

Lecture #22

1

Last time: If $\mathfrak{g}$ -simple f.d. Lie algebra (with Cartan matrix A), then the algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ from Lecture 2 is affine Kac-Moody (with matrix $\hat{A}$ obtained from A by adding #0 row & column)

Remark: a) For simple $\mathfrak{g}$, taking $\mathfrak{g}(A^*)$ recovers another simple f.d. Lie algebra, called Langlands dual; which on the level of Dynkin diagrams reverses arrows.
 b) Reversing arrows on diagrams $B_n^{(1)}, C_n^{(1)}, F_4^{(1)}, G_2^{(1)}$ from Lecture 21 actually recovers Dynkin diagrams of twisted types $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}, D_{n+1}^{(2)}, E_6^{(2)}, D_4^{(3)}$
 c) According to [Kac, Thm 4.8] all affine Kac-Moody algebras are either as last time (denoted $X_n^{(1)}$), or those from b), or $A_2^{(2)}$ with diagram $\circ \rightleftharpoons \circ$ or $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ with diagram $\circ \rightleftharpoons \circ \cdots \circ \rightleftharpoons \circ$

Def 1 The roots of contragredient Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ are $\Delta := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^n \mid \mathfrak{g}(\alpha) \neq 0\}$.

Remark: a) The assignment $e_i \mapsto f_i, f_i \mapsto e_i, h_i \mapsto -h_i$ gives rise to a Lie alg. automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ and hence of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. In particular, have:
 $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})$.

b) For any $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_i$ ($k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), the subspace $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is spanned

Exercise $\rightarrow$ by iterated commutators $[e_{i_1}, [e_{i_2}, \dots, [e_{i_{n-1}}, e_{i_n}] \dots]]$ with $\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_n} = \alpha$. In particular, all $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ are indeed fin. dim-l!

For the rest of today, we will crucially need the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space $F := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ (with basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$) together with the natural linear map

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} F \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^* \\ \alpha \longmapsto \bar{\alpha} \end{array} \right\} \text{ s.t. } \bar{\alpha}_j(h_i) = a_{ij} \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

This map can be also characterized as

$$\{ [h, x] = \bar{\alpha}(h) \cdot x \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, h \in \mathfrak{h} \}$$

In particular, this map is an isomorphism when A -nondegenerate, such as Cartan matrix of simple $\mathfrak{g}$. However, it's not for affine KM.

Lecture #22

2

To clarify the last statement, recall that $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{A})$ is intrinsically graded by $Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n$ via $\deg(e_i) = \alpha_i$, $\deg(f_i) = -\alpha_i$, $\deg(h_i) = 0$. Evoking that $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{A})$ can be realized as $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, it's natural to ask what this grading looks like in the loop realization. Recall $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} \cdot t^0$, $f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} \cdot t^0$, $h_i \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cdot t^0$, while $e_0 = f_0 t \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cdot t$, $f_0 = e_0 t^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \cdot t^{-1}$, $h_0 = k - h_\theta$.

Exercise: Show that $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ ($\beta \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$), $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\deg(x \cdot t^n) = \beta + n \cdot (\alpha_0 + \theta)$

Def 2: Let $\bar{\delta} := \alpha_0 + \theta \in Q$

Then, we get:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{roots of } \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \nearrow \hat{\Delta} = \Delta \times \mathbb{Z}\bar{\delta} \sqcup \{0\} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})\bar{\delta} \Rightarrow \hat{\Delta}_+ = \Delta_+ \sqcup \{\alpha + k\bar{\delta} \mid \alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\} \\ \text{roots of } \mathfrak{g} \nearrow \Delta \end{array}$$

Note that $\bar{\delta} = 0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ as follows from Lecture #21. In particular:

Corollary 1: For untwisted affine Kac-Moody $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\text{Ker}(F \xrightarrow[\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}]{} \mathfrak{h}^*)$ is spanned by $\bar{\delta}$

- Our next goal is to introduce an appropriate category $\mathcal{O}$ of modules. We first start by reminding the classical definition for simple f.d.

Def 3: The category $\mathcal{O}$ of modules over simple f.d. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ has

- Objects = $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules M such that
 - 1) M is $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable, i.e. $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M[\mu]$, $M[\mu] = \{v \in M \mid h(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i v_i \cdot v\}$
 - 2) $\dim(M[\mu]) < \infty$
 - 3) $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(\lambda_i)$ for some finite set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$

where $\text{Supp}(M) = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid M[\mu] \neq 0\}$ - "support of M "

$D(\lambda) := \{\lambda - k_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 - \dots - k_n \bar{\alpha}_n \mid k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$
- Morphisms = $\mathfrak{g}$ -module morphisms.

Lecture #22

Remark: The above definition of $\mathcal{O}$ is a refinement of the category $\mathcal{O}^+$ from Lecture 5, where instead of $\mathcal{Q}$ we used the principal grading with $\deg(e_i) = 1 = -\deg(f_i)$

As always, category $\mathcal{O}$ is designed to contain all Verma modules, as well as their $\oplus$, Ker , Coker .

Def 4: For $M \in \mathcal{O}$, define its character as

$$\text{ch}(M) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*} \dim(M_{[\mu]}) \cdot e^\mu \in \mathcal{R} := \left\{ \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{g}^*} a_\nu e^\nu \text{ supported at finite union of } D(\lambda)\text{'s} \right\}$$

Exercise (standard!):

a) $\text{ch}(M_\lambda) = \frac{e^\lambda}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}$

b) $\text{ch}(M \otimes M') = \text{ch}(M) \cdot \text{ch}(M')$

c) for a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ in category $\mathcal{O}$, have: $\text{ch}(M/N) = \text{ch}(M) - \text{ch}(N)$

Category $\mathcal{O}$ for contragredient $\mathfrak{g}(A)$

Warning: In general the Verma modules will not belong to $\mathcal{O}$, which poses the obvious issue, as $\mathcal{O}$ was exactly defined to contain all M_λ .

Indeed, if $x \in \mathfrak{g}(A)_\alpha$ satisfies $\bar{x} = 0$, then $h(xv_\lambda) = x \underbrace{h v_\lambda}_{x(h \cdot v_\lambda) = 0} + \underbrace{\bar{x}(h)}_{=0} \cdot x v_\lambda$
 $\Rightarrow \{xv_\lambda \mid \overline{\deg(x)} = 0\}$ are all in $M_\lambda[2]$, and are all lin. indep.

E.g. for $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}$, we can take these $x = h \cdot \underbrace{t^k}_{\text{min}} \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}_{1,0}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Thus, we obtain obvious issue to property 2) of category $\mathcal{O}$.

To get around, we shall now enlarge $\mathfrak{g}(A)$:

Def 5: Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) := \mathfrak{g}(A) \oplus \mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_n$ s.t. $[D_i, e_j] = \delta_{ij}, [D_i, f_j] = -\delta_{ij}$
 $[D_i, h_j] = 0, [D_i, D_j] = 0$

In other words $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) = (\mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_n) \ltimes \mathfrak{g}(A)$ as D_i act via derivatives

$\Rightarrow$ triangular decomposition has same $\mathfrak{n}_\pm$, but $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_n$.

Lecture #22

With this update $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}$ we shall now be able to introduce category $\mathcal{O}$.

First, we enlarge $F = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ to $\boxed{P := \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus F}$ and upgrade the

previous map $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ to $P \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$ via $\varphi(d_i): h_i \mapsto a_{ij}, D_i \mapsto \delta_{ij}$

$$\varphi(\gamma): h \mapsto \gamma(h), D_i \mapsto 0 \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Lemma 1: φ is a vector space isomorphism

The corresponding matrix (w.r.t. appropriate bases) is $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{I} & * \\ \hline 0 & \mathbb{I} \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \text{nondegenerate}$

Note that we still have $[h, x] = \varphi(\alpha)(h) \cdot x \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$.

! With this upgrade, we can now define category $\mathcal{O}$ as before, whereas replacing $\mathfrak{h} \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^* \mapsto \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$. In particular, the Verma modules M_{λ} (and their irreducible quotients L_{λ}) are parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$.

Remark: In [Feigh-Zelensky], while they have the same P , their definition of category $\mathcal{O}$ is slightly different. Instead of viewing M as $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A)$ -modules, they do work with $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -modules BUT add an extra data of P -grading on M .

Exercise: Prove $\text{ch}(M_{\lambda}) = e^{\lambda} / \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}} \quad \forall \lambda \in P$.

Note: Even for $A = \text{Cartan matrix of simple f.d. g.}$, the above definition looks quite different from the one we started with. However, this can be easily resolved by next lemma (see [Feigh-Zelensky, §2.8]).

Lemma 2: For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ with weight in $\lambda + F$.

a) $\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*/F} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$

b) If $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ satisfy $\lambda - \lambda' = \alpha$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ & $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}$ are naturally equivalent

c) If A is nonsingular, then every $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ is equiv. to $\mathcal{O}_0$ ($\mathcal{O}_0$ equals our $\mathcal{O}$ from Def 3 if $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}$)

a) is clear. c) follows from b). For b), given any $M' \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}$ we define $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ which $= M'$ as $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module, but $M_D = M'_D - \alpha \cdot D$

Remark: For affine Kac-Moody $\mathfrak{g}(\tilde{A}) = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, know $\text{codim}(F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*) = 1$. Hence, by Lemma 2(b), there is essentially 1-parameter family of categories $\mathcal{O}_k (k \in \mathbb{C})$, where k = "level" = value on central elt $K \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We shall end the class with the following result, needed for the next class.

Lemma 3: a) The center $\mathcal{Z}$ of contragredient $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i h_i \mid \beta_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } \sum \beta_i a_{ij} = 0 \forall j \}$$

(In particular, $\dim \mathcal{Z} = \dim(\text{Ker } A)$).

b) If $a_{ii} \neq 0 \forall i$ (in particular, if A -generalized Cartan matrix), then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$

c) If A -indecomposable symmetrizable matrix, then any proper graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is contained in its center $\mathcal{Z}$. In particular, if A -nongenerate, then $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ has no proper ideals.

a) If $x \in \mathcal{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then $x = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} x_\alpha$, $\deg(x_\alpha) = \alpha$ and $x_\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}$! (for degree reasons).

If $\exists \alpha \neq 0$ s.t. $x_\alpha \neq 0$, then $\mathbb{C}x_\alpha$ is a graded ideal of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ non-intersecting $\mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.
So $x \in \mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow$ can write $x = \sum \beta_i h_i$ (as $\mathfrak{h}$ -basis) with $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $x \in \mathcal{Z} \Leftrightarrow [x, e_j] = 0 = [x, f_j] \forall j \Leftrightarrow \sum \beta_i a_{ij} = 0 \forall j$.

b) $[e_i, f_i] = h_i$, $[h_i, e_i] = a_{ii} e_i$, $[h_i, f_i] = -a_{ii} f_i$, $\{e_i, h_i, f_i\}$ -generate $\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow$ follows!

c) Assume $0 \neq I \neq \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is a graded ideal $\Rightarrow I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} I \cap \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \Rightarrow I = \underbrace{I_+}_{I \cap \mathfrak{h}_+} \oplus \underbrace{I_0}_{I \cap \mathfrak{h}} \oplus \underbrace{I_-}_{I \cap \mathfrak{h}_-}$

Claim: $I_+ = 0$ (and likewise $I_- = 0$).

If not, pick $x \in I \cap \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, $x \neq 0$. Then the ideal J generated by x must intersect $\mathfrak{h}$ nontrivially. This implies that $\exists i_1, \dots, i_r, j_1, \dots, j_s$ s.t.

$$\text{ad}(f_{i_1}) \dots \text{ad}(f_{i_r}) \text{ad}(e_{j_1}) \dots \text{ad}(e_{j_s})(x) \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\text{Exercise!}} \underline{h_{i_1} \in J \subseteq I}$$

$$\text{But } [f_{i_1}, \mathfrak{g}_j] \cap \mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} h_{i_1}$$

Now $[h_{i_1}, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j$, $[h_{i_1}, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j \Rightarrow \{e_j, f_j, h_j\} \in J \subseteq I$ if $a_{ij} \neq 0$

Exercise: Use indecomposability of A to deduce $J = I = \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$

So: $I = I_0$ and if $I \neq I_0 \setminus \mathcal{Z} \Rightarrow \exists j$ s.t. $[h, e_j] \neq 0 \Rightarrow e_j \in I \Rightarrow I \neq 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$