

Recall that $P = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus F$ with $F = Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ was equipped with symm. nondeg. pairing $(,): P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We shall assume that $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is Kac-Moody.

Def 1: a) For any i , define a linear map (called simple reflection)
 $\tau_i: P \rightarrow P$ via $x \mapsto x - x(h_i)\alpha_i$

b) The Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is the subgroup W of $GL(P)$ generated by above τ_i .

Exercise: a) $\tau_i^2 = \text{Id}_P$

b) $(x, y) = (\tau_i x, \tau_i y) \quad \forall x, y \in P$

Remark: We have $\tau_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - a_{ij}\alpha_i \quad \forall i, j$. In particular, $F \subseteq P$ is W -invariant, while the quotient action $W \curvearrowright P/F$ is trivial. In fact, as we shall see next time, W can be viewed as a subgroup of $GL(F)$ for finite and affine Kac-Moody.

Lemma 1: If V is an integrable $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module, then $\forall \mu \in P$ there is a natural isomorphism $V[\mu] \cong V[\tau_i(\mu)]$. In particular, $\dim V[\mu] = \dim V[\tau_i(\mu)]$.

As $V \in \mathcal{O}$, both $V[\mu]$, $V[\tau_i(\mu)]$ are f.d. For any index i , due to integrability there is a f.d. $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -submodule W of V containing $V[\mu] + V[\tau_i(\mu)]$. Now by $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -theory, the result is immediate, in particular, $f_i^{\mu(h_i)}: V[\mu] \cong V[\tau_i(\mu)]$ if $\mu(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
 $f_i^{-\mu(h_i)}: V[\tau_i(\mu)] \cong V[\mu]$ if $\mu(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$.

Lemma 2: a) The form $(,)$ on P is W -invariant

b) If V -integrable, $\mu \in P$, $w \in W$, then $\dim V[\mu] = \dim V[w\mu]$

c) The set Δ of roots of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is W -invariant, and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mu})^{V_W} = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{w\mu})^{V_W}$

d) $\tau_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$, and τ_i permutes $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$

► a), b) - obvious from above

c) follows from $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ being an integrable module over itself (Prop 1 of Lect 24) so that $\text{Supp } \mathfrak{g}(A) = \Delta \cup \{0\}$, and the result follows from b)

d) Clearly $\tau_i(d_i) = d_i - a_{ii} d_i = -d_i$

If $\beta \in \Delta^+ \setminus \{d_i\}$, then $\beta = \sum k_j \alpha_j$ with $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall j$ and $\exists p \neq i$ s.t. $k_p \neq 0$.

Then $\tau_i(\beta) = \beta - \alpha_i$ which has the same coeff $k_p > 0$ of $\alpha_p \Rightarrow \tau_i(\beta) \in \Delta^+$

Note that every $\tau_i: P \rightarrow P$ can be viewed as a reflection and has $\det = -1$. This means that $\det: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ actually take values in ± 1 and

$$\det(\tau_{i_1} \dots \tau_{i_k}) = (-1)^k \quad \forall i_1, \dots, i_k$$

Now we are ready to state the key result of today:

Theorem 1 (Weyl-Kac character formula): Let $\lambda \in P_+$ and V be an integrable highest weight module of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A)$. Then $V \simeq L_\lambda$ and

$$\text{ch}(V) = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) \text{ch}(M_{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}) = \sum_{w \in W} \frac{\det(w) \cdot e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}}$$

Before proving this result, let's first mention several corollaries:

Corollary 1: For $\lambda \in P_+$, $L_\lambda = L_\lambda$, cf. Corollary 1 of Lecture 24.

This realizes L_λ as an explicit quotient of the Verma module

Corollary 2 (Weyl-Kac denominator formula): $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha} = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w\rho - \rho}$

► Apply the Theorem to $\lambda = 0$, whereas $L_0 = \mathbb{C}$ = trivial module

This allows to rewrite the character above as:

$$\text{ch}(L_\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho}}{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w\rho - \rho}}$$

Lecture #25

3

The proof of Theorem requires several "small" lemmas first.
As $W \curvearrowright P$, we shall define $w(e^{\mu}) := e^{w(\mu)}$.

Lemma 3: $K := e^{\rho} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha})}$ is $\bar{W}$ -anti-invariant, i.e. $w(K) = \det(w) \cdot K$

According to Lemma 2d): $\tau_i \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \cup \{d_i\}} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}} \right) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \cup \{d_i\}} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}}$
Also: $\tau_i(e^{\rho}(1 - e^{-\alpha_i})) = e^{\rho - \rho(h_i)\alpha_i} (1 - e^{-\alpha_i}) = e^{\rho} \cdot e^{-\alpha_i} (1 - e^{-\alpha_i}) = -e^{\rho} (1 - e^{-\alpha_i})$
 $\Rightarrow \tau_i(K) = -K \quad \forall i \Rightarrow w(K) = \det(w) \cdot K \quad \forall w \in \bar{W}$

Lemma 4: Let $\alpha \in P_+$ and recall $D(\alpha) := \{\alpha - \sum k_i d_i \mid k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$

a) $W\alpha \subseteq D(\alpha)$

b) If $D \subseteq D(\alpha)$ is a $\bar{W}$ -invariant set (i.e. $W(D) = D$), then $D \cap P_+ \neq \emptyset$

a) Know: L_{α} -integrable (Prop 3 of Lecture 24) $\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 2}} \text{Supp}(L_{\alpha})$ is $\bar{W}$ -invariant.

Thus: $W\alpha \subseteq \text{Supp } L_{\alpha} \subseteq \text{Supp } M_{\alpha} = D(\alpha)$.

b) Pick any $\psi \in D$ and choose $w \in \bar{W}$ so that $\text{ht}(\alpha - w\psi)$ is minimal, where for $\alpha - w\psi = \sum k_i d_i$ it's height is $\text{ht}(\alpha - w\psi) = \sum k_i$. We claim that $w\psi \in P_+$, as otherwise $w\psi(h_i) < 0$ and $\tau_i(w\psi)$ would satisfy $\text{ht}(\alpha - \tau_i(w\psi)) < \text{ht}(\alpha - w\psi)$, a contradiction.

Lemma 5: If $w \in \bar{W} \setminus \{1\}$, then $\exists i$ s.t. $w(d_i) \in \Delta^-$

As $w \neq 1$, $\exists \alpha \in P_+$ s.t. $w(\alpha) \neq \alpha$. Then $w^{-1}(\alpha) = \alpha - \sum k_i d_i$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by Lemma 4a) $\Rightarrow \alpha = w(w^{-1}(\alpha)) = \alpha - \sum \ell_i d_i - \sum k_i w(d_i)$ with $k_i, \ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$
so: $\sum_{\ell_i \neq 0} \ell_i d_i + \sum k_i w(d_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists i$ s.t. $k_i > 0$ and $w(d_i) \in \Delta^-$

Lemma 6: Let $\varphi, \psi \in P$ s.t. $\varphi(h_i) > 0, \psi(h_i) \geq 0 \quad \forall i$. Then $w\varphi = \psi \Rightarrow w = \text{id}$

If $w \neq \text{id} \Rightarrow \exists i$ s.t. $w(d_i) \in \Delta^-$ by Lemma 5. Then:
 $0 < (\varphi, d_i) = (w^{-1}(\psi), d_i) = (\psi, w(d_i)) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$

Lecture #25

(4)

Lemma 7: Let $\mu, \nu \in P_+$ be such that $\mu \in D(\nu) \setminus \{\nu\}$. Then:
 $(\nu + \rho, \nu + \rho) - (\mu + \rho, \mu + \rho) > 0$.

Write $\nu - \mu = \sum k_i \alpha_i$ with $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and some $k_i > 0$. Then:

$$(\nu + \rho, \nu + \rho) - (\mu + \rho, \mu + \rho) = (\nu - \mu, \nu + \mu + 2\rho) = \sum_i \underbrace{k_i}_{\neq 0} \underbrace{(\alpha_i, (\nu + \rho) + (\mu + \rho))}_{> 0} > 0$$

Finally, we shall need a refinement of the following result:

Lemma 8: For any $V \in \mathcal{O}$, one can write $ch(V) = \sum_{\lambda} c_{\lambda} \cdot ch(M_{\lambda})$, where $c_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sum is over $\lambda \in \bigcup_{\lambda \in \text{Supp}(V)} D(\lambda)$, and it can be infinite.

As $V \in \mathcal{O}$, $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $\text{Supp}(V) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(\lambda_i)$.

For any $\mu \in D(\lambda_i)$, we consider $ht_i(\mu) := \text{height of } \lambda_i - \mu$. Then, for any $\mu \in \text{Supp}(V)$, we define $h(\mu) := \sum_{i: \mu \in D(\lambda_i)} ht_i(\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $h(V) := \min_{\mu \in \text{Supp}(V)} h(\mu)$.

Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s$ be all elements of $\text{Supp}(V)$ s.t. $h(\mu_1) = \dots = h(\mu_s) = h(V)$, and let $\{v_{p,1}, \dots, v_{p,t_p} = \dim V[\mu_p]\}$ be a basis of $V[\mu_p]$.

Then: each $v_{p,s} \in V$ is a highest weight vector by construction.

Hence, we get a nonzero $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module morphism

$$\gamma: \bigoplus_{p=1}^s M_{\mu_p}^{\otimes t_p} \rightarrow V.$$

Let $\text{Ker} = \text{Ker}(\gamma)$, $\text{Coker} = \text{Coker}(\gamma) = V / \text{Im}(\gamma)$, giving a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker} \rightarrow \bigoplus M_{\mu_p}^{\otimes t_p} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{Coker} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then: $ch(V) = \sum t_p \cdot ch(M_{\mu_p}) + ch(\text{Coker}) - ch(\text{Ker})$. But $\text{Ker}, \text{Coker} \in \mathcal{O}$, satisfy $\text{Supp}(\text{Ker}), \text{Supp}(\text{Coker}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(\lambda_i)$, and finally $h(\text{Ker}), h(\text{Coker}) > h(V)$.

Applying the above to Ker or Coker instead of V , and proceeding alike, we get the result (note that it may not terminate!)

Lecture #25

5

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Thm 1

Applying Lemma 8 to a highest weight λ integrable module V , we get $\text{ch}(V) = \sum_{\psi \in D(\lambda)} c_\psi \cdot \text{ch}(M_\psi)$ with $c_\psi \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_\lambda = 1$. However, we have:

Lemma 9: If $c_\psi \neq 0$, then $(\psi + \rho, \psi + \rho) = (\lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho)$

As follows from the proof of Lemma 8, the Casimir operator Δ must act by the same constant on all M_ψ as on V , hence, $(\psi, \psi + 2\rho) = (\lambda, \lambda + 2\rho) \Rightarrow$ result $\square$

Lemma 10: If $\psi + \rho = w(\lambda + \rho)$ for some $w \in W$, then $c_\psi = \det(w)$

$\triangleright \text{ch}(V) \cdot K = \sum_{\psi} c_\psi \cdot e^{\psi + \rho}$, but $\text{ch}(V)$ is W -invariant (Lemma 2b) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} K \text{ is } W\text{-anti-invariant} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \sum c_\psi e^{\psi + \rho}$ is W -anti-invariant $\Rightarrow c_{w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho} = \det(w) \cdot c_\lambda = \det(w)$ $\square$

Lemma 11: Let $D := \{\psi \in P \mid c_{\psi - \rho} \neq 0\}$. Then $D = W(\lambda + \rho)$

$\triangleright$ By Lemma 10, know that $W(\lambda + \rho) \subseteq D$. Also by the proof, D is W -invariant subset of $\rho + D(\lambda)$. If $W(\lambda + \rho) \neq D$, then by Lemma 4b) applied to $D \setminus W(\lambda + \rho)$, $\exists \beta \in (D \setminus W(\lambda + \rho)) \cap P_+$.

Now applying Lemma 7 (generalized to $\mu + \rho \in P_+$ instead of $\mu \in P_+$), get:
 $(\lambda + \rho, \lambda + \rho) > (\beta, \beta) \Rightarrow \psi$ with Lemma 3. $\square$

This completes the proof of the character formula for $\text{ch}(V)$, since the map $\begin{matrix} W & \rightarrow & P \\ w & \mapsto & w(\lambda + \rho) \end{matrix}$ is bijective by Lemma 6.

Finally, as $V \twoheadrightarrow L_\lambda$ and both V, L_λ -integrable $\Rightarrow \text{ch}(V) = \text{ch}(L_\lambda)$
 $\Rightarrow V \cong L_\lambda$ $\square$