

Lecture #13

03/09/2021

Last time: $G = (k, V)$, Plücker embedding, Plücker eq-s



GL-orbit of a fixed one
" all decomposable wedges

Recall
 $G(k, V) = \Omega / \mathbb{C}^*$

Today: ∞ -analogue

Def 1: a) Let $M(\infty) = \text{Id} + \mathfrak{gl}_{\infty}$, i.e. it consists of all matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ s.t. $a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}$ are all but fin. many ZERO.

b) $GL(\infty) \subseteq M(\infty)$ - a subset of invertible matrices.

Exercise (Hwk): (a) $GL(\infty)$ - group, $M(\infty)$ monoid (under usual multiplication)

(b) $M(\infty) \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}^{(m)} = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty, m} V$ & group action $GL(\infty) \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$

Note: $A(v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (Av_{i_0}) \wedge (Av_{i_1}) \wedge (Av_{i_2}) \wedge \dots$

Def 2: Define $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ as $\Omega = GL(\infty) \cdot \psi_0$

This is a natural analogue of our definition from last time in fin. dim. case.

The next result shows an alternative description from last time applies to some extent ①

Lemma 1: For any $i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots$ s.t. $i_k = -k \ \forall k \gg 0$, we have

$$\boxed{V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge \dots \in \mathcal{L}}$$

$$\psi_0 = V_0 \wedge V_{-1} \wedge V_{-2} \wedge \dots \wedge V_{-N} \wedge V_{-N-1} \wedge \dots$$

$$\psi' = V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge V_{-N} \wedge V_{-N-1} \wedge \dots \quad \text{as } i_k = -k \text{ for } k \text{ big enough.}$$

There exists a permutation $\sigma: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma(k) = k$ for $|k| \gg 0$
 s.t. $\sigma(k) = i_k \ \forall k \geq 0$.

defines an elt $A \in GL(\infty)$ s.t. $A\psi_0 = \psi'$.

Def 3: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a linear operator

$$\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{F}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(m)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(m-1)}$$

$$\mathcal{S} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i$$

Exactly the analogue of \mathcal{S} from last time (in fin. dim. setup)

recall: $\mathcal{F}^{(m)} = \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}, m} V$

Note: For any $u \otimes v \in \mathcal{F}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$, only fin. many of $\hat{v}_i(u) \otimes \check{v}_i(v) \neq 0$,
 (as $\hat{v}_i(u) = 0$ for $i \ll 0$ while $\check{v}_i(v) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$)

Thm 1: For $\tau \in \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\tau \in \Omega \iff S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

\uparrow $GL(\infty) \psi_0$ \uparrow $S: \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(-1)}$

(Hwk) Exercise: Prove Thm

Hint: Reduce to the fin. dim. case, where we proved similar Theorem last time.

Def: The semiprofinite Grassmannian G_k is defined by $G_k = \Omega / \mathbb{C}^\times$

Identifying $v_i \in V$ ($i \in \mathbb{Z}$) with $t^{-i} \in \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle$, we can interpret G_k as follows:

$$G_k = \left\{ E \subseteq \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle \mid \begin{array}{l} t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle \subseteq E \text{ for } k \gg 0 \\ \dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle) = k \text{ for these } k \end{array} \right\}$$

^subspace

work through at home

Prop: (a) $t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle \subseteq E$ & $\dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle) = k \implies$ same holds $\forall k' > k$.

(b) $\forall E \in G_k \exists k \gg 0$ s.t. $E \subseteq t^{-k} \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle$

$$\implies t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle \subseteq E \subseteq t^{-k} \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle \implies \underbrace{E/t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle}_{\text{defines a point in } G_k(k, 2k)} \subset t^{-k} \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle / t^k \mathbb{C}\langle t \rangle$$

! defines a point in $G_k(k, 2k)$. ③

Corollary :

$$Gr = \bigcup Gr(k, 2k)$$

↑ nested union of finite Grassmannians

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} : \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{B} \\ \downarrow \text{inclusion} & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{B}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots] \end{array}$$

Key Goal for today : Rewrite Plecker Eq-n $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$ on the "bosonic side", study application to ODE

Recall: quantum fields

$$X(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i \cdot u^i$$

$$X^*(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \check{v}_i u^{-i}$$

← wedging operators

← contracting operators

$$S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

obvious

$$\text{CT}_u (X(u)\tau \otimes X^*(u)\tau) = 0$$

← Fermionic side

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i$$

coefficient of u^0

$$F^{(0)} \simeq B^{(0)}$$

$$\tau \longleftarrow \tau$$

$$\text{CT}_u (\Gamma(u)\tau \otimes \Gamma^*(u)\tau) = 0$$

← Bosonic side

$$\tau \in B^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$$

$$\tau \otimes \tau \in \mathbb{C}[x'_1, x''_1, x'_2, x''_2, x'_3, x''_3, \dots]$$

Recalling the explicit formulas for $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$ we get:



$$CT_u \left(u \cdot e^{\sum_{j>0} x'_j u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j>0} \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} u^{-j}} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j>0} x''_j u^j} \cdot e^{\sum_{j>0} \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_j} u^{-j}} \tau(x'_1, x'_2, \dots) \tau(x''_1, x''_2, \dots) \right) = 0$$



$$CT_u \left(u \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j>0} (x'_j - x''_j) u^j \right) \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j>0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_j} \right) \frac{u^{-j}}{j} \right) \tau(x') \tau(x'') \right) = 0$$

Corollary : $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ satisfies $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega$ if and only if above eqn holds.

Change of variables : $\begin{cases} x' = x - y \\ x'' = x + y \end{cases}$, i.e. $\begin{cases} x'_i = x_i - y_i \\ x''_i = x_i + y_i \end{cases} \forall i$ $\mathbb{C}[x'_1, x'_2, x''_1, x''_2, \dots] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots]$

\Downarrow

$$\begin{cases} x' - x'' = -2y \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \end{cases}$$

$$CT'_u \left(u \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j>0} u^j y_j \right) \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \cdot \frac{u^{-j}}{j} \right) \tau(x-y) \tau(x+y) \right) = 0$$

Def: Given any $P(x) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ ^{series}, $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ ^{polynomials} define $A(P, f, g) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ via

$$A(P, f, g) := \left[\underbrace{P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)}_{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \dots \right)} (f(x-z) \cdot g(x+z)) \right]_{z=0}$$

Rmk: (a) If $P_-(x) := P(-x) \Rightarrow A(P, f, g) = A(P_-, g, f)$

(b) If P is odd ($P_-(x) = -P(x)$) $\Rightarrow A(P, f, f) = 0$

← we shall use this in what follows!

Thm 2 (Hizota bilinear relations): For $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)} \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Sigma$ iff

$$A \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp \left(\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s \right), \tau, \tau \right) = 0$$

where $\tilde{x}_1 = x_1, \tilde{x}_2 = \frac{x_2}{2}, \tilde{x}_3 = \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots$

(*)

! NOTE: View as a series in x 's with coeff's in independent y -variable

Recall: $\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (-2y) u^j = \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 1} 2y_j u^j\right)$
(from the very def-n)

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (\tilde{\partial}_y) u^j = \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{u^{-j}}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right)$$

$\tilde{\partial}_{y_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \tilde{\partial}_{y_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \dots$

Proof of Thm 2

$0 = CT_u \left(u \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 1} 2y_j u^j\right) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{u^{-j}}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) \tau(x+y) \tau(x-y) \right)$

! Introduce yet another variables
 $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots)$
above f-tes

$CT_u \left(u \cdot \exp(\dots) \exp(\dots) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}$

$CT_u \left(u \cdot \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (-2y) u^j \right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (\tilde{\partial}_y) u^j \right) \cdot \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \stackrel{\text{①}}{=}$

As all dependence on y, t is actually on $y+t$
 $\stackrel{\text{②}}{=} CT_u \left(u \cdot \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (-2y) u^j \right) \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (\tilde{\partial}_t) u^j \right) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0}$

replace $\tilde{\partial}_y$ by $\tilde{\partial}_t$
 $\stackrel{\text{③}}{=} \left[\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j (-2y) s_{j+1} (\tilde{\partial}_t) \right) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right] \Big|_{t=0}$

By def-n of CT_u
 $\stackrel{\text{④}}{=} \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}\right) \tau(x+t) \tau(x-t)$

Taylor decomposit.

⑧

$$\left[\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{\partial}_t) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_s}\right) \right) \tau(x+t) \tau(x-t) \right] \Big|_{t=0}$$

THUS :

$$A\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_s y_s x_s\right), \tau, \tau\right) = 0$$

This is actually a family of equations!

i.e. each monomial $y_1^{\delta_1} y_2^{\delta_2} \dots$ produces its own equation

Let's look what the simplest ones are.

- $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = 0$ (i.e. no y 's)

Get : $A\left(\underbrace{S_1(\tilde{x})}_{\tilde{x}_1 \leftarrow \text{odd polynomial}}, \tau, \tau\right) = 0$

← vacuous!

(as we know $A(P, f, f) = 0$ for odd P)

• $\gamma_\tau = 1$ & $f_s = 0 \forall s \neq \tau$

The coeff. of monomial γ_τ in $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j (-2\gamma) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp(2\gamma x_s)$

is: $x_1 x_\tau - 2 S_{\tau+1}(\tilde{x})$
denote by $T_\tau(x)$.

* $\tau=1$: $T_1(x) = x_1^2 - 2 \underbrace{S_2(\tilde{x})}_{\frac{x_1^2}{2} + x_2} = -2x_2 \Rightarrow$ don't get any nontrivial ep-n.
↑ odd in x-variables

* $\tau=2$: $T_2(x) \stackrel{\text{Exercise}}{=} -\frac{x_1^3}{3} - \frac{2x_3}{3} \leftarrow$ odd in x's \Rightarrow not very interesting ep-n.

! $\tau=3$: $T_3(x) \stackrel{\text{Exercise}}{=} \frac{x_1 x_3}{3} - \frac{x_4}{2} - \frac{x_2^2}{4} - \frac{x_1^4}{12} - \frac{x_1^2 x_2}{2} \leftarrow$ this provides the 1st nontrivial ep-n!

eq-n: $A(T_3(x), \tau, \tau) = 0$

↓ ignoring odd terms

$A\left(\frac{x_1 x_3}{3} - \frac{x_2^2}{4} - \frac{x_1^4}{12}, \tau, \tau\right) = 0$

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \right)^4 \cdot \frac{1}{12} \right) \tau(x-z) \tau(x+z) \right]_{z=0} = 0.$$

$$(**) \left[\left(\partial_{z_1}^4 + 3 \partial_{z_2}^2 - 4 \partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_3} \right) \tau(x-z) \tau(x+z) \right]_{z=0} = 0$$

$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots)$
 $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots)$

Fix $x_m = c_m$ for $m > 3$, rename $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = t$

Set: $u := 2 \partial_x^2 \log \tau$ $\leftarrow u = u(x, y, t)$

Prop (Hwk Exercise *): τ satisfies $(**)$ iff u satisfies KP eq-n:

$$\frac{3}{4} \partial_y^2 u = \partial_x \left(\partial_t u - \frac{3}{2} u \cdot \partial_x u - \frac{1}{4} \partial_x^3 u \right)$$

The take home from today's class is:

Corollary: Any element of G_2 provides a solution of KP eq-n.

► $\tau \in \Omega \rightsquigarrow u$ -soln

$G_2 = \Omega / \mathbb{C}^\times \rightsquigarrow u$ -same in \mathbb{C}^\times -orbit

Last week : $\exists v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots$ $\xrightarrow{\Omega}$ $S_{\lambda}^{\dagger} \stackrel{\text{B}^{(0)}}{=} \text{Schur pol-s.}$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\substack{\mathbb{M} \text{ (Lemma 1)} \\ \Omega}}$



Corollary : For any partition λ and any collection of constants c_4, c_5, \dots , get the following solution of the KP eq-n:

$$u = 2 \partial_x^2 \log S_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(x, y, t, c_4, c_5, \dots)$$

Recall from Lecture 11 (used to define $g_{\infty} \mapsto \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$)

$$\Gamma(u, v) = \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u^{-j} - v^{-j}}{j} a_j\right)$$

$$\parallel$$

$$:\Gamma(u)\Gamma^*(v):$$

Thm 3: If $\tau \in \Omega \Rightarrow (1 + a \cdot \Gamma(u, v))\tau \in \underbrace{\Omega}_{u, v} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$\Downarrow \psi_0 \leftrightarrow \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$$

$$\{\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}(u, v) \mid S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0\}$$

Cor: $\forall a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ we get

$$\tau = (1 + a_1 \Gamma(u_1, v_1))(1 + a_2 \Gamma(u_2, v_2)) \dots (1 + a_n \Gamma(u_n, v_n)) \mathbb{1} \in \Omega_{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

Cor: $u = 2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^2 \log \tau$ is a solution of the KP eq-n

\nearrow it is known as the "n-soliton" solution

Example: $n=1$, $\tau = (1 + a \Gamma(u, v)) \mathbb{1} = 1 + a \cdot e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + \frac{c}{a}}$

constant, which
can be further
absorbed into a !



$$u(x, y, t) = 2 \partial_x^2 \log \tau = \frac{(u-v)^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t \right)}$$



set $v = -u$ to eliminate y

$$u(x, t) = \frac{2u^2}{\cosh^2 (ux + u^3 t)}$$

By above: it is a solution of KdV equation.

We shall prove Thm 3 either next time or will postpone it to hwk.