

Last time we concluded with a definition of the quantum plane (better to think about as a noncomm. algebra of the corresponding q -plane):

$$k_q[x, y] := k\langle x, y \rangle / (yx - qxy)$$

Props: (1) For $q=1$, we recover $k[x, y]$

(2) For any q , this algebra is graded via $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = 1$

(3) The set $\{x^k y^l\}_{k, l \geq 0}$ is a basis of $k_q[x, y]$.

(4) For any k -algebra A : $\text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(k_q[x, y], A) \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \{(X, Y) \in A \times A \mid YX = qXY\}$

• Algebra $M_q(2)$

Assume $q^2 \neq -1$. Consider q -commuting variables x, y and 4 more variables a, b, c, d which commute with both x and y . Degree x', x'', y', y'' via

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} x'' \\ y'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \text{ (equiv. } (x'' \ y'') = (x \ y) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{)}$$

Prop 1: Assuming $q^2 \neq -1$, $yx = qxy$, and $\{a, b, c, d\}$ commute with $\{x, y\}$, TFAE:

(i) $y'x' = qx'y'$ and $y''x'' = qx''y''$

(ii) $ba = qab, ca = qac, db = qbd, dc = qcd, bc = cb, ad - da = (q^{-1} - q)bc$.

► (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

$$y'x' = qx'y' \Leftrightarrow (cx + dy)(ax + by) = q(ax + by)(cx + dy)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^2 \cdot ca + y^2 \cdot db + xy \cdot cb + yx \cdot da = x^2 \cdot qac + y^2 \cdot qbd + xy \cdot qad + yx \cdot qbc \quad (1)$$

Since we are working in the algebra $k\langle x, y, a, b, c, d \rangle / (yx - qxy, ay - ya, ax - xa, \dots)$, the equality (1) is equivalent to

$$ca = qac; db = qbd; cb + qda = qad + q^2bc \Leftrightarrow ad - da = q^{-1}cb - qbc.$$

$$y''x'' = qx''y'' \Leftrightarrow (bx + dy)(ax + cy) = q(ax + cy)(bx + dy) \Leftrightarrow (1) \text{ with } b \leftrightarrow c$$

$$\Leftrightarrow ba = qab, dc = qcd, ad - da = q^{-1}bc - qcb.$$

Note: $q^{-1}cb - qbc = ad - da = q^{-1}bc - qcb \Rightarrow (q + q^{-1})bc = (q + q^{-1})cb \xrightarrow{q^2 \neq -1} bc = cb.$

So: (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

The opposite implication is straightforward.

Def: The algebra $M_q(2)$ is the quotient of the free algebra $k\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ by the six relations of Prop 1(ii):

$$M_q(2) := k\langle a, b, c, d \rangle / \left(\begin{array}{l} ba - qab, ca - qac, db - qbd, dc - qcd, \\ bc - cb, ad - da - (q^{-1} - q)bc \end{array} \right)$$

Remark (a) In this definition q is arbitrary. In particular, for $q=1$ we get $k\langle a, b, c, d \rangle$.

(b) This algebra is graded via $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = \deg(c) = \deg(d) = 1$.

(c) For any algebra R , we have a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(M_q(2), R) \xleftrightarrow{1 \rightarrow -1} \left\{ (A, B, C, D) \in R^4 \mid \begin{array}{l} BA = qAB, CA = qAC, DB = qBD, \\ DC = qCD, BC = CB, AD - DA = (q^{-1} - q)BC \end{array} \right\}$$

Such a quadruple $(A, B, C, D) \in R^4$ is called an R -point of $M_q(2)$.

(d) Writing such an R -point as $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, we see that the condition on being an R -point (assuming $q^2 \neq -1$) is equivalent to

$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ to be R' -points, where $R' := R\langle X, Y \rangle / (YX - qXY)$, due to Prop 1.

Def: Define $\det_q \in M_q(2)$ via $\det_q := ad - q^{-1}bc = da - qbc$

Lemma 1: \det_q is central

$$a \det_q = ada - qa^2bc = ada - q \cdot q^{-1} \cdot q^{-1} \cdot bca = (ad - q^{-1}bc)a = \det_q \cdot a$$

$$b \det_q = bad - q^{-1}b^2c = q \cdot q^{-1} \cdot adb - q^{-1}bcb = (ad - q^{-1}bc)b = \det_q \cdot b$$

$$c \det_q = cad - q^{-1}c^2bc = q \cdot q^{-1} \cdot adc - q^{-1}bc \cdot c = (ad - q^{-1}bc)c = \det_q \cdot c$$

$$d \det_q = dad - q^{-1}dbc = dad - q^{-1} \cdot q \cdot q \cdot bcd = (da - qbc)d = \det_q \cdot d$$

Since a, b, c, d generate $M_q(2)$, the result follows \square

Def: Given an R -point of $M_q(2)$, written as $m = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, the element $\text{Det}_q(m) := AD - q^{-1}BC = DA - qBC \in R$ is called the quantum determinant of m .

Prop 2: Let R be an algebra, $m = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, $m' = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix}$ be two R -points of $M_q(2)$, s.t. $\{A, B, C, D\}$ commute with $\{A', B', C', D'\}$.

(i) The element $m'' := m'm$ is also an R -point of $M_q(2)$

(ii) $\text{Det}_q(m'm) = \text{Det}_q(m') \text{Det}_q(m)$

(iii) The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} D & -qB \\ -q^{-1}C & A \end{pmatrix}$ is an R -point of $M_q^{-1}(2)$ and an R^{op} -point of $M_q(2)$. (2)

Proof of Prop d

(i) One could prove this in a long straightforward way, but we will rather use the interpretation via $\text{Rnk}(d)$ (p. 2). Let us write:

$$m'' = \begin{pmatrix} A'' & B'' \\ C'' & D'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = m' m \Rightarrow (m'')^t = \begin{pmatrix} A'' & C'' \\ B'' & D'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A' & C' \\ B' & D' \end{pmatrix} = m^t (m')^t$$

Define $R' := R\langle X, Y \rangle / (YX - qXY)$. Then m'' is an R -point iff $m'' \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ and $(m'')^t \cdot \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ are R' -points of the quantum plane.

But: (1) m is an R -point of $M_q(2) \Rightarrow YX' = qX'Y'$, where $\begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$

m' is an R -point of $M_q(2)$ & (A', B', C', D') commute with $(A, B, C, D, X, Y) \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow m' \begin{pmatrix} X' \\ Y' \end{pmatrix} = m'' \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ is an R -point of quantum plane.

(2) Likewise: $(m')^t \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ is an R -point of quantum plane \Rightarrow

$\Rightarrow m^t (m')^t \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = (m'')^t \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ is also an R -point of q -plane.

(ii) This can be done in a straightforward way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det}_q(m'm) &= (A'A + B'C)(C'B + D'D) - q'(A'B + B'D)(C'A + D'C) \quad \text{recall } A, B, C, D \\ & \quad \text{commute with } A', B', C', D' \\ &= \underline{A'C'AB} + \underline{A'D'AD} + \underline{B'C'CB} + \underline{B'D'DD} - q' \underline{A'C'BA} - q' \underline{A'D'BC} - q' \underline{B'C'DA} \\ & \quad - q' \underline{B'D'DC} \\ &= (A'D'AD - q'A'D'BC) + \underbrace{(A'C'AB - q'A'C'BA)}_{\text{ZERO} \Leftrightarrow BA = qAB} + \underbrace{(B'D'DD - q'B'D'DC)}_{\text{ZERO} \Leftrightarrow DC = qCD} \\ & \quad + (B'C'CB - q'B'C'DA) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Det}_q(m') \text{Det}_q(m) = (A'D' - q'B'C')(A'D - q'BC) = A'D'AD - q'A'D'BC - q'B'C'AD + q^2 B'C'BC$$

The equality $\text{Det}_q(m'm) = \text{Det}_q(m') \text{Det}_q(m)$ follows now from

$$CB - q'DA = q^2 BC - q'AD \Leftrightarrow \overset{CB=BC}{AD - DA} = (q' - q)BC \quad \checkmark$$

(iii) Set $\tilde{A} := D, \tilde{B} := -qB, \tilde{C} := -q'C, \tilde{D} := A$.

Then it is straightforward to see that 6 relations on (A, B, C, D) to be an R -point of $M_q(2)$ are equivalent to corresponding 6 relations on $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}, \tilde{D})$ to be an R -point of $M_{q^{-1}}(2)$ or R^{op} -point of $M_q(2)$.

Rank: One of the problems in the next homework will give a more conceptual proof of (ii).

Bialgebra structure on $M_q(2)$

It turns out that $M_q(2)$ can be endowed with a bialgebra structure, s.t. coproduct and counit are given by the same formulas as for $q=1$ case.

Prop 3: (a) There exist algebra morphisms $\Delta: M_q(2) \rightarrow M_q(2) \otimes M_q(2)$, $\varepsilon: M_q(2) \rightarrow k$ s.t. $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a + b \otimes c$, $\Delta(b) = a \otimes b + b \otimes d$, $\Delta(c) = c \otimes a + d \otimes c$, $\Delta(d) = c \otimes b + d \otimes d$
 $\varepsilon(a) = 1$, $\varepsilon(b) = 0$, $\varepsilon(c) = 0$, $\varepsilon(d) = 1$.

It is convenient to rewrite this as $\Delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(b) We have $\Delta(\det q) = \det q \otimes \det q$, $\varepsilon(\det q) = 1$

(c) The algebra $M_q(2)$ equipped with Δ, ε is a bialgebra.

! Note: $M_q(2)$ is neither commutative nor cocommutative.

▶ (a) According to Prop 2(i), the point $(\Delta(a), \Delta(b), \Delta(c), \Delta(d))$ is an $M_q(2) \otimes M_q(2)$ -point of $M_q(2)$. Hence, the assignment Δ on generators uniquely extends to an algebra homomorphism $M_q(2) \rightarrow M_q(2) \otimes M_q(2)$.

Moreover, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a k -point of $M_q(2)$. Hence the assignment ε on the generators uniquely extends to an algebra homomorphism $M_q(2) \rightarrow k$.

(b) $\varepsilon(\det q) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(d) - q^{-1}\varepsilon(b)\varepsilon(c) = 1$.

The equality $\Delta(\det q) = \det q \otimes \det q$ immediately follows from Prop 2(iii).

(c) Coassociativity axiom is easy to check using matrix form:

$$((\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \Delta) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (\Delta \otimes \text{Id}) \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta) \Delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Counit axiom also follows from:

$$((\text{Id} \otimes \varepsilon) \Delta) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}) \Delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $(M_q(2), \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a coalgebra. However, since Δ, ε are algebra morphisms, due to part (a), we actually get a bialgebra structure on $M_q(2)$. ▣

However, $M_q(2)$ is not a Hopf algebra, since there is no antipod.

To fix this, following the $q=1$ case from last time, we introduce $GL_q(2), SL_q(2)$.

• Hopf algebras $GL_q(2)$ and $SL_q(2)$

Def: $GL_q(2) := M_q(2)[t]/(t \det_q - 1)$
 $SL_q(2) := M_q(2)/(det_q - 1) = GL_q(2)/(t - 1)$

In particular, an R -point of $GL_q(2)$ (resp. $SL_q(2)$) is an R -point^m of $M_q(2)$, s.t. $\det_q(m)$ is invertible in R (resp. equal to 1).

Thm 1: (a) Formulas for Δ, ε from Prop 3 together with $\Delta(t) = t \otimes t, \varepsilon(t) = 1$ define a bialgebra structure on both $GL_q(2)$ and $SL_q(2)$.

(b) Moreover, both $GL_q(2)$ and $SL_q(2)$ are Hopf algebras with antipode S given by

$$S \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} S(a) & S(b) \\ S(c) & S(d) \end{pmatrix} = \det_q^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d & -qb \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) To prove the existence of such Δ, ε for $SL_q(2)$, we appeal to Prop 3 and the following equalities:

$$\varepsilon(\det_q - 1) = 0, \quad \Delta(\det_q - 1) = \det_q \otimes \det_q - 1 \otimes 1 = (\det_q - 1) \otimes \det_q + 1 \otimes (\det_q - 1).$$

To prove the existence of such Δ, ε for $GL_q(2)$, we appeal to Prop 3 (and the coalgebra structure on $k[t]$) and the following equalities:

$$\varepsilon(t \det_q - 1) = 1 \cdot 1 - 1 = 0, \quad \Delta(t \det_q - 1) = t \det_q \otimes \det_q - 1 \otimes 1 = (t \det_q - 1) \otimes t \det_q + 1 \otimes (t \det_q - 1)$$

Finally, the coassociativity and counit axioms follow from those for $M_q(2)$ and $k[t]$.

(b) According to Prop 2 (iii), $\begin{pmatrix} d & -qb \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix}$ is an $M_q(2)^{op}$ -point of $M_q(2)$.

Hence, there is an algebra homomorphism $\tilde{S}: M_q(2) \rightarrow M_q(2)^{op}$, s.t.

$$\tilde{S} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -qb \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Set } \tilde{S}(t) = t \text{ to extend } \tilde{S}: M_q(2)[t] \rightarrow M_q(2)[t]^{op}.$$

This descends to $\tilde{S}: GL_q(2) \rightarrow GL_q(2)^{op}, SL_q(2) \rightarrow SL_q(2)^{op}$, due to:

$$\tilde{S}(t \det_q - 1) = (\tilde{S}(d) \tilde{S}(a) - q^{-1} \tilde{S}(c) \tilde{S}(b)) t - 1 = (ad - q^{-1}bc) t - 1 = t \det_q - 1$$

$$\tilde{S}(\det_q - 1) = \det_q - 1.$$

Recall: \det_q is central in $GL_q(2), SL_q(2)$ and invertible in both of them, we

can define $S: GL_q(2) \rightarrow GL_q(2)^{op}, SL_q(2) \rightarrow SL_q(2)^{op}$ via

$$S(t) = t^{-1}, \quad S \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \det_q^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} d & -qb \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix} = \det_q^{-1} \cdot \tilde{S} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

To check that S is an antipode, it suffices to check $\sum_{(x')} S(x') x'' = \varepsilon(x) = \sum_{(x'')} x' S(x'')$ for $x = a, b, c, d, t$. This follows from the matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & -qb \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix} = \det_q \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -qb \\ -qc & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \cdot t^{-1} = 1 = t^{-1} t$$

Prmk: (i) Note that $S^2 \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} S^2(a) & S^2(b) \\ S^2(c) & S^2(d) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & q^2 b \\ q^2 c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\downarrow$$

$$S^{2n} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & q^{2n} b \\ q^{2n} c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q^n & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & q^n \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) If $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$, then $GL_q(2), SL_q(2)$ are Hopf algebras with the order of S^2 equal to n .

• Coaction on q -plane

Last time we saw that the natural action $M(2), SL(2), GL(2) \curvearrowright k^2$, gives rise to $M(2), SL(2), GL(2)$ comodule-algebra structures on $k[x, y]$ with a coaction map given in matrix & vector form $\Delta_A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$.

Thm 2: There exist a unique $M_q(2), SL_q(2), GL_q(2)$ comodule-algebra structures on the quantum plane $A = k_q[x, y]$ s.t.

$$\Delta_A(x) = a \otimes x + b \otimes y, \quad \Delta_A(y) = c \otimes x + d \otimes y.$$

In the matrix form: $\Delta_A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$.

► First, we check that above assignment defines an algebra homom $A \xrightarrow{\Delta_A} M_q(2) \otimes A$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_A(y) \Delta_A(x) &= (c \otimes x + d \otimes y)(a \otimes x + b \otimes y) = ca \otimes x^2 + db \otimes y^2 + cb \otimes xy + da \otimes yx \\ &= qac \otimes x^2 + qbd \otimes y^2 + (cb + qda) \otimes xy = qac \otimes x^2 + qbd \otimes y^2 + (q^2 bc + qad) \otimes xy \\ &= q(a \otimes x + b \otimes y)(c \otimes x + d \otimes y) = q \cdot \Delta_A(x) \Delta_A(y). \end{aligned}$$

The verification of Δ_A being a comodule structure is completely analogous to the verification of coassociativity and counity of $M_q(2)$.

Thus, Δ_A defines an $M_q(2)$ comodule-algebra structure on $k_q[x, y]$.

As $M_q(2) \twoheadrightarrow SL_q(2)$ is an algebra homom, we also get an $SL_q(2)$ comodule-algebra structure on $k_q[x, y]$ ■

Prmk: Decomposing $k_q[x, y] = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k_q[x, y]_n$ w.r.t. the natural grading, we see that each $k_q[x, y]_n$ is a subcomodule of $k_q[x, y]$

Prmk: In the above proof of $\Delta_A(y) \Delta_A(x) = q \Delta_A(x) \Delta_A(y)$, we could instead appeal to Prop 1.