

## HOMEWORK 5, EXTRA PROBLEMS 1

The goal of this extra homework is to provide examples with explicit constructions of simple finite dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -representations.

1. *Explicit construction of representations  $L(r\omega_1)$  in type  $A_n$ .*

Consider a polynomial algebra  $S = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  in  $n + 1$  indeterminates  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ , we define endomorphisms  $\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{M}_i, X_i$  of  $S$  via

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_i: x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} &\mapsto [m_i]_q \cdot x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_i^{m_i-1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}, \\ \mathcal{M}_i: x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} &\mapsto q^{m_i} \cdot x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}, \\ X_i: x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}} &\mapsto x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_i^{m_i+1} \cdots x_{n+1}^{m_{n+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Verify  $\mathcal{D}_i \circ \mathcal{M}_j = q^{\delta_{i,j}} \mathcal{M}_j \circ \mathcal{D}_i$  and  $X_i \circ \mathcal{M}_j = q^{-\delta_{i,j}} \mathcal{M}_j \circ X_i$ .
- (b) Verify  $\mathcal{D}_i \circ X_j = X_j \circ \mathcal{D}_i$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $\mathcal{D}_i \circ X_i = q^{-1} X_i \circ \mathcal{D}_i + \mathcal{M}_i$ .

For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , define endomorphisms  $e_i, f_i, k_i$  of  $S$  via

$$e_i = X_i \circ \mathcal{D}_{i+1}, \quad f_i = X_{i+1} \circ \mathcal{D}_i, \quad k_i = \mathcal{M}_i \circ \mathcal{M}_{i+1}^{-1}.$$

(c) Let us label the simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$  in the standard way. Show that the assignment  $E_{\alpha_i} \mapsto e_i, F_{\alpha_i} \mapsto f_i, K_{\alpha_i} \mapsto k_i$  gives rise to the action of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$  on  $S$ .

(d) Let  $S^r \subset S$  be the subspace of all degree  $r$  polynomials. Verify that  $S^r$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ -submodule of  $S$ . Prove  $S \simeq L(r\omega_1)$ , where  $\omega_1$  denotes the first fundamental weight of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$ .

2. *Explicit construction of representations  $L(\lambda)$  for minuscule dominant weights  $\lambda$ .*

Recall that a dominant weight  $\lambda \in P_+ \setminus \{0\}$  is called *minuscule* if  $(\lambda, \alpha) \in \{-d_\alpha, 0, d_\alpha\}$  for every root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , where we define  $d_\alpha := \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2}$  as always.

(a) Verify that the weights of the simple  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $L(\lambda)$  with the highest weight  $\lambda$  are precisely the conjugates of  $\lambda$  under the Weyl group  $W$ , each occurring with multiplicity 1.

Let us now provide an explicit construction of such  $L(\lambda)$ . Consider a vector space  $L$  with a basis  $\{x_\mu\}_{\mu \in W(\lambda)}$ . We define endomorphisms  $e_\alpha, f_\alpha, k_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ) of  $L$  as follows:

$$k_\alpha(x_\mu) = q^{(\alpha, \mu)} x_\mu, \quad e_\alpha(x_\mu) = \begin{cases} x_{\mu+\alpha} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha) = -d_\alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad f_\alpha(x_\mu) = \begin{cases} x_{\mu-\alpha} & \text{if } (\mu, \alpha) = d_\alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any  $\mu \in W(\lambda)$ .

- (b) Show that the assignment  $E_\alpha \mapsto e_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto f_\alpha, K_\alpha \mapsto k_\alpha$  defines the action of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $L$ .
- (c) Prove that  $L \simeq L(\lambda)$ . Verify that  $L$  is simple even if  $q$  is a root of unity.
- (d) Derive explicit formulas for the *vector representations* in the classical types  $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$  (actually, those are just  $L(\omega_1)$ ).

3. *Explicit construction of quantum analogues of the adjoint representations.*

Consider a vector space  $L$  with a basis  $\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Delta} \cup \{h_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Pi}$ . Define endomorphism  $k_\alpha$  of  $L$  via  $k_\alpha(h_\beta) = h_\beta$ ,  $k_\alpha(x_\gamma) = q^{(\alpha, \gamma)} x_\gamma$ . Next, we define endomorphisms  $e_\alpha, f_\alpha$  of  $L$  as follows:

- $e_\alpha(x_\alpha) = 0$ ,  $e_\alpha(x_{-\alpha}) = h_\alpha$ ,  $e_\alpha(h_\alpha) = [2]_\alpha x_\alpha$ ,  $e_\alpha(h_\gamma) = [-d_\gamma^{-1}(\alpha, \gamma)]_\gamma \cdot x_\alpha$  for  $\gamma \neq \alpha$ ,
- $f_\alpha(x_\alpha) = h_\alpha$ ,  $f_\alpha(x_{-\alpha}) = 0$ ,  $f_\alpha(h_\alpha) = [2]_\alpha x_{-\alpha}$ ,  $f_\alpha(h_\gamma) = [-d_\gamma^{-1}(\alpha, \gamma)]_\gamma \cdot x_{-\alpha}$  for  $\gamma \neq \alpha$ ,
- All the remaining roots  $\Delta \setminus \{\pm\alpha\}$  split into  $\alpha$ -strings of the form  $\{\gamma, \gamma - \alpha, \dots, \gamma - m\alpha\}$  with  $\gamma + \alpha, \gamma - (m+1)\alpha \notin \Delta$  (note that  $m = d_\alpha^{-1} \cdot (\gamma, \alpha)$ ). For each such  $\alpha$ -string, we define

$$e_\alpha(x_{\gamma-i\alpha}) = \begin{cases} [m+1-i]_\alpha \cdot x_{\gamma-(i-1)\alpha} & \text{if } 0 < i \leq m \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \end{cases},$$

$$f_\alpha(x_{\gamma-i\alpha}) = \begin{cases} [i+1]_\alpha \cdot x_{\gamma-(i+1)\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \leq i < m \\ 0 & \text{if } i = m \end{cases}.$$

Show that the assignment  $E_\alpha \mapsto e_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto f_\alpha, K_\alpha \mapsto k_\alpha$  defines the action of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  on  $L$ . This is the quantum analogue of the adjoint representation.