

## HOMEWORK 4B (DUE FEBRUARY 25)

Given an associative algebra  $\mathcal{C}$ , a ( $\mathcal{C}$ -valued) quantum field is a formal bi-infinite power series

$$A(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n z^{-n-1} \quad \text{with } A_n \in \mathcal{C}$$

We split such  $A(z)$  into two parts  $A(z) = A_+(z) + A_-(z)$  via:<sup>1</sup>

$$A_+(z) = \sum_{n \leq -1} A_n z^{-n-1} \in \mathcal{C}[[z]] \quad \text{and} \quad A_-(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n z^{-n-1} \in z^{-1} \mathcal{C}[[z^{-1}]]$$

Given two quantum fields  $A(z), B(z) \in \mathcal{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ , define their normally ordered product via:

$$: A(z)B(w) : = A_+(z)B(w) + B(w)A_-(z)$$

1. Let  $a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$ ,  $T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$  be the quantum fields with coefficients in the universal enveloping of the Heisenberg ( $\mathcal{A}$ ) and Virasoro ( $\text{Vir}$ ) algebras, respectively.

(a) Compute the difference  $a(z)a(w) - :a(z)a(w):$  on the Fock representation  $F_\mu$ . Present the corresponding power series by rational functions (depending only on  $z - w$ ).

(b) Evaluate the difference  $T(z)a(w) - :T(z)a(w):$  on the Fock representation  $F_\mu$ , viewed as a  $\text{Vir} \ltimes \mathcal{A}$ -module. Present the answer as a linear combination of  $a(w)$  and its derivatives with coefficients being rational functions in  $z - w$ .

(c) Evaluate the difference  $T(z)T(w) - :T(z)T(w):$  on the highest weight  $\text{Vir}$  representation with central charge  $c$ . Present the answer as a linear combination of  $T(w)$  and its derivatives with coefficients being rational functions in  $z - w$ .

Recall the delta-function from Lecture 8:

$$\delta(w - z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} w^n = \frac{1}{z - w} + \frac{1}{w - z}$$

where  $\frac{1}{z-w}$  denotes the power series expansion of the rational function in  $|z| \gg |w|$ :

$$\frac{1}{z - w} = \sum_{n \geq 0} z^{-n-1} w^n$$

2. Express  $[a(z), a(w)]$ ,  $[T(z), a(w)]$ ,  $[T(z), T(w)]$  via  $a(z), T(z), \delta(w - z)$  and its derivatives.

3\*. Let  $F_0$  be the Fock module of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $1 \in F_0$  denote the highest weight vector,  $1 \in F_0^*$  denote the lowest weight vector of the dual representation, and  $a(z)$  be as in Problem 1. Prove:

$$\left\langle 1^*, a(z_1) \cdots a(z_{2n}) 1 \right\rangle = \sum_{\{\sigma \in S_{2n} : \sigma^2 = 1, \sigma(i) \neq i \ \forall i\}} \prod_{i < \sigma(i)} \frac{1}{(z_i - z_{\sigma(i)})^2}$$

<sup>1</sup>Note that this is the only splitting compatible with  $\partial_z \in \text{End } \mathcal{C}[[z, z^{-1}]]$ .

4\*. This Problem outlines a proof of Theorem 1 from Lecture 4 stating that for a non-degenerate  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and any  $n \geq 0$ , the restriction

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_\lambda: M_\lambda^+[-n] \times M_{-\lambda}^-[n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is nondegenerate for generic } \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Identifying  $M_{\pm\lambda}^\pm[\mp n] \simeq U(\mathfrak{n}_\mp)[\mp n]$  and choosing some fixed bases of the latter, this reduces to a non-vanishing of the corresponding determinant, denoted  $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The key idea will be to degenerate  $\mathfrak{g}$  to a “generalized Heisenberg algebra” where the proof is more feasible.

*Step 1 (degeneration process):* Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^\epsilon = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n^\epsilon$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_n^\epsilon = \mathfrak{g}_n$  as vector spaces, and with the Lie bracket defined via

$$[x, y]_\epsilon = [x, y] \cdot \epsilon^{1+\delta_{n,0}+\delta_{m,0}-\delta_{n+m,0}} \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathfrak{g}_n^\epsilon, y \in \mathfrak{g}_m^\epsilon$$

For  $\epsilon \neq 0$ , show that the following linear map is a Lie algebra isomorphism

$$\varphi_\epsilon: \mathfrak{g}^\epsilon \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad \text{with } x \mapsto \epsilon^{1+\delta_{n,0}} x \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_n^\epsilon$$

Show that

$$(xv_\lambda^{+, \mathfrak{g}^\epsilon}, yv_{-\lambda}^{-, \mathfrak{g}^\epsilon})_\lambda = (\varphi_\epsilon(x)v_{\lambda/\epsilon^2}^{+, \mathfrak{g}}, \varphi_\epsilon(y)v_{-\lambda/\epsilon^2}^{-, \mathfrak{g}})_{\lambda/\epsilon^2}$$

for any  $x \in U(\mathfrak{n}_-), y \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ . Restricting to degree  $\pm n$  components, deduce:

$$\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}^\epsilon} = \epsilon^N \det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda/\epsilon^2, n}^{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

*Conclusion:* Deduce that the leading term of  $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}} = \det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}^1}$  equals  $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}^0}$ .

Therefore, it suffices to prove the non-vanishing of  $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}^0}$  for generic  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

*Step 2 (degenerated version explicitly):* Note that  $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n$  as vector spaces with

$$[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}^0} = \begin{cases} [x, y] & \text{if } \deg(x) + \deg(y) = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for homogeneous elements  $x, y$  (hence, we call  $\mathfrak{g}^0$  a “generalized Heisenberg algebra”). Note that  $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \bigoplus_{n > 0} \mathfrak{g}_{\pm n}^0$  are abelian, so that  $U(\mathfrak{n}_\pm) \simeq S(\mathfrak{n}_\pm)$ .

Verify that the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}^0}: S(\mathfrak{n}_-) \times S(\mathfrak{n}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is given by

$$(1) \quad (a_1 \dots a_k, b_1 \dots b_l) = \delta_{k,l} \sum_{\sigma \in S(k)} \lambda([a_1, b_{\sigma(1)}]) \cdots \lambda([a_k, b_{\sigma(k)}]) \text{ with } \lambda|_{\mathfrak{g} \neq 0} = 0$$

*Step 3 (verification for  $\mathfrak{g}^0$ ):* Use formula (1) to show that  $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda, n}^{\mathfrak{g}^0} \neq 0$  for generic  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ .