

Lecture #20

04/06/2021

Last time: • Contragredient Lie algs

$$\underline{A} \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow \boxed{\mathfrak{g}(A)} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{generated by} \\ \{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n \end{array}$$

$$\parallel \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} \mathfrak{g}(A)_{\alpha} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{Q}\text{-graded} \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \end{array}$$

• A -generalized Cartan matrix $\rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{g}(A)$ - (symmetrizable) Kac-Moody alg.

We Proved: $\{ad(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j), ad(f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j) \mid i \neq j\} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A))$

Thm (Gabber-Kac): They generate the kernel.

↑ Lie alg. gen'd by $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ subject only to rel's from property (1).

⇓

! Cor: You can define $\sqrt{\mathfrak{g}(A)}$ ^{Kac-Moody} by generators & relations.

- Generalized Cartan matrix A is "affine" if $DA \geq 0$, but $DA \neq 0$.



$\mathfrak{g}(A)$ - affine Kac-Moody algebra.

Last time we stated but didn't prove:

Thm • \mathfrak{g} -simple f.d. Lie alg $\implies \hat{\mathfrak{g}} = L\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$. (from Lecture 1).
 w/ Cartan matrix A ↑
is affine Kac-Moody in the above sense

• The gen. Cartan matrix of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & A & \\ \vdots & & \end{pmatrix}$

► Pick a Cartan subalg. $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, let $r = rk(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim(\mathfrak{h})$.
 Let $\{e_i, h_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be the Chevalley generators for \mathfrak{g} (here we view $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$)
Recall: $\theta \in \Delta_+$ - the maximal/highest root in Δ_+

Consider:

$$e_\theta := f_\theta \cdot t, \quad f_\theta := e_\theta \cdot t^{-1}, \quad h_\theta := K - h_\theta, \quad [h_\theta, f_\theta] = 0$$

Example: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_N \implies e_\theta = E_{1N} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}, f_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix} \implies e_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ t & & & \end{pmatrix}, f_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

Claim: $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=0}^r$ - these are exactly realizing \mathfrak{g} as the contragredient Lie alg. $\mathfrak{g}(\vec{A})$ ↑ yet to be determined

* First: these el-s generate $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{Log} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot k$.

Clearly, they generate $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot k$.

Look at $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t(\mathbb{C}[t])$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$), so that $\mathfrak{Log} = \mathfrak{g} \cdot t(\mathbb{C}[t]) \oplus \mathfrak{g} \cdot t^{-1}(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$.

They are clearly generated by $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t^{-1}$), b/c. $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$.

BUT: As \mathfrak{g} -modules: $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t \cong \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t^{-1} \cong \mathfrak{g}$, ← the adjoint rep. on \mathfrak{g} , e_0, f_0 - generate \mathfrak{g} under the adjoint action \Rightarrow done! (i.e. \mathfrak{g} is gen-d by $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=0}^r$) ✓

* Next: Check rel-s: $[h_i, h_j] = 0$, $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i$, $[h_i, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j$, $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j$. $\forall i, j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ ← OBVIOUS

if $i \neq j \Rightarrow$ they follow automatically (as they are satisfied inside \mathfrak{g}).

Let's look now at $[h_i, e_j]$ with one (or both) of i, j being zero.

• $[h_0, e_j] \stackrel{?}{=} [a_j] \cdot e_j \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq r$
↑ yet to be determined!

$$[k-h_0, e_j] = -[h_0, e_j] = -a_j(h_0) \cdot e_j \Rightarrow a_j = -a_j(h_0) = -(\alpha_j, \theta^\vee = \frac{2\theta}{(\theta, \theta)} = \theta) = -(\alpha_j, \theta)$$

= 2 by our choice of (\cdot, \cdot)

So: $a_j = -(\alpha_j, \theta) \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq r$ of $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathfrak{g}$

Obviously an integer! $= \theta - (\alpha_j, \theta) \cdot \alpha_j^\vee$

! But it's also non-positive! (b/c otherwise $S_{\alpha_j}(\theta) > \theta$ - contradicting θ -max!)

- $[h_0, e_0] \stackrel{?}{=} 2e_0$

$$\parallel$$

$$[k-h_0, f_0 t] = -[h_0, f_0 t] =$$

- $[h_i, e_0] \stackrel{?}{=} [h_i, f_0 t] = -\theta(h_i) \cdot \underbrace{f_0 t}_{e_0}$

$$\boxed{a_{i0}} \cdot e_0$$

↑
yet to be determined!

$$\theta(h_0) \cdot f_0 t = \underbrace{(\theta, \theta^v)}_{=2} \cdot f_0 t = 2f_0 t = 2e_0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{i0} = -\theta(h_i) = -(d_i^v, \theta) = -\left(\frac{2d_i}{(d_i, d_i)}, \theta\right) \Downarrow$$

$$\underline{\text{So:}} \quad \boxed{a_{i0} = -\frac{(d_i, \theta)}{(d_i, d_i)} \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r}$$

↑
this ratio equals 1, 2, or 3.

Upshot: $a_{0i}, a_{i0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} (\forall i \neq 0)$, AND $a_{i0} = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_{0i} = 0$.

* $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij} \cdot f_j$ — ANALOGOUS (exercise!)

Remains to compute $[e_i, f_j]$ with at least one of i, j being ZERO.

- $[e_0, f_0] \stackrel{?}{=} h_0$

$$\parallel$$

$$[f_0 t, e_0 \cdot t^{-1}] = \underbrace{-h_0}_{[f_0, e_0] = -h_0} + k \cdot \underbrace{(f_0, e_0)}_{=1} = k - h_0 = h_0$$

- $[e_0, f_i] \stackrel{?}{=} 0$

$$\parallel$$

$$[f_0 t, f_i] = \underbrace{[f_0, f_i]}_{=0} \cdot t = 0$$

in degree $-\theta \cdot d_i \Rightarrow$ it's zero (θ -max root)

- $[e_i, f_0] = 0$ same way!



* Second property of the contragredient alg. is that \mathfrak{g} is \mathbb{Q} -graded with

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i = \mathbb{Z} \alpha_0 \oplus \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{g}_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^r \mathbb{C} h_i, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C} e_i, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C} f_i} \quad (*)$$

Exercise: Check this!

Set $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$. Let $\alpha_0 := \delta - \theta \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, where $\delta|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$ & $\alpha(K) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

Actually, to make sense of this we already need to work with $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C} d \rtimes \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, so that $\delta|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \delta|_d = 1$.

Note: $[h, e_0] = \alpha_0(h) \cdot e_0$ & $[h, f_0] = -\alpha_0(h) \cdot f_0 \quad \forall h \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}$

(for $1 \leq i \leq r$: $\alpha_0(h_i) = -\theta(h_i) = \alpha_{i0}$; for $i=0$: $\alpha_0(h_0) = (\delta - \theta)(h_0) = -\theta(h_0) = -\theta(K - h_0) = (\theta, \theta') = 2$)

Then: $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is \mathbb{Q} -graded with $\deg(e_i) = \alpha_i = -\deg(f_i), \deg(h_i) = 0$

! Explicitly, this \mathbb{Q} -grading is defined via:

$$\boxed{\deg(K) = 0, \quad \deg(xt^n) = \deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) + n\delta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathfrak{g}}$$

in particular, (*) is clear.

* Third Property of the contragredient Lie algebras is that every \mathbb{Q} -graded ideal intersects nontrivially the degree ZERO part.

Assume the contrary, i.e. $\exists \text{not } \neq I \subseteq \hat{\mathfrak{g}} - \mathbb{Q}\text{-graded ideal, s.t. } I \cap \hat{\mathfrak{h}} = 0.$

Consider the image $\bar{I} \subseteq L\mathfrak{g} \neq I$ under the natural projection $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{\kappa \mapsto 0} L\mathfrak{g}$

Then: \bar{I} - \mathbb{Q} -graded, nonzero ideal of $L\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g} \cdot t^n$ } \Rightarrow

BUT: \mathbb{Q} -degrees appearing in $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t^n$ & $\mathfrak{g} \cdot t^m$ ($n \neq m$) are pairwise distinct

$\Rightarrow \exists a \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ not } 0, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a \cdot t^n \in \bar{I}$

However: \mathfrak{g} -simple $\Rightarrow \text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})(a) = \mathfrak{g}$

$\Rightarrow \bar{I} = L\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \exists \bar{h} \in \bar{I} \Rightarrow I \cap \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \downarrow$

Contradiction!

This completes our proof of the Theorem!

□

Remark: (a) \hat{A} - indecomposable (can be verified case-by-case)

(b) A - Cartan \Rightarrow symmetric, i.e. $(D \cdot A)^T = D \cdot A$ with $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & & & \\ & d_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & d_r \end{pmatrix}$, $d_i = \frac{(d_i, d_i)}{2}$
 $a_{ij} = \frac{2(d_i, d_j)}{(d_i, d_i)}$

Claim: \hat{A} - symmetrizable.

Consider $\hat{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & d_1 & & \\ & & d_2 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & & & & d_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \mathbf{D} & & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}$. Claim that $(\hat{D} \cdot \hat{A})^T = \hat{D} \cdot \hat{A}$.

For $i, j \neq 0$, $(\hat{D}\hat{A})_{ij} = (\hat{D}\hat{A})_{ji}$ follows from $(DA)_{ij} = (DA)_{ji}$.

Finally, for $i=0, j \neq 0$: $(\hat{D}\hat{A})_{0j} = a_{0j} = -(\alpha_j, \theta)$

$$(\hat{D}\hat{A})_{j0} = d_j a_{j0} = \frac{(d_j, d_j)}{2} \cdot \frac{-(\alpha_j, \theta) \cdot 2}{(d_j, d_j)} = -(\alpha_j, \theta)$$

(c) Let's express θ as a linear combination $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i d_i$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (actually positive!)

$$\text{Set } \delta := d_0 + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i d_i$$

It follows from (b) and proof of Thm that $(\delta, d_i) = 0 \forall i$

Equivalently, the linear combination of the columns of $\hat{D}\hat{A}$ with

coeff $\rightarrow 1, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$ is ZERO.

Moreover, δ spans the kernel of $\hat{D}\hat{A}$.

Def: The roots of the contragredient $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ are elements of $\Delta = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0 \}$

Remark: (a) The assignment $e_i \mapsto f_i, f_i \mapsto e_i, h_i \mapsto -h_i$ gives rise to an algebra autom. of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$, hence, also of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. Therefore:

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$$

(b) For any $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by $[e_{i_1}, [e_{i_2}, \dots [e_{i_{r-1}}, e_{i_r}] \dots]]$
with $d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_r} = \alpha$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha < \infty \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta$$

Finally, for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (where \mathfrak{g} -simple f.dim), as follows from the proof of the theorem, we have:

* Root Decomposition:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{(\alpha, k) \neq (0,0) \\ \alpha \in \Delta \perp \perp \perp \perp \perp \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot t^k \quad \text{with } \hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$$

$$\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g})$$

* Root system:

$$\Delta(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \perp \perp \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \{ \alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \perp \perp \perp \perp \perp \}$$

* Positive roots

$$\Delta_+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \Delta_+(\mathfrak{g}) \perp \perp \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \{ \alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta_+(\mathfrak{g}) \perp \perp \perp \perp \}$$

Define

$$F := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \quad - \text{v. space } / \mathbb{C} \text{ with basis } \{d_i, \dots, d_n\}$$

Def: Consider the linear operator $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$, defined so that

$$\bar{\alpha}_j(h_i) = a_{ij} \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

This construction satisfies

$$[h, x] = \bar{\alpha}(h) \cdot x \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \Delta)$$

Prmk: (a) Above $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is isom ~~iff~~ A -nondegenerate (e.g. A -Cartan)

(b) For $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, the kernel of $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ is 1 -dim, spanned by $\underline{\delta}$.

Next time, we shall discuss category \mathcal{O} for contragredient $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

Today: Warm up by recalling this for simple f.dim. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$

Def: The category \mathcal{O} of modules over $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ is defined as follows:

Obj(\mathcal{O}): \mathfrak{g} -modules M satisfying 3 conditions:

(1) M is \mathfrak{h} -diagonalizable: $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M[\mu]$, $M[\mu] = \{v \in M \mid h(v) = \mu(h \cdot v) \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$

(2) $\dim(M[\mu]) < \infty \quad \forall \mu$

(3) \exists finite set $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, s.t.

$$\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(\lambda_i)$$

where

$$\text{Supp}(M) := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid M[\mu] \neq 0\}$$

$$D(\lambda) := \{\lambda - n_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 - \dots - n_r \bar{\alpha}_r \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Mor(\mathcal{O}): \mathfrak{g} -module homomorphisms

NOTE: Clearly they map $M[\mu] \rightarrow N[\mu]$

Prmk: This can be viewed as a refinement of our discussions in the 1st month of the course (BUT now everything is graded not just by \mathbb{Z} but rather by a lattice Q).

In particular, $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \rightsquigarrow$ have Verma M_λ , its irreducible quot-+ L_λ

Clearly: $M_\lambda, L_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}$.

Also: Any graded submodule, or a quotient by a graded submodule, of $M \in \mathcal{O}$ is again in \mathcal{O} .

Def: For $M \in \mathcal{O}$, define its formal character

$$\text{ch}(M) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim(M_\mu) \cdot e^\mu$$

it's an elt of the ring $\mathcal{R} = \left\{ \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} a_\mu e^\mu \mid \text{supported at finite union of } \Delta\text{'s} \right\}$

Example 1: $\text{ch}(M_\lambda) \stackrel{\text{PBW}}{=} \frac{e^\lambda}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}$
↑ counted with multiplicity

Example 2: $A=(2)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}(A) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2$. Identify $\mathfrak{h}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}$ via $\alpha \mapsto 2$, or $\omega = \frac{\alpha}{2} \mapsto 1$. Set $x := e^{\omega}$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ch}(M_\lambda) = \frac{x^\lambda}{1 - x^{-2}}$$

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $\text{ch}(L_\lambda) \stackrel{\text{see Lemma below}}{=} \text{ch}(M_\lambda) - \text{ch}(M_{\lambda-2}) = \frac{x^{\lambda+1} - x^{-\lambda-1}}{x - x^{-1}}$

This is the simplest example of Weyl-Kac formula

(due to $0 \rightarrow M_{\lambda-2} \hookrightarrow M_\lambda \rightarrow L_\lambda \rightarrow 0$.)

which is also clear from the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -weights of L_λ being $\lambda, \lambda-2, \dots, -\lambda+2, -\lambda$ with $\dim = 1$.

Lemma 1: (a) $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow M_1 \otimes M_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ and

$$\boxed{\text{ch}(M_1 \otimes M_2) = \text{ch}(M_1) \cdot \text{ch}(M_2)}$$

(b) $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ - short exact sequence in \mathcal{O}

\Downarrow

$$\boxed{\text{ch}(M) = \text{ch}(N) + \text{ch}(M/N)}$$

▶ (a) Follows from

$$(M_1 \otimes M_2)[\mu] = \bigoplus_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu} M_1[\mu_1] \otimes M_2[\mu_2]$$

(b) Follows from

$$0 \rightarrow N[\mu] \rightarrow M[\mu] \rightarrow (M/N)[\mu] \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Exercise: Provide $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O}$, s.t. $\text{ch}(M_1) = \text{ch}(M_2)$ BUT $M_1 \neq M_2$.