

Lecture #9

[1]

Today: Start discussing \mathfrak{gl}_∞ , \mathfrak{o}_∞ and their representations (see Section 4 of Kac-Ram) of Kac-Ram

Def 1: \mathfrak{gl}_∞ is the Lie algebra of all matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ s.t. all but finitely many $a_{ij} = 0$ with the usual commutator

Down-to-earth: \mathfrak{gl}_∞ has a basis $\{E_{ij} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with the Lie bracket given by

$$[E_{ij}, E_{mn}] = \delta_{jm} \cdot E_{in} - \delta_{in} \cdot E_{mj}$$

Similarly to how $\mathfrak{gl}_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_∞ naturally acts on the vector space $V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cdot v_k$ via the usual formulas

$$E_{ij}(v_k) = \delta_{jk} \cdot v_i$$

Moreover, this is a \mathbb{Z} -graded representation, where

$$\text{def}(E_{ij}) = j - i, \quad \text{def}(v_k) = -k$$

Remark: a) Note that $(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)_k$ is ∞ -dim $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $(\mathfrak{gl}_\infty)_0$ is abelian!

- b) The triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty = n_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus n_+$ w.r.t. this grading has: n_+ = strictly upper-triangular, n_- = strictly lower-triangular
c) For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, still have Verma M_λ^+ and its irreducible quot L_λ^+ .

Similarly to how $\mathfrak{gl}_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ induces $\mathfrak{gl}_n \cong S^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall k \geq 1$, we also get \mathfrak{gl}_∞ -action on any $S^k V, \Lambda^k V \quad \forall k \geq 1$.

Exercise: Prove that \mathfrak{gl}_∞ -modules $S^k V, \Lambda^k V$ are irreducible $\forall k \geq 1$

Hint: you may use a finite dimensional counterpart of this result.

However: None of $V, S^k V, \Lambda^k V \quad (k > 1)$ has highest weights and moreover none of them belongs to category \mathcal{O} .

Next goal: Introduce \mathfrak{gl}_∞ -modules similar to $\Lambda^k V$, which will be in \mathcal{O} .

Lecture #9

12

Def 2: a) An elementary semi-infinite wedge ($\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedge) is a formal infinite wedge product $V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots$ with indices $i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots$ s.t.

$$i_{k+1} = i_k - 1 \quad \forall k \gg 0$$

b) The semi-infinite wedge space $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V$ is a \mathbb{C} vector space with the basis given by elementary semi-infinite wedges.

Proposition 1: The usual Leibniz rule defines an action $\text{gl}_{\infty} \sim \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V$

Exercise: Work out the proof of this result!

Down-to-earth, the above action is given by:

$$E_{rs}(V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \neq i_0, i_1, \dots \\ V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge V_{i_{k-1}} \wedge V_r \wedge V_{i_{k+1}} \wedge \dots & \text{if } i_k = s \end{cases}$$

Warning: this vector is not an $\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedge, but is understood as 0 if $s \neq i_0, i_1, \dots$ or as $\pm \frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedge, where we reorder $V_i \wedge V_j = -V_j \wedge V_i$ to have indices ↓.

Def 3: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ be the span of $\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedges with

$$i_k + k = m \quad \text{for } k \gg 0$$

Then $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ is a decomposition into gl_{∞} -submodules.

Define.

$$\psi_m := V_{m-1} \wedge V_{m-2} \wedge \dots \in \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$$

$\omega_m = (\dots, 1, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots}_{m-1}, 0, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots}_{m+1})$ - weight of gl_{∞} (i.e. $\omega_m(E_{ii}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \delta_{ij}$)

Proposition 2: a) $\eta_+(\psi_m) = 0$

$$\text{b)} \quad h(\psi_m) = \omega_m(h) \cdot \psi_m$$

c) $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ is generated by ψ_m

i.e. $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ is a highest weight module with h.wt. vector ψ_m of h.wt. ω_m

a) & b) - obvious

c) Applying $E_{i_0, m}$, then $E_{i_1, m-1}$, etc. will get any basis elt of $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$

Lecture #9

Proposition 3: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the module $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z},m}^{\otimes} V$ is irreducible h.weight i.e. $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z},m}^{\otimes} V \cong L_m^+$. Moreover, it's unitary w.r.t. $t: g_{\text{los}} \rightarrow g_{\text{los}}$ given by $E_{ij}^t = E_{ji}$ (i.e. $t = \text{transposition}$)

While irreducibility can be checked directly, it will immediately follow from unitarity. To prove the latter, let's equip $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z},m}^{\otimes} V$ with a Hermitian form so that \otimes -wedges form an orthonormal basis.

Exercise: Verify then $(E_{ij} w, w') = (w, E_{ji} w')$ $\forall w, w' \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z},m}^{\otimes} V$ ■

As an immediate corollary, we get:

Corollary 1: If $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ for $|i| \gg 0$, then the irreducible g_{los} -module L_α^+ is unitary

The proof is very similar to that of [Lecture 8, Corollary 1]. First, we note that any α as above can be written as $\alpha = \beta + \omega_{i_1} + \omega_{i_2} + \dots + \omega_{i_N}$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{H}^*$ s.t. $\beta(E_{ii}) = \beta \delta_{ii}$. By Prop 3 above, each of L_{ω_k} ($1 \leq k \leq N$) is unitary. On the other hand, L_β^+ is a 1dim g_{los} -module with any $X \in g_{\text{los}}$ acting via $\beta \cdot f_2(X)$, which is also unitary. But then: $L_\beta^+ \otimes L_{\omega_{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\omega_{i_N}}^+$ is unitary, hence so is the highest weight g_{los} -submodule generated by $L_\beta^+ \otimes L_{\omega_{i_1}}^+ \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\omega_{i_N}}^+$. However, as recalled above, unitary h.wt modules are irreducible $\Rightarrow L_\alpha^+$ is unitary as claimed. ■

The reverse turns out to be true as well, given α_i stabilize at $|i| \gg 0$ (note: this is much simpler than unitarity region of Vir-modules as discussed last time) ✓

Lecture #9

14

Proposition 4: If an irreducible \mathfrak{g}_{λ} -module L_{λ}^+ is unitary, where $\lambda = (\lambda_i)$ satisfy $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = 0$ for $i \gg 0$, then in fact

$$\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \forall i$$

The idea is to utilize a family of \mathfrak{sl}_2 inside \mathfrak{g}_{λ} , spanned by $\{E_{i,i+1}, E_{i+1,i}, E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}\}$. Therefore, we start with an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -result:

Lemma 1: An irreducible h.wt. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module L_{μ} is unitary iff $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

► Using the calculation from [Lecture 5, Example 1], we get:

$$(f^n v_{\mu}^+, f^n v_{\mu}^+) = (e^n f^n v_{\mu}^+, v_{\mu}^+) = n! \cdot \mu(\mu-1) \dots (\mu-n+1).$$

Case 1: If $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then it's clear that $\mu(\mu-1) \dots (\mu-n+1) \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for some n .

Case 2: If $\mu = N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then as discussed earlier, L_{μ}^+ has a basis $\{v_N^+, f v_N^+, \dots, f^N v_N^+\}$ and above f-ta shows L_{μ}^+ is indeed unitary.

Proof of Proposition 4

► Consider the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra spanned by e_i, f_i, h_i above \rightsquigarrow call it $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)}$. Then $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)}$ -submodule of L_{λ}^+ generated by the highest weight vector $v_{\lambda}^+ \in L_{\lambda}^+$ is unitary (as \mathfrak{t} on \mathfrak{g}_{λ} and $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)}$ are compatible) and highest weight of weight $\mu_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$. Hence, it must be $\simeq L_{\mu_i}$.

Applying Lemma 1, we get the desired $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

Remark: We note that each $\Lambda_{\leq m}^{\otimes n} V$ is actually $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ -graded module with $\deg(V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = -\sum_{k \geq 0} (i_k + k - m)$. In particular, we see that the basis of $\Lambda_{\leq m}^{\otimes n} V$ is parametrized by partitions Young diagrams

Lecture #9

We conclude today's class with introducing a much bigger Lie algebra:

Def 4: $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$ is the Lie algebra of all matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with finitely many nonzero diagonals (i.e. $\exists N$ s.t. $a_{ij}=0$ when)

Note: Every row/column of $A=(a_{ij}) \in \bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$ has finitely many nonzero terms, hence, $A \cdot B$ and $A \cdot B - B \cdot A =: [A, B]$ are defined $\forall A, B \in \bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$.

Warning: While $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ was a basis of \mathfrak{g}_{∞} , the above $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$ is actually not of countable dimension (exercise: show this!)

However, it's this bigger Lie algebra (or rather its central extension) that will be of crucial importance for us. In particular:

$T := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,i+1} \in \bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$ acts on V as a shift operator $v_i \mapsto v_{i+1}$

More generally, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $T^k := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,i+k}$ acts via $v_i \mapsto v_{i+k}$.

Note that $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded, similarly to \mathfrak{g}_{∞} , and $\deg(T^k) = k$.
Here we used that $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty \curvearrowright V$ in a natural way. Note that it also induces actions $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty \curvearrowright \wedge^k V$, $\wedge^k V$ $\forall k \geq 1$. But what about action on $\wedge^{\infty} V$?

Q: Can the action $\mathfrak{g}_{\infty} \curvearrowright \wedge^k V$ be extended to $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty \curvearrowright \wedge^{\infty} V$?

A: Not quite! While if $A = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,i+k} E_{i,i+k} \in \bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty^k$ & $k \neq 0$, then A -action is well-defined, there is a major problem with $k=0$ case:

e.g. $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ii} E_{ii}$ should act on $\eta_0 = v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots$ via a multiplication by $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{ii}$ which is an ∞ -sum.

Next time: We shall "regularize" this action, ending with a central extension $\bar{\mathfrak{so}}_\infty$ on $\wedge^{\infty} V$!