

Lecture #14

Last time we ended with the following result:

Theorem 1 (Hirota bilinear relations): For  $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(0)}$  iff

$$A\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s\right), \tau, \tau\right) = 0, \text{ where } \tilde{x}_k = \frac{1}{k} x_k \quad \forall k$$

By what we derived last time:  $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega$  iff:

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 1} 2y_j \cdot u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j} \partial_{y_j} \cdot u^j\right) \tau(x+y) \tau(x-y) \right) = 0$$

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) u^j \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(\tilde{\partial}_y) u^j \cdot \tau(x+y+u) \tau(x-y-u) \right) \Big|_{u=0}$$

|| since  $f(\tilde{\partial}_y) g(y+u) = f(\partial_y) g(y+u) \quad \forall f, g$

$$\left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{\partial}_t) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}$$

|| Taylor series expansion

$$\left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{\partial}_t) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s \partial_{t_s}\right) \tau(x+t) \tau(x-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$A\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s x_s\right), \tau, \tau\right)$$

As noted last time, this is actually an infinite family of relations, each obtained by reading off a certain monomial  $= y^\delta$ . Also, recall

(\*)  $A(P, \tau, \tau) = 0 \quad \forall \text{ odd } P$  (exercise of Lect 13)

•  $\delta = 0$ , i.e.  $y^\delta = 1$ .

Then Hirota eq-u gives  $A(x_1, \tau, \tau) = 0$ , but this already follows from (\*)

•  $\delta = (0, -0, 1, 0, \dots)$ , i.e.  $y^\delta = y_2$

Then Hirota eq-u gives  $A(x_1 x_2 - 2 S_{2+1}(\tilde{x}), \tau, \tau) = 0 \quad \forall \tau \geq 1$

- For  $\tau = 1$ :  $x_1^2 - 2 S_2(\tilde{x}) = -x_2 \Rightarrow$  follows by (\*) known as a "KP hierarchy"
- For  $\tau = 2$ :  $x_1 x_2 - 2 S_3(\tilde{x}) = -\frac{x_1^3}{3} - \frac{2}{3} x_3 - \text{odd} \Rightarrow$  follows by (\*)
- For  $\tau = 3$ :  $x_1 x_3 - 2 S_4(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{3} x_1 x_3 - \frac{1}{2} x_4 - \frac{x_2^2}{4} - \frac{x_1 y}{12} - \frac{x_1^2 x_2}{2}$  (with  $\sim$  - odd)

$$\Downarrow \boxed{A\left(\frac{1}{3} x_1 x_3 - \frac{1}{4} x_2^2 - \frac{1}{12} x_1^4, \tau, \tau\right) = 0}$$

Lecture #14

The relation from the end of p.1 gives:

$$\left( (\partial_{z_1}^4 + 3\partial_{z_2}^2 - 4\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_3}) \tau(x-z)\tau(x+z) \right) \Big|_{z=0} = 0 \quad (*)$$

To relate this to something studied in PDE, we change the variables  $x_1 \mapsto x, x_2 \mapsto y, x_3 \mapsto t, x_m \mapsto c_m (m > 3)$  - can be viewed as constants

Set:  $u = 2 \partial_x^2 \log \tau$

Exercise:  $\tau$  satisfies (\*) iff  $u$  satisfies  $\frac{3}{4} \partial_y^2 u = \partial_x \left( u_t - \frac{3}{2} u u_x - \frac{1}{4} u_{xxx} \right)$   
KP equation

Exercise Verify that  $x_1 x_t - 2 S_{\tau+t}(X)$  is not odd for  $\kappa > 2 \Rightarrow$  gives nontrivial eq-n

Corollary 1: Any element of  $G_\tau = S/\mathbb{C}^*$  gives a solution of KP equation. In particular,  $2 \partial_x^2 \log S_\lambda(x,y,t, c_{\geq 4})$  is a sol-n for any partition  $\lambda$

We shall next construct more solutions of KP eq-n. To this end:

Lemma 1:  $S$  commutes with  $gl_\infty$ -action

Recall  $E_{kl} = \hat{v}_k \check{v}_l$  and  $\Delta(E_{kl}) = E_{kl} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E_{kl}$ , while  $S = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i$ .

$$[\hat{v}_k \check{v}_l \otimes \sum_i \hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i, \sum_i (\hat{v}_k (-\hat{v}_i \check{v}_l + \delta_{il}) - \hat{v}_i \hat{v}_k \check{v}_l) \otimes \check{v}_i] = \hat{v}_k \otimes \check{v}_l$$

$$[1 \otimes \hat{v}_k \check{v}_l, \sum_i \hat{v}_i \otimes (\hat{v}_k \check{v}_l \check{v}_i - (-\hat{v}_k \check{v}_i \check{v}_l + \delta_{ik} \check{v}_l))] = -\hat{v}_k \otimes \check{v}_l$$

Hence:  $[\Delta(E_{kl}), S] = 0$ , and  $\{E_{kl}\}$  - basis of  $gl_\infty$

Let's now recall the vertex operators from Lectures 11-12:

$$\Gamma(u) |_{B^{(-1)}} = z \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right)$$

$$\Gamma^*(v) |_{B^{(0)}} = z^{-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} v^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} v^{-j}\right)$$

$$\Gamma(u, v) |_{B^{(0)}} = \Gamma(u) \Gamma^*(v) |_{B^{(0)}} = \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_j\right)$$

Lecture #14

Our second main result today is:

Theorem 2: If  $\tau \in \Omega$ , then  $(1+a\Gamma(u,v))\tau \in \Omega_{u,v} := \{\tau' \in \mathcal{B}^0((u,v)) \mid S(\tau' \otimes \tau') = 0\}$   
 $\forall a \in \mathbb{C}$

In particular, iterating this several times, we get:

Corollary 2:  $(1+a_1\Gamma(u_1,v_1)) \dots (1+a_n\Gamma(u_n,v_n)) \tau \in \Omega_{u_1,v_1, \dots, u_n,v_n} \quad \forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$

↑ see [Kac-Raina, Cor 7.2] and discussion after it that recovers the "N-soliton" solution of the KP eqn.

Example: For  $n=1$ , get  $\tau = 1 + a \cdot e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + \dots} = 1 + e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + c}$

Then:  $u(x,y,t) = 2 \partial_x^2 \log \tau = \frac{(u-v)^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{1}{2}((u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + c)}$

Furthermore, if we set  $v = -u$  so that  $u-v$  is independent of  $y$ , we get

$$u(x,t) = \frac{2u^2}{\cosh^2(ux + u^3t + c/2)}$$

Finally, setting  $c \rightarrow 0$  and using decay at infinity, we see that

$u(x,t) = \frac{2u^2}{\cosh^2(ux + u^3t)}$  is a famous "soliton" solution of  
KdV eqn:  $u_t = \frac{3}{2}u \cdot u_x + \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx}$

It remains to prove Theorem 2. To this end, Kac-Raina argue that " $\Gamma(u,v)^2 \Rightarrow$ " and so " $1+a\Gamma(u,v) = \exp(a\Gamma(u,v))$ ", hence the result follows from Lemma 1. This is almost correct, but we will try to be more precise. We start with

Lemma 2:  $\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v) = : \Gamma(u)\Gamma(v) : \cdot \frac{u-v}{u}$ ,  $\Gamma^*(u)\Gamma^*(v) = : \Gamma^*(u)\Gamma^*(v) : \cdot \frac{u-v}{u}$   
 $\Gamma(u)\Gamma^*(v) = : \Gamma(u)\Gamma^*(v) : \cdot \frac{u}{u-v}$ ,  $\Gamma^*(u)\Gamma(v) = : \Gamma^*(u)\Gamma(v) : \cdot \frac{u}{u-v}$

Follows from  $\exp(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{1}{j} (\frac{v}{u})^j) = \exp(\ln(1 - \frac{v}{u})) = 1 - \frac{v}{u} = \frac{u-v}{u}$

Lecture #14

Let's use  $\Gamma_+(u)$  for  $\Gamma(u)$ ,  $\Gamma_-(u)$  for  $\Gamma^*(u)$ . Then Lemma 2 gives:

$$\Gamma_{E_1}(u_1) \dots \Gamma_{E_n}(u_n) = : \Gamma_{E_1}(u_1) \Gamma_{E_n}(u_n) : \cdot \prod_{i < j} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_j} \right)^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j}$$

While  $: \Gamma_{E_1}(u_1) \Gamma_{E_n}(u_n) :$  is an infinite series, we note that its matrix coeff-s on  $B^{(0)}$  are all Laurent polynomials! Hence:

Corollary 3: All matrix coeff-s of  $\Gamma_{E_1}(u_1) \Gamma_{E_n}(u_n)$  on  $B^{(0)}$  are series that converge to rational f-s  $\prod_{i < j} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i} \right)^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \cdot P$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{C}[u_i^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_n^{\pm 1}]$

Now evoking that  $\Gamma(u, v) = : \Gamma(u) \Gamma^*(v) :$ , one easily derives using Lemma 2:

$$\Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) = : \Gamma(u, v) \Gamma(u', v') : \cdot \frac{(u' - u)(v' - v)}{(u' - v)(v' - u)}$$

Corollary 4:  $\lim_{\substack{u' \rightarrow u \\ v' \rightarrow v}} \Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) = 0$  (in the sense of matrix coeff-s)

Proof of Theorem 2

Know:  $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$ . Want:  $S((1 + a \Gamma(u, v)) \tau \otimes (1 + a \Gamma(u, v)) \tau) = 0$ .

• By Lemma 2:  $S(\Gamma(u, v) \tau \otimes \tau + \tau \otimes \Gamma(u, v) \tau) = (\Gamma(u, v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u, v)) S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$

• Finally:  $S(\Gamma(u, v) \tau \otimes \Gamma(u, v) \tau) =$   
 $= \lim_{\substack{u' \rightarrow u \\ v' \rightarrow v}} \frac{1}{2} S((\Gamma(u', v') \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u', v')) (\Gamma(u, v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u, v)) (\tau \otimes \tau))$   
 $- \frac{1}{2} S(\Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v)) (\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$  by Lemma 1 & Corollary 4

• We ended the class with studying the "determinant formula" for contravariant form on Verma  $M_2^+$  over  $V_{12}$ , which we'll prove next time (I will leave this to the next notes).