

To answer the question posed last time as well as for the future use, let us discuss:

### q-binomial coefficients

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , set  $(n)_q := \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = 1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1}$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , define the  $q$ -factorial of  $n$  via  $(0)_q! := 1$  and

$$(n)_q! := (1)_q (2)_q \dots (n)_q = \frac{(q-1)(q^2-1) \dots (q^n-1)}{(q-1)^n}$$

Finally, for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we define the Gauss polynomials:

$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{(n)_q!}{(k)_q! (n-k)_q!}$$

Lemma 1: For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , we have:

(a)  $\binom{n}{k}_q = \binom{n}{n-k}_q$

(b)  $\binom{n}{k}_q = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_q = \binom{n-1}{k}_q + q^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q$

(c)  $\binom{n}{k}_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$  and  $\binom{n}{k}_q|_{q=1} = \binom{n}{k}$ .

← See homework #3  
for geometric interpretation  
of  $\binom{n}{k}_q$  and Lemma 1

(a) Obvious

(b)  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_q = \frac{(n-1)_q!}{(k-1)_q!(n-k)_q!} + q^k \cdot \frac{(n-1)_q!}{(k)_q!(n-1-k)_q!} = \frac{(n-1)_q!}{(k)_q!(n-k)_q!} ((k)_q + q^k (n-k)_q) = \frac{(n)_q!}{(k)_q!(n-k)_q!}$

The second equality is analogous

(c) Immediately follows from (b).

Prop 1: Let  $yx = qxy$ . Then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k}_q x^k y^{n-k} \quad (*)$$

We prove by induction on  $n$ . The cases  $n=0, 1$  are obvious.

Assume we know (\*) for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and want to deduce (\*) for  $n+1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (x+y)^{n+1} &= (x+y) \cdot (x+y)^{n-1} = (x+y) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}_q x^k y^{n-1-k} = \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}_q x^{k+1} y^{n-1-k} + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_q x^k y^{n-k} \quad \text{assuming } \binom{n-1}{n}_q = \binom{n-1}{-1}_q = 0 \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \left( \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + q^k \binom{n-1}{k}_q \right) x^k y^{n-k} \stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{=} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k}_q x^k y^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the step of induction

Now we can answer the  $q$ -n from last time, asking to compute  $\Delta_A(x^c y^d)$ ,  $A = k_q[x, y]$ .

$$\Delta_A(x^c y^d) = (a \otimes x + b \otimes y)^c (c \otimes x + d \otimes y)^d = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{s=0}^l q^{(k-i)s} a^s b^{k-i} c^s d^{l-s} \otimes x^{i+s} y^{k+l-i-s} \cdot \binom{k}{i}_q \binom{l}{s}_q \quad (1)$$

Note:  $q^2$  is due to the fact  $yx = qxy$  together with  $ba = qab$ ,  $dc = qcd$ .

## $q$ -exponential

Later on we will need a notion of  $q$ -exponent:

$$e_q(z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{(n)_q!}$$

← here we assume that  $q$  is not a root of 1.

Lemma 1: Let  $yx = qxy$ . Then  $e_q(x+y) = e_q(x)e_q(y)$ .

$$\Rightarrow e_q(x)e_q(y) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{x^k}{(k)_q!} \cdot \frac{y^k}{(k)_q!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{(n)_q!} \left( \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k}_q x^k y^{n-k} \right) \stackrel{\text{Prop 1}}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(x+y)^n}{(n)_q!} = e_q(x+y)$$

Let us consider two linear endomorphisms  $\tilde{z}, \tilde{c}_q \in \text{End}(k[[z]])$  defined by

$$(\tilde{z}f)(z) = z \cdot f(z), \quad (\tilde{c}_q f)(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(q^k z)}{k!}.$$

Then  $\tilde{c}_q \tilde{z} = q \cdot \tilde{z} \tilde{c}_q$ , i.e.  $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{c}_q)$  is an  $\text{End}(k[[z]])$ -point of the  $q$ -plane.

In particular, applying Prop 1 to the pair  $(y = a\tilde{c}_q, x = -\tilde{z}\tilde{c}_q)$ , we get:

$$((a-\tilde{z})\tilde{c}_q)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_q (\tilde{z}\tilde{c}_q)^k \cdot (a\tilde{c}_q)^{n-k} \quad \leftarrow \text{equality in } \text{End}(k[[z]]).$$

Applying this equality to  $1 \in k[[z]]$ , we get:

$$\text{Lemma 3: } (a-\tilde{z})(a-q\tilde{z}) \cdots (a-q^{n-1}\tilde{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}_q q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} a^{n-k} \tilde{z}^k$$

Using this equality, we can now evaluate  $e_q(z)'$ .

Lemma 4: The inverse of  $e_q(z)$  is given by

$$e_q(z)' = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \frac{z^n}{(n)_q!}$$

We will come back to the  $q$ -calculus later on when it will be needed further.

• Hopf algebra structure on universal enveloping  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  (general case)

$g$ -Lie algebra  $\rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g) := T(g)/\langle xy - yx - [x, y] \mid x, y \in g \rangle$

Rmk:  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  is not graded, but only filtered. However,  $\text{gr. } \mathcal{U}(g) = S(g)$  by PBW Thm.  
For the future proofs, we will need the following universal property of  $\mathcal{U}(g)$ .

Propn: For any associative algebra  $A$  and any linear map  $f: g \rightarrow A$ , such that  $f(xy) - f(y)f(x) = f([x, y])$  ( $\forall x, y \in g$ ), there exists a unique algebra morphism  $F: \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow A$ , making the following diagram commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{U}(g) & \\ g & \xrightarrow{\quad i \quad} & F \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & A \end{array}$$

As an immediate consequence of this universal property, we have:

Lemma 5: (a) For any Lie algebra morphism  $f: g_1 \rightarrow g_2$ , there exists a unique algebra morphism  $\mathcal{U}(f): \mathcal{U}(g_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g_2)$  fitting into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & g_2 \\ \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 \\ \mathcal{U}(g_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}(f)} & \mathcal{U}(g_2) \end{array}$$

(b) If  $f_1: g_1 \rightarrow g_2$ ,  $f_2: g_2 \rightarrow g_3$ , then  $\mathcal{U}(f_2 \circ f_1) = \mathcal{U}(f_2) \circ \mathcal{U}(f_1): \mathcal{U}(g_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g_3)$

(c) For two Lie algebras  $g_1, g_2$  let us endow  $g_1 \oplus g_2$  with a component-wise Lie algebra structure. Then  $\mathcal{U}(g_1 \oplus g_2) \cong \mathcal{U}(g_1) \otimes \mathcal{U}(g_2)$ .

► This is left as a simple quick exercise.

Now we are ready to define a Hopf algebra structure on  $\mathcal{U}(g)$ . Consider the Lie algebra morphisms:

(1) diag:  $g \rightarrow g \oplus g \quad x \mapsto (x, x)$

(2) 0:  $g \rightarrow 0 \quad x \mapsto 0$

(3) inv:  $g \rightarrow g^\# \quad x \mapsto -x$

These Lie algebra morphisms induce the algebra morphisms due to Lemma 5:

$\Delta := \mathcal{U}(\text{diag}): \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g \oplus g) \cong \mathcal{U}(g) \otimes \mathcal{U}(g)$ ,  $\varepsilon := \mathcal{U}(0): \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(0) = k$ , and

$S := \mathcal{U}(\text{inv}): \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g^\#) \cong \mathcal{U}(g)^\#$  (canonical isom-m)

③

Proof: The enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  is a cocommutative Hopf algebra with the coproduct  $\Delta$ , counit  $\varepsilon$ , and antipode  $S$  defined above. Moreover, if  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in g$ , then

$$\Delta(x_1 \dots x_n) = x_1 \dots x_n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_1 \dots x_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\text{S-shuffle}} x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(k)} \otimes x_{\sigma(k+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}$$

Cassociativity is due to commutativity of

$$g \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} g \oplus g \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} g \oplus g \oplus g$$

Commutivity is due to commutativity of

$$g \oplus g \xleftarrow[\text{diag}]{} g \oplus g \xrightarrow[\text{diag}]{} g \oplus g$$

Cocommutativity is due to commutativity of

$$g \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} g \oplus g \xrightarrow{\text{diag}} g$$

The condition on  $S$  to be an antipode can not be deduced as above, since multiplication  $\mathcal{U}(g) \otimes \mathcal{U}(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g)$  is not induced by a Lie algebra morphism  $g \oplus g \rightarrow g$ . Instead, we check directly on  $g$  (which suffices as  $g$  generates  $\mathcal{U}(g)$ ):

$$\sum_{x''} S(x') x'' = (-x) \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot x = 0 = \varepsilon(x) = \sum_{x''} x' S(x'') \quad \forall x \in g.$$

The formula for the coproduct is tautological (see coproduct on  $T(V)$ ).

Rmks: (a) If  $\text{char}(k)=0$ , then the symmetrization map  $S(g) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(g)$  is a coalgebra isomorphism.

$$x_1 \dots x_n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\text{Ses.}} x_{\sigma(1)} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}$$

(b) Recalling that a  $g$ -module is the same as an  $\mathcal{U}(g)$ -module, we recover the standard formulas for the dual and the direct sum of  $g$ -representations:

- If  $g \curvearrowright V$ , then  $g \curvearrowright V^*$  via  $(\text{C.d})(V) = d(-x.V)$

- If  $g \curvearrowright V_1, V_2$ , then  $g \curvearrowright V_1 \otimes V_2$  via  $\text{C}(V_1 \otimes V_2) = \text{C}(V_1) \otimes V_2 + V_1 \otimes \text{C}(V_2)$ .

This was the general story similar to the way we endowed  $k[G]$  with a Hopf algebra structure for any algebraic group. However, our next goal is to introduce the  $q$ -analogue of  $\mathcal{U}(g)$  for the simplest case  $g = \text{sl}_2$ . Before we do that, let us recall basic results about  $\mathcal{U}(\text{sl}_2)$ .

Let us first recall the standard results about  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

•  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ , classical story

Basis  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$   $[e, f] = h$ ,  $[h, e] = 2e$ ,  $[h, f] = -2f$ .

- As a consequence of PBW theorem  $e^i f^j h^k$ ,  $i, j, k \geq 0$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$
- If  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , then the centre of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is generated by Casimir  $C = ef + fe + \frac{h^2}{2}$ .

Exercise 1: Determine the centre of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  if  $\text{char}(k) = p$ .

- If  $\text{char}(k) = p$ , then any finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module is semisimple, while the collection of simple  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules is parametrized by  $n \geq 0$ . For each  $n$ , the corresponding repn  $V_n$  is  $(n+1)$ -dimensional, contains  $v \neq 0$  s.t.  $e(v) = 0$  &  $h(v) = n \cdot v$  (we call  $v$  the highest weight vector)
- The Casimir  $C$  acts on  $V_n$  via  $\frac{n(n+2)}{2} \text{Id}$ .
- For any  $n \geq m \geq 0$ , we have  $V_n \otimes V_m \cong V_{n+m} \oplus V_{n+m-2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{n-m+2} \oplus V_{n-m}$
- One can realize  $V_n$  as  $k[x, y]_n - n^{\text{th}}$  graded component of  $k[x, y]$ . Thus  $k[x, y] = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k[x, y]_n$  encodes each fd. irreducible repn once. Here  $e, f, h$  act via  $x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - y \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ , respectively.

Moreover, the last picture fits in a broader context. Similarly to the way we defined  $H$ -comodule-algebra structure, we have the dual notion:

Def: Let  $H$  be a bialgebra,  $A$  an algebra.  $A$  is called an  $H$ -module-algebra if

- (a) there is an action  $H \curvearrowright A$
- (b) the multiplication and unit  $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ ,  $k \rightarrow A$  are  $H$ -module morphism

Using Sweedler's notation, this means:  $\chi(ab) = \sum_{(x)} (x'a)(x''b)$ ,  $\chi(1) = \varepsilon(x)1$ .

In particular, we have:

Lemma 6: Given a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and an algebra  $A$ , endowing  $A$  with an  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module-algebra structure is equivalent to endowing  $A$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action via derivations of  $A$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{x}.(ab) = (\mathfrak{x}.a).b + a \cdot (\mathfrak{x}.b)$ .

In this context, we see that  $k[x, y]$  is an  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module-algebra.

## Algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

Fix  $q \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{-1\}$ . Define  $[n] = [n]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$ ,  $[n]! := [1][2]\dots[n]$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!}$ .  
 Remark: This is more symmetric than  $(n)_q$  due to  $[-n] = -[n]$ , but they are related via  $[n] = q^{n-n}(n)_q$ ,  $[n]! = q^{-n(n-1)/2} (n)_q!$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = q^{-k(n-k)} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}_q$ .

Def:  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is an associative algebra generated by  $\{E, F, K, K'\}$  subject to the following defining relations:

$$K'K = KK' = 1, KE = q^2 EK, KF = q^{-2} FK, EF - FE = \frac{K - K'}{q - q^{-1}}$$

Remark: Similarly to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , there is a Cartan automorphism  $\omega$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$   
 $\omega: E \mapsto F, F \mapsto E, K^{\pm 1} \mapsto K^{\mp 1}$

Question: In which sense can we view  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  as a deformation of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ ?  
 The problem is that while the first three rels are defined for any  $q \in \mathbb{K}$ , the last doesn't make sense.

To fix this, we give an alternative presentation, in which one can specialize  $q \mapsto 1$ .

Def: Define  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  to be the associative algebra, generated by  $\{E, F, K, K', L\}$  subject to the following defining relations;  
 $KK' = K'K = 1, KE = q^2 EK, KF = q^{-2} FK, EF - FE = L, (q - q^{-1})L = K - K'$   
 $LE - EL = q(EK + K'E), LF - FL = -q^{-1}(FK + K'F)$ .

Lemma 7: For  $q \neq \pm 1$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  via  $E \mapsto E, F \mapsto F, K^{\pm 1} \mapsto K^{\mp 1}$

Define  $\phi: \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  via  $E \mapsto E, F \mapsto F, K^{\pm 1} \mapsto K^{\pm 1}, L \mapsto [E, F]$ .  
 The only nontrivial verification we need to check is that  $\phi$  is compatible with the last two defining rels of  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

$$[\phi(L), \phi(E)] = [[E, F], E] = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} [K - K', E] = \frac{(q^2 - 1)EK + (q^2 - 1)K'E}{q - q^{-1}} = q((\phi(E)\phi(K)) + (\phi(K')\phi(E)))$$

The other one is analogous.

However, the benefit of working with  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is that  $q=1$  makes sense.

Prop 3: For  $q=1$ , we get  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[K]/(K-1)$  ( $\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)/(K-1)$ )

Exercise 2: Prove this proposition.

(the homom.  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)[K]/(K-1)$  is via  $E \mapsto eK, F \mapsto f, K^{\pm 1} \mapsto K, L \mapsto hK$ )

Rmk: The moral of this algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is that it provides an "integral form". Note that while our definition was for numeric  $q$ , we can also make a similar definition over  $k(q)$ . But one can not specialize  $q \mapsto 1$  in rational  $f$ -s as we may get zero in denominator. For that reason, it is very useful to have an algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  over  $k[q, q^{-1}]$ , s.t.  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{k[q, q^{-1}]} k(q)$  is our  $k(q)$ -version of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . We will come back to this point later on and the following el-s will play an important role:

$$[K; a] := \frac{K^a - K'{}^a}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Thm 2: The set  $\{F^a K^n E^b \mid a, b \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  forms a  $k$ -basis of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

We will prove this next time. The proof is based on technical lemma:

Lemma 8: For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we have:

- (a)  $K^n E^m = q^{2mn} E^m K^n, \quad K^n F^m = q^{-2mn} F^m K^n$
- (b)  $[E, F^m] = [m] \cdot F^{m-1} \cdot [K; 1-m]$
- (c)  $[F, E^m] = -[m] \cdot E^{m-1} \cdot [K; m-1]$ .