1: For any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module V , there is a canonical isomorphism of v.sp:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_{\lambda}^+, V) \cong \text{sing}_{\lambda}(V)$$

► $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_{\lambda}^+, V) \xrightarrow{\text{Exercise}} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{n}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, V) \xrightarrow{\text{clear}} \text{sing}_{\lambda}(V)$

We also have the following simple property of Verma modules (here a module M of a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \mathfrak{g}_n$ is called $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded if $M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M_n$ as v.spaces with $\mathfrak{g}_n(M_m) \subseteq M_{n+m} \quad \forall n, m$)

Lemma 2: (a) $M_{\lambda}^{\pm}$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

(b) $M_{\lambda}^+ \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) v_{\lambda}^+$ & $M_{\lambda}^- \simeq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+) v_{\lambda}^-$ as vector spaces,

where $v_{\lambda}^{\pm} \in M_{\lambda}^{\pm}$ is the image of $1 \otimes 1 \in \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$

► a) Obvious as $\mathfrak{n}_+$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$, while $\deg(\mathfrak{h}) = \deg(\mathfrak{g}_0) = 0$

b) This follows easily from the PBW theorem. Let's give details, $\downarrow$

Lecture #4

(continuation of proof of Lemma 2)

Pick a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ as a union of bases of $\mathfrak{n}_-$, $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{n}_+$. Then, applying PBW to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$, $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)$, and $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, we see that

multiplication $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a v.space iso.

Hence: $M_\lambda^+ = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \cong (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda$

Likewise: $M_\lambda^- \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as v. spaces.

Actually: $M_\lambda^\pm \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_\mp) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ as graded $\mathfrak{n}_\mp$ -modules

As an immediate corollary, we get:

Corollary 1: a) If $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-n}) < \infty \forall n > 0$, then $\sum_{n \geq 0} \dim(M_\lambda^+[n]) \cdot q^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-q^k)^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-k}}}$
 b) If $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_n) < \infty \forall n > 0$, then $\sum_{n \geq 0} \dim(M_\lambda^-[n]) \cdot q^n = \prod_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{(1-q^k)^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_k}}$

This follows from PBW as $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_\mp) \cong \underline{S}(\mathfrak{n}_\mp)$ as graded vector spaces
 Symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{n}_\mp$

Example: Consider $\mathfrak{g}_1 = A$ -Heisenberg algebra, $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded as in Lecture 3.
 Then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{C}a_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}k$. Pick $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ s.t. $\lambda(k) = 1$, $\lambda(a_0) = \mu$.
 Then, $M_\lambda^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} F_\mu = \text{Fock } A\text{-module from Lecture 2 (check it!)}$

The main result from today establishes an interplay b/w M_λ^+ & M_μ^- .
 We start with two definitions:

Def 2: Given $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces $M = \bigoplus_n M_n$, $N = \bigoplus_n N_n$, a bilinear form $(,): M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of degree zero if $(M_m, N_n) = 0$ for $m+n \neq 0$

Def 3: Given $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules M, N , a bilinear form $(,): M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant if $(xm, n) + (m, xn) = 0 \forall m \in M, n \in N, x \in \mathfrak{g}$

The latter can be interpreted as saying $(,): M \otimes N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -module morphism, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the trivial $\mathfrak{g}$ -module

Lecture #4

The following is our first main result for today:

Proposition 1: Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then, there exists a unique (up to scaling) $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant pairing $M_\lambda^+ \times M_{-\lambda}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it is of degree zero.

The proof relies on the following exercise (see Homework 1):

Exercise: (a) Let $\mathfrak{o}$ -Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{o}$ -Lie subalgebra, $\mathfrak{h} \curvearrowright M$, $\mathfrak{o} \curvearrowright N$.
 Then: $\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{o}}(M) \otimes N \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{o}}(M \otimes \underbrace{\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{o}}(N)}_{\text{same } N \text{ viewed as } \mathfrak{h}\text{-module}})$ as $\mathfrak{o}$ -modules.

(b) Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ -Lie subalgebras s.t. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o} + \mathfrak{h}$.
 Then for any $\mathfrak{h} \curvearrowright M$, we have
 $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{o}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M)) \simeq \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{o} \cap \mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{o}}(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{o} \cap \mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{h}}(M))$ as $\mathfrak{o}$ -modules.

Proof of Proposition 1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\lambda^+ \otimes M_{-\lambda}^-, \mathbb{C}) &\stackrel{\text{Ex a)}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes M_{-\lambda}^-), \mathbb{C}) \stackrel{\text{Frob. reciprocity}}{=} \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes M_{-\lambda}^-, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda})), \mathbb{C}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Ex b)}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}), \mathbb{C}) \stackrel{\text{Ex a)}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}(\text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}), \mathbb{C}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Frob. reciprocity}}{=} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbb{C}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the resulting map $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\lambda^+ \otimes M_{-\lambda}^-, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ sends $(\cdot, \cdot) \mapsto (v_\lambda^+, v_{-\lambda}^-)$.

Let's show that such $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is necessarily of degree zero. To this end we want to verify $(xv_\lambda^+, yv_{-\lambda}^-) = 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$, $y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ with $\deg(x) = -n$, $\deg(y) = m$ and $n+m \neq 0$. Let $S: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the algebra antiautomorphism s.t. $S(z) = -z \ \forall z \in \mathfrak{g}$ (called antipode map).
 $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariance of $(\cdot, \cdot)$ implies $(xv_\lambda^+, yv_{-\lambda}^-) = (S(y)xv_\lambda^+, v_{-\lambda}^-) = (v_\lambda^+, S(x)yv_{-\lambda}^-)$
 If $m-n > 0 \Rightarrow S(y)xv_\lambda^+ = 0$; if $m-n < 0 \Rightarrow S(x)yv_{-\lambda}^- = 0$.

Lecture #4

Remark: The above proof also shows that if $\mu \neq -\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, then there are no $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant pairings $M_\lambda^+ \times M_\mu^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Def 4: Let $(,)_\lambda$ denote the $\mathfrak{g}$ -inv. pairing of Prop 1 s.t. $(v_\lambda^+, v_{-\lambda}^-) = 1$

Let J_λ^+ (resp. $J_{-\lambda}^-$) be the left (resp. right) kernel of $(,)_\lambda$, i.e.

$$J_\lambda^+ = \{v \in M_\lambda^+ \mid (v, M_{-\lambda}^-) = 0\}, \quad J_{-\lambda}^- = \{v \in M_{-\lambda}^- \mid (M_\lambda^+, v) = 0\}$$

Since $(,)_\lambda$ has degree zero, we see that $J_{\pm\lambda}^\pm$ are $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded.

Since $(,)_\lambda$ is $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant, $J_{\pm\lambda}^\pm$ are also $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodules

(indeed if $v \in J_\lambda^+$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $(xv, w) = -(v, xw) = 0 \quad \forall w \Rightarrow xv \in J_\lambda^+$)

Thus, we obtain $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

$$L_\lambda^\pm := M_\lambda^\pm / J_\lambda^\pm$$

Moreover, by construction, the pairing $(,)_\lambda$ descends to a

non-degenerate $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant degree zero pairing $(,)_\lambda: L_\lambda^+ \times L_{-\lambda}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Theorem 1: (a) $L_\lambda^\pm$ are irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules

(b) $J_\lambda^\pm$ - maximal proper graded submodule of $M_\lambda^\pm$

(c) If there is $L \in \mathfrak{h}$ s.t. $[L, x] = n \cdot x \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_n$, then $J_\lambda^\pm$ - max. proper submodule of $M_\lambda^\pm$

Reminder: A subspace W of a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded v. space $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ is called graded if $W = \bigoplus_n (W \cap V_n)$. Same applies to submodules.

Exercise: For each $\mathfrak{g}$ from Lecture 2 (i.e. $A, W, Vir, \mathfrak{g}$ -simple, $\mathfrak{g}$) decide if L as in part (c) exists

Exercise: Provide a counterexample to (b) if "graded" is lifted.

(a) Assume contradiction, i.e. $\exists$ submodule $0 \neq V \subsetneq L_2^+$. Take any $v \in V$.

Write $v = \sum_{i=0}^N v_{-i}$, v_{-i} has degree $-i$, $v_{-N} \neq 0$. For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ ($j > 0$):

$xv = \sum_{\substack{\text{degree } j-i}} \underbrace{xv}_{-i}$ is an elt of V , with minimal degree component $> -N$.

Thus, applying n^+ several times we can assume that v is chosen so that N -minimal possible. Then $n_+(v_N) = 0$. Also $N \neq \emptyset$ (as then $V = L_\lambda^+ \Rightarrow \emptyset$).

But $\mathcal{G}$ -invariance of $(\cdot, \cdot)_\lambda$ then implies $(v_N, -) = 0 \Rightarrow v_N \in J_\lambda^+ \Rightarrow v_N = 0$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{" } L_\lambda^+$

(b) Let $V \subseteq M_\lambda^+$ be a graded $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule. If $V \not\subseteq J_\lambda^+$, then its image $\bar{V}$ in $M_\lambda^+ / J_\lambda^+ = L_\lambda^+$ is a nonzero submodule. Moreover, $\bar{V} \neq L_\lambda^+$ as $\bar{V}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded and has zero degree = 0 component. Contradiction with a).

Proof for J_2 is analogous.

(c) It suffices to show that any $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule V of M_{λ}^+ is necessarily $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded given the condition about $L \in \mathfrak{h}$. Indeed, take any $v \in V$ not written as $v = \sum_{i=0}^N v_{-i}$, $\deg(v_{-i}) = -i$. Need to show $v_{-i} \in V$

But applying $\text{ad}(-L)^k$ to v , we get $\underbrace{\text{ad}(-L)^k}_{\in V} v = \sum_{i=0}^N i^k \cdot v_i$

Using Vandermonde determinant f-l-a, we now get $v_i \in V$ as claimed. $\square$

Our last result for today (which we shall outline next time) is:

Theorem 2: If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a non-degenerate $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra, then for any $n \geq 1$, the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\lambda: M_2^+[-n] \times M_2^-[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate for "generic" $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Thm 2 + Thm 1(c) imply:

Corollary 2: For non-deg. $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathfrak{g}$, $M_\lambda^\pm$ are irreducible for generic λ