

Lecture #16

Last time: Determinant formula for contravariant form on Verma / Vir

- $M_{c,h}$ - irreducible if $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$, $h \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$
- $L_{c,h}$ - unitary if $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, $h \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Before we start a new topic, let's state one more corollary:

- Lemma 1:
- a) $M_{s,h}$ is irreducible iff $h \neq \frac{u^2}{4} \forall u \in \mathbb{Z}$
 - b) $M_{0,h}$ is irreducible iff $h \neq \frac{m^2-1}{24} \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$
 - c) $L_{0,h}$ is unitary iff $h=0$

a) $h_{\tau,s}(1) = \frac{(\tau-s)^2}{24}$, with $\tau, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence, follows from Thm 1 of Lecture 15.

b) $h_{\tau,s}(0) = \frac{13(\tau^2+s^2)+5(\tau^2-s^2)-24\tau s-2}{48} = \frac{(3\tau-2s)^2-1}{24}$

As τ, s vary through $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(3\tau-2s)$ varies through \mathbb{Z} , hence the claim.

c) Restriction of a positive definite form to any subspace is positive def!

Consider span $\{L_{-2N} V_\lambda, L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda\}$ - 2dim subspace of deg $-2N$ elements.

• $(L_{-2N} V_\lambda, L_{-2N} V_\lambda) = (V_\lambda, L_{2N} L_{-2N} V_\lambda) = (V_\lambda, (4NL_0 + \frac{(2N)^3-2N}{12} C) V_\lambda) = 4Nh$ (as $c=0$)

• $(L_{-2N} V_\lambda, L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda) = (V_\lambda, L_{2N} L_{-N} L_{-N} V_\lambda) = (V_\lambda, L_{-N} (L_{2N} L_{-N} V_\lambda)) + (V_\lambda, 3N L_N L_{-N} V_\lambda)$
 $= 3N \cdot (V_\lambda, (2NL_0 + \frac{N^3-N}{12} C) V_\lambda) = 6N^2 h$ (as $c=0$)

• $(L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda, L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda) = (V_\lambda, L_N L_N L_{-N} L_{-N} V_\lambda) = (V_\lambda, L_N (L_{-N} L_N + 2NL_0 + \frac{N^3-N}{12} C) L_{-N} V_\lambda)$
 $= (V_\lambda, L_N L_{-N} (L_{-N} L_N + 2NL_0 + \frac{N^3-N}{12} C) V_\lambda) + 2N \cdot (V_\lambda, L_N (L_{-N} L_0 + N L_{-N}) V_\lambda)$
 $= 2Nh \cdot (V_\lambda, L_N L_{-N} V_\lambda) + 2N^2 (V_\lambda, L_N L_{-N} V_\lambda) + 2Nh (V_\lambda, L_N L_{-N} V_\lambda) = 8N^2 h^2 + 4N^3 h$

Thus: $0 \leq \det \begin{pmatrix} (L_{-2N} V_\lambda, L_{-2N} V_\lambda) & (L_{-2N} V_\lambda, L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda) \\ (L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda, L_{-2N} V_\lambda) & (L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda, L_{-N}^2 V_\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = 4Nh (8N^2 h^2 + 4N^3 h) - 6N^2 h \cdot 6N^2 h$
 $= 32N^3 h^3 - 20N^4 h^2 = 4N^3 h^2 (8h - 5N)$

But if $h \neq 0$, then right-hand side < 0 for $N \gg 1 \Rightarrow \nabla$

Lecture #16

• For the rest of today, we shall discuss A-type affine Lie algebras.

We start with $L\mathfrak{gl}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n[t, t^{-1}]$ which can be viewed as $\text{Mat}_{nn}(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$

Thus: $L\mathfrak{gl}_n = \{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k t^k \mid a_k \in \mathfrak{gl}_n - \text{all but finitely many are zero} \}$

Down-to-earth: Basis $\{ t^k E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ and Lie bracket is

$$[E_{ij}(k), E_{i'j'}(k')] = \delta_{ij'} E_{ij}(k+k') - \delta_{j'i} E_{i'j'}(k+k')$$

Also: While $\mathfrak{gl}_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ with basis e_1, \dots, e_n , we obviously get

$$L\mathfrak{gl}_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n[t, t^{-1}] \text{ via } E_{ij}(k)(e_j, t^k) = \delta_{ij} \cdot e_i t^k$$

Note: We can identify $\mathbb{C}^n[t, t^{-1}]$ with the n -dim v. space V used over the last 3 weeks via $e_i t^k \leftrightarrow v_{i-kn}$ ← bijection of bases $\{v_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Then, the above action $L\mathfrak{gl}_n \curvearrowright V$ can be written as follows

$$E_{ij}(k)(v_{j'+nk'}) = \delta_{ij'} \cdot v_{i+n(k-k')}$$

Upshot: This immediately yields a natural embedding

$$L\mathfrak{gl}_n \xrightarrow{\tau} \bar{\sigma}_\infty \text{ explicitly via } \sum a_k t^k \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ a_{-1} & a_0 & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

where all blocks are $n \times n$, and one of a_0 -blocks is at row & column #1, 2, ..., n.

Down-to-earth: $E_{ij}(k) \xrightarrow{\tau} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{n(m-k)+i, nm+j}$ (which precisely follows from action)

Note: 1) τ is compatible with products, hence, with Lie brackets (so τ -Lie alg. embedding)

$$2) \tau \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = T = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i, i+1} \xrightarrow{1)} \tau \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}^k \right) = T^k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

• Recall now that we used the Japanese 2-cocycle α in Lecture 10 to build a central extension $\sigma_\infty = \bar{\sigma}_\infty \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$. Thus, restricting α to $L\mathfrak{gl}_n$ will give us an embedding of some central extension of $L\mathfrak{gl}_n$ into σ_∞ , and the next result shows this is precisely \mathfrak{gl}_n from Lecture 2:

Lecture #16

Lemma 2: For any $a(t) = \sum a_k t^k, b(t) = \sum b_k t^k \in \text{Lgl}_n$, we have

$$d(a(t), b(t)) = \text{Res} (a'(t), b(t)) dt = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} k \text{Tr}(a_k b_{-k}),$$

where we use the invariant form $(,) : \text{gl}_n \times \text{gl}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$(A, B) \mapsto \text{Tr}(AB)$$

Exercise: Check this by direct calculation

As a result, the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n := \text{Lgl}_n \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, defined in Lecture 2, is embedded into \mathfrak{so}_∞ : $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}_\infty$

Likewise: If we start from $\mathfrak{sl}_n \subseteq \text{gl}_n$, then we get $\text{Lsl}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}_\infty, \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}_\infty$.
In particular, evoking $\mathfrak{so}_\infty \simeq \mathcal{F}^{(m)} = \wedge^{\infty, m} V$ from [Lecture 10, Thm 1], we get:

Corollary 1: The space $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ has a natural action of $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ (with $K \mapsto \text{Id}$)

• We shall now extend the algebras $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ in order to have nondegenerate invariant pairing. To this end, we first note that the trace-form $(A, B) = \text{tr}(AB)$ on gl_n & sl_n naturally extends to invariant nondeg. pairing on Lgl_n & Lsl_n via $(a(t), b(t)) = \text{Res Tr}(a(t)b(t)) dt$.

However, if we try to extend it to $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$, then we get $(\underbrace{[K, a(t)]}_0, b(t)) = (K, [a(t), b(t)]) \Rightarrow (K, \underbrace{[\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n, \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n]}_{=\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n}) = 0$

Moreover, this pairing is also invariant but is clearly degenerate! Likewise, defining the pairing on $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n$ as an extension of that on Lgl_n via $(K, -) = 0$, we get a degenerate inv. pairing.

Let's consider the following derivation of $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$:

$$d: K \mapsto 0, a(t) \mapsto ta'(t) \quad \forall a(t) \in \text{Lgl}_n \text{ or } \text{Lsl}_n.$$

This allows to introduce $\widehat{\text{gl}}_n := \mathbb{C}d \ltimes \widehat{\text{gl}}_n, \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n = \mathbb{C}d \ltimes \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$

Lemma 3: The pairing on $\mathfrak{gl}_n \supset \mathfrak{sl}_n$ can be extended to a symmetric invariant non-degenerate pairing on $\mathfrak{gl}_n \supset \mathfrak{sl}_n$ via
 $(d, d) = 0$, $(d, k) = (k, d) = 1$, $(d, a(t)) = (a(t), d) = 0$

As d never arises when commuting two els, we need to make 2 checks:

$$1) \underbrace{([Xt^k, d], Yt^p)}_{=} \stackrel{?}{=} \underbrace{([Xt^k, [d, Yt^p]])}_{=} \quad \text{"} (Xt^k, [d, Yt^p]) = k \delta_{k,p} t_c(XY) \quad \checkmark$$

$$(-k Xt^k, Yt^p) = -k \delta_{k,p} t_c(XY)$$

$$2) \underbrace{([d, Xt^k], Yt^p)}_{=} \stackrel{?}{=} \underbrace{([d, [Xt^k, Yt^p]])}_{=} \quad \text{"} (d, [X, Y]t^{k+p} + k \delta_{k,p} t_c(XY) \cdot K) \quad \checkmark$$

$$(k Xt^k, Yt^p) = k \delta_{k,p} t_c(XY)$$

So: We got a nondeg. pairing on $\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{sl}_n$!

As \mathfrak{sl}_n was naturally \mathbb{Z} -graded, we get a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\mathfrak{gl}_n \supset \mathfrak{sl}_n$.

Exercise: Verify it exactly coincides with the principal grading from Lecture 3.

Further, setting $\deg(d) = 0$, we actually get \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\mathfrak{gl}_n \supset \mathfrak{sl}_n$.

In particular:

$$\mathfrak{sl}_n = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-, \quad \mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \bigoplus_{k > 0} \mathfrak{sl}_n \cdot t^k, \quad \mathfrak{n}_+ = \text{strictly upper-}\Delta \text{ in } \mathfrak{sl}_n$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_- = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \bigoplus_{k < 0} \mathfrak{sl}_n \cdot t^k, \quad \mathfrak{n}_- = \text{strictly lower-}\Delta \text{ in } \mathfrak{sl}_n$$

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}k \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \quad \mathfrak{h} = \text{diagonal in } \mathfrak{sl}_n$$

We also have a similar triangular decomposition for \mathfrak{gl}_n ; when needed we shall use subscript \mathfrak{sl}_n or \mathfrak{gl}_n , like $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$.

Note: $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$ has a basis $\{E_{ii}\}_{i=1}^n \cup \{k, d\}$

$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$ has a basis $\{h_i = E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1}\}_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup \{h_0 = K - E_{11} + E_{nn}\} \cup \{d\}$
 ↑ this specific choice will be explained later

Def 1: a) Define $\{\tilde{\omega}_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1} \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n})^*$ via $\tilde{\omega}_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $\tilde{\omega}_i(d) = 0$.

b) Define $\{\tilde{\omega}_m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n})^*$ via

$$\tilde{\omega}_m(K) = 1, \tilde{\omega}_m(d) = 0, \tilde{\omega}_m(E_{ii}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \bar{m} \\ 0, & \text{if } \bar{m} < i \leq n \end{cases} + \frac{m - \bar{m}}{n}$$

where $\bar{m} := m \bmod n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Note: 1) $\tilde{\omega}_{m+n} - \tilde{\omega}_m \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n})^*$ is s.t. $K \mapsto 0, d \mapsto 0, E_{ii} \mapsto 1 \forall i$.

2) Evoking $h_i = E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1}, h_0 = K - E_{11} + E_{nn}$, we see that restricting $\tilde{\omega}_m \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n})^*$ under $(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n})^* \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n})^*$, we get $\tilde{\omega}_{\bar{m}}$.

Lemma 4: There exists a unique extension of $\mathfrak{gl}_n \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ to $\mathfrak{gl}_n \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ with $d(\psi_m) = 0$.

Exercise (Homework problem): Prove it.

We finally conclude with:

Proposition 1: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the \mathfrak{gl}_n -module $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ is irreducible highest weight with the highest weight $\tilde{\omega}_m \in (\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n})^*$.

(we shall prove it next time)