

## HOMEWORK 6: DETAILS FROM LECTURES 13–15

1. Recall the setup of Lecture 13:  $K = \mathbb{Q}(v) \supset \mathbb{Q}[v, v^{-1}] = A$ ,  $V$  is either  $L(\lambda)$  or  $\tilde{L}(\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in P$ -dominant weight), and  $V_A := \sum_I AF_I v_\lambda, V_{\mu,A} := \sum_{I: \text{wt}(I)=\lambda-\mu} AF_I v_\lambda, \bar{V}_\mu := V_{\mu,A}/(v-1)$ .

Verify that  $V_\mu$  and  $V_{\mu,A}$  are free  $A$ -modules. Show that  $V_{\mu,A} \otimes_A K \rightarrow V_\mu$  are isomorphisms. Deduce the equalities  $\dim_K(V_\mu) = \text{rk}_A(V_{A,\mu}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{V}_\mu)$ .

2. Consider an embedding  $\mathbb{Q}(v) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{k}$  given by  $v \mapsto q \in \mathbf{k}$ , where  $q$  is transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a dominant weight  $\lambda \in P$ , verify that  $L(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \mathbf{k}$  is a simple  $U_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \mathbf{k} \cong U_{\mathbf{k}}$ -module. Deduce the equality  $L(\lambda)_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(v)} \mathbf{k} \cong L(\lambda)_{\mathbf{k}}$  (thus completing the proof of [Theorem 1 of Lecture 13]).

3. Complete the arguments in our proof of [Theorem 2 of Lecture 13] by proving

(a)  $L(\lambda)^* \cong L(-w_0\lambda)$  for dominant  $\lambda \in P$ ,

(b) exhibiting an explicit formula for the isomorphism  $V \xrightarrow{\sim} (V^*)^*$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

4. (a) Generalize the results on  $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  of Lecture 5 by defining an algebra  $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by  $\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, K_\alpha^{\pm 1}, L_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$  with an explicit list of the defining relations such that

◦ If  $q^{d_\alpha} \neq 1$  ( $\forall \alpha \in \Pi$ ), then the assignment  $E_\alpha \mapsto E_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha, K_\alpha \mapsto K_\alpha$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

◦ For  $q = 1$ , we have an isomorphism  $\tilde{U}_{q=1}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U(\mathfrak{g})[\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}]/(\{K_\alpha^2 - 1\}_{\alpha \in \Pi})$ .

(b) Verify that the latter induces a Hopf algebra isomorphism  $\tilde{U}_{q=1}(\mathfrak{g})/(\{K_\alpha - 1\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})$ .

5. Prove Lemma 5 of Lecture 14:  $f_J(E_I K_\mu) = f_J(E_I)$  and  $f_J(E_I) = 0$  if  $\text{wt}(I) \neq \text{wt}(J)$ .

6. (a) Verify the equality  $(\sigma(y), \sigma(x)) = (y, x)$  for any  $y \in U_q^-, x \in U_q^+$  (here  $\sigma$  is the anti-automorphism of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  determined by  $E_\alpha \mapsto E_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha, K_\alpha \mapsto K_\alpha^{-1}$ ).

(b) Verify the equality  $(S(y), S(x)) = (y, x)$  for any  $y \in U_q^{\leq}, x \in U_q^{\geq}$  (here  $S$  is the antipode).

7. Prove Lemma 6 of Lecture 15, that is,

$$\text{ad}(E_\alpha)(yK_\lambda x) = yK_\lambda(q^{-(\lambda, \alpha)}E_\alpha x - q^{(\mu - \nu, \alpha)}xE_\alpha) + \frac{(q^{-(\nu - \alpha, \alpha)}r_\alpha(y)K_{\lambda + \alpha} - r'_\alpha(y)K_{\lambda - \alpha})x}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}},$$

$$\text{ad}(F_\alpha)(yK_\lambda x) = q^{-(\mu, \alpha)}(F_\alpha y - q^{-(\lambda, \alpha)}yF_\alpha)K_{\lambda + \alpha}x + \frac{y(q^{-(\mu - \alpha, \alpha)}K_\lambda r'_\alpha(x) - q^{-2(\mu - \alpha, \alpha)}K_{\lambda + 2\alpha}r_\alpha(x))}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}}$$

for any  $\alpha \in \Pi, \lambda \in Q, x \in (U_q^+)_\mu, y \in (U_q^-)_{-\nu}$ .

8. Verify that Proposition 2 of Lecture 15 is equivalent to the following result: the linear map  $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  given by  $v \otimes v' \mapsto (v, v')$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -morphism.