

Lecture #13

- Last time:
- 2nd part of Boson-Fermion correspondence
 - started discussing semi-infinite Grassmannian \mathcal{G}_r

This week: Relate \mathcal{G}_r to solutions of important PDE!

- But first, let's start by proving Theorem 2 from last time:

Theorem 1: For n -dim V and $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\tau \in \Lambda^k V \setminus \{0\}$:

$$\tau \in S \Leftrightarrow S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

Here: $S = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i^*$ where $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ - any basis of V , $\{v_1^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ - v_i^* 's dual basis.



If $\tau = w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_k$, then pick a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n\}$ of V . Then $\hat{w}_i(\tau) = 0$ for $i \leq k$, while $\check{w}_j^*(\tau) = 0$ for $j > k$. Hence: $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$.



Assume $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$. Define subspaces $E \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq V^*$ via

$$E = \{\tau \in V \mid \hat{v}_i(\tau) = 0\}, \quad F = \{f \in V^* \mid \check{f}(\tau) = 0\}$$

First, we note that $E \subseteq F^\perp := \{\tau \in V \mid f(\tau) = 0 \forall f \in F\}$, due to $\check{f} + \check{f}^* \hat{v} = f(v)Id$.

Let $r = \dim E$, $s = \dim F^\perp$. Pick a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ of V so that

$\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ - basis of E , $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, \dots, v_s\}$ - basis of F^\perp . Then $\{v_{s+1}^*, \dots, v_n^*\}$ - basis of F .

Note that $\hat{v}_i(\tau) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\check{v}_j^*(\tau) = 0$ for $s < j \leq n$. Hence:

$$0 = S(\tau \otimes \tau) = \sum_{i=r+1}^s \hat{v}_i(\tau) \otimes \check{v}_i^*(\tau)$$

We claim that $r=s$. If not, i.e. $r < s$, then $\{v_i \wedge \tau \mid r < i \leq s\}$ are all linearly dependent (as otherwise $(c_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \dots + c_sv_s) \wedge \tau = 0$ for not all $c_j = 0 \Rightarrow c_{r+1}v_{r+1} + \dots + c_sv_s \in E \Rightarrow 0$)

But then we must have $\check{v}_i^*(\tau) = 0 \quad \forall r < i \leq s \Rightarrow v_i^* \in F \Rightarrow 0$.

So: $r=s$ and $E = F^\perp$. Write $\tau = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} c_{i_1 \dots i_r} \cdot v_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_r}$

$\hat{v}_i(\tau) = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r \Rightarrow v_i, \dots, v_{i-1} \not\in \{v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n\} \text{ if } c_{i_1 \dots i_r} \neq 0 \quad \begin{cases} \Rightarrow k=r \text{ and} \\ \tau = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \cdot \text{const} \end{cases}$

 $\check{v}_j^*(\tau) = 0 \quad \forall r < j \leq n \Rightarrow v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n \not\in \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_r}\} \text{ if } c_{i_1 \dots i_r} \neq 0$

Lecture #13

• Let's now see what $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = \circ$ looks like down-to-earth.

To this end, we fix a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V , so that

$\{v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$ - basis of $\Lambda^k V$.

Given any subspace W of V , $\dim(W) = k$, pick a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ of W .

Each x_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) is a linear combination of $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$, giving rise to $n \times k$ matrix

$A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq k}$ so that $x_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} v_i$. Then, $x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k$ is written in above basis as:

$$x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, |I|=k \\ I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}}} p_I \cdot v_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_k} \quad \text{with } p_I = \det(a_{ij})_{i \in I}^{j \in k}$$

Example: $n=4, k=2$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \wedge x_2 &= (a_{11} v_1 + a_{21} v_2 + a_{31} v_3 + a_{41} v_4) \wedge (a_{12} v_1 + a_{22} v_2 + a_{32} v_3 + a_{42} v_4) = \\ &= (a_{11} a_{22} - a_{21} a_{12}) \cdot v_1 \wedge v_2 + (a_{11} a_{32} - a_{31} a_{12}) \cdot v_1 \wedge v_3 + (a_{11} a_{42} - a_{41} a_{12}) \cdot v_1 \wedge v_4 \\ &\quad + (a_{21} a_{32} - a_{31} a_{22}) \cdot v_2 \wedge v_3 + (a_{21} a_{42} - a_{41} a_{22}) \cdot v_2 \wedge v_4 + (a_{31} a_{42} - a_{41} a_{32}) \cdot v_3 \wedge v_4 \end{aligned}$$

The following is a coordinate form of Theorem 1 (known as "Plücker rels").

Exercise: For $\tau = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_k} \cdot v_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_k} \in \Lambda^k V$:

$$\tau \in \mathcal{R} \iff \sum_{\substack{J \ni j \notin I \\ |I|=k-1}} (-1)^{\nu(j)+\nu(j)} p_{I \cup j} p_{J \setminus j} = 0 \quad \forall I, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \begin{matrix} |I|=k-1 \\ |J|=k+1 \end{matrix}$$

Example: For $n=4, k=2$, there is essentially only 1 relation that reads

$$p_{12} p_{34} - p_{13} p_{24} + p_{14} p_{23} = 0$$

E.g. if $(I=\{1, 2\}, J=\{2, 3, 4\})$ or $(I=\{2, 3\}, J=\{1, 3, 4\})$ or $(I=\{3, 4\}, J=\{1, 2, 4\})$ or $(I=\{4\}, J=\{1, 2, 3\})$

then all of them give the same relation above. On the other hand, if $I \subseteq J$, that is $I=\{i, j\}, J=\{i, j, k\}$, then the above sum is just zero.

Down-to-earth, when expanding $S(\tau \otimes \tau)$ in the basis $\{v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge v_{i_3} \otimes v_{i_4}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4}$ all coeff-s will be either zero or $\pm (p_{12} p_{34} - p_{13} p_{24} + p_{14} p_{23})$

Lecture #13

Let's now move to the infinite-dimensional V with a basis $\{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$

Recall from Lecture 12:

$$\Omega = GL(\infty) / (V_0 \cap V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots)$$

$$G_\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{S}^1 / \mathbb{C}^\times$$

Rmk: Identifying V with $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ via $v_i \leftrightarrow t^i$, it's easy to see that

$$G_\mathbb{C} = \{ \text{subspace } E \subseteq V \mid t^n \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq E \text{ for } N \gg 0 \}$$

$$\dim(E / t^n \mathbb{C}[t]) = N$$

$$= \{ \text{subspace } E \subseteq V \mid \exists N: t^n \mathbb{C}[t] \subseteq E \subseteq t^{-N} \mathbb{C}[t] \}$$

$$= \bigcup_{N \geq 1} G_\mathbb{C}(N, \infty) \quad \text{"nested union"} \quad \text{codim } N$$

- For the rest of today, we shall rewrite the relation $S(\tau \otimes \tau)$ on the bosonic side. To this end, we recall that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i \cdot u^i = X(u) = \delta^{-1}(\Gamma(u)), \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_i u^{-i} = X^*(u) = \delta^{-1}(\Gamma^*(u))$$

In particular: $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0 \iff \boxed{CT_u(X(u)\tau \otimes X^*(u)\tau) = 0}$

\uparrow
CT_u extracts coefficient of u^0 .

On the bosonic side: $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots] \Rightarrow \tau \otimes \tau \in \mathbb{C}[x'_1, x''_1, x'_2, x''_2, \dots]$

(where 1st copy of $\mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ is generated by $\{x'_i\}$, 2nd copy - by $\{x''_i\}$)

$$\text{Also: } X(u) \mapsto \Gamma(u) = u^{m+1} \cdot z \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_j}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\beta_j}{j} u^{-j}\right)$$

$$X^*(u) \mapsto \Gamma^*(u) = u^m \cdot z^* \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_j}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\beta_j}{j} u^{-j}\right)$$

$$\alpha_j \mapsto j x_j, \quad \beta_j \mapsto \partial_{x_j} \quad \forall j \geq 0$$

$$\text{So: } CT_u(u \cdot e^{\sum_{j \geq 0} x'_j \cdot u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j} \partial_{x_j} u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} x''_j \cdot u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j} \partial_{x_j} u^{-j}} \tau(x') \tau(x'')) = 0$$

But $\{x'_i\}$ & $\{\partial_{x_j}\}$ are independent sets of variables \Rightarrow can reorder to get

$$CT_u(u \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (x'_j - x''_j) u^j\right) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (\partial_{x_j} - \partial_{x_j}) \frac{u^{-j}}{-j}\right) \tau(x') \tau(x'')) = 0$$

Lecture #13

The above last relation suggest the following change of variables:

$$\begin{cases} x' = x - y \\ x'' = x + y \end{cases}, \text{ i.e. } x'_i = x_i - y_i \quad \forall i \geq 1$$

$$x''_i = x_i + y_i$$

Then: $x'_j - x''_j = -2y_j$ and $\partial_{x'_j} - \partial_{x''_j} = -\partial_{y_j}$ (by chain rule)

Plugging this, we obtain:

$$CT_u \left(u \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} -2y_j \cdot u^j \right) \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j} \partial_{y_j} \cdot u^{-j} \right) \cdot \tau(x-y) \tau(x+y) \right) = 0$$

Def 1: For any $f, g \in C[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ and $P \in C[[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]]$, set

$$A(P, f, g) := \left[P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (f(x-z)g(x+z)) \right]_{z=0}$$

Exercise: Verify $A(P, f, f) = 0 \quad \forall f$ if P is odd, i.e. $P(x) = -P(-x)$

Then, the above relation can be written as follows (Kashiwara-Miwa):

Theorem 2 (Hirota bilinear relations): For $\tau \in B^{(0)}[t+0]$, $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \mathcal{L}$ iff

$$A \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(x) \exp \left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s x_s \right), \tau, \tau \right) = 0 \quad \text{where } x_i = \frac{x_i}{i}$$

Here, S_j 's were introduced in Lecture 12, so that

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) u^j = \exp \left(- \sum_{j \geq 1} 2y_j u^j \right)$$

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j \left(\frac{1}{j} \partial_{y_j} \right) u^{-j} = \exp \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\partial_{y_j}}{j} u^{-j} \right)$$

Note: The above is actually an infinite family of relations, each obtained by evaluating the coefficient of a certain y -monomial in the above $A(\dots, \tau, \tau)$.

We shall prove this result and discuss consequences next time