

Recall: Simple \mathbb{C} -Lie algebras (f.d.) are determined by their root systems

$\Delta \supset \Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ - positive simple roots
root system

Chevalley generators of \mathfrak{g} : $\{h_\alpha, e_\alpha, f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ subject to the following defining rels:

$$[h_\alpha, h_\beta] = 0, [h_\alpha, e_\beta] = a_{\alpha\beta} \cdot e_\beta, [h_\alpha, f_\beta] = -a_{\alpha\beta} f_\beta, [e_\alpha, f_\beta] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \cdot h_\alpha$$

$$(ad e_\alpha)^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} e_\beta = 0 = (ad f_\alpha)^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} f_\beta \quad (\text{for } \alpha \neq \beta)$$

Here $a_{\alpha\beta}$ are the entries of the corresponding Cartan matrix, which are expressed through the inner form (\cdot, \cdot) on $\mathbb{R}\Delta$ via $a_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$. We recall that such form is uniquely determined by two conditions:

- invariant w.r.t. action of the Weyl gr W
- $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for short roots $\alpha \in \Pi$.

It is known that $\forall \beta \in \Pi$, the value (β, β) is one of $\{2, 4, 6\}$. Hence, the number $d_\beta := \frac{(\beta, \beta)}{2}$ is among $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

We will also use the standard notations for root/weight lattices $P \supset Q$:

$Q :=$ root lattice $= \mathbb{Z}$ -lattice with basis $\{\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathbb{Z}\alpha$

$P :=$ weight lattice $= \mathbb{Z}$ -lattice with basis $\{\omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} \mathbb{Z}\omega_\alpha$
↑ fundamental weights, s.t. $(\omega_\alpha, \beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \cdot d_\beta$.

We will assume that $q^{2\alpha} \neq 1 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Pi$ and set $q_\alpha := q^{d_\alpha} = q^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{2}}, [a]_\alpha := [a]_{q_\alpha}$, etc.

Def: The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ (of Drinfeld & Jimbo) is defined as the k -algebra generated by $\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, K_\alpha^{\pm 1}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ subject to:

$$K_\alpha K_\alpha^{-1} = K_\alpha^{-1} K_\alpha = 1, K_\alpha K_\beta = K_\beta K_\alpha$$

$$K_\alpha E_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} = q^{(\alpha, \beta)} E_\beta, K_\alpha F_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} F_\beta$$

$$[E_\alpha, F_\beta] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \frac{K_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}}$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^r \binom{1-a_{\alpha\beta}}{r} F_\alpha^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-r} E_\beta^r E_\alpha^r = 0$$

$$\sum_{r=0}^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}} (-1)^r \binom{1-a_{\alpha\beta}}{r} F_\alpha^{1-a_{\alpha\beta}-r} F_\beta^r F_\alpha^r = 0$$

} q -Serre rels

Def: Let $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be an alg. defined in the same way, but without q -Serre rels

Note that we have a natural projection $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \twoheadrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$

In what follows, we will often prove the results for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ first, and then deduce for $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

- Let U_q^-, U_q^0, U_q^+ be the subalgs of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, generated by $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}, \{K_\alpha^{\pm 1}\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}, \{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$, respectively. Similarly, define the subalgs $\overline{U}_q^-, \overline{U}_q^0, \overline{U}_q^+$ of $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.
- For any $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \cdot \alpha \in Q$ ($n_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$), set $K_\lambda := \prod_{\alpha \in \Pi} K_\alpha^{n_\alpha}$ - viewed as elt of \overline{U}_q^0 or U_q^0 .

Lemma 1: For every $\alpha \in \Pi$, there is a natural homomorphism

$$\boxed{\begin{matrix} \iota_\alpha: U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \\ E \mapsto E_\alpha, F \mapsto F_\alpha, K^{\pm 1} \mapsto K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \end{matrix}} \quad (\text{and also } U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) \text{ determined by}$$

Obvious! \blacksquare

Cor: We have $[E_\alpha, F_\alpha^z] = [U_\alpha \cdot F_\alpha^{z-1} \cdot \underbrace{[K_\alpha; 1-z]}_{\frac{K_\alpha q_\alpha^{z-1} - K_\alpha^{-1} q_\alpha^{z-1}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}}}]$, $[F_\alpha, E_\alpha^z] = -[U_\alpha \cdot E_\alpha^{z-1} \cdot [K_\alpha; z-1]]$
both in $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$

Lemma 2: (a) Both $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ admit unique automorphisms (Cartan involut.) determined by $\boxed{\omega: E_\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto E_\alpha, K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \mapsto K_\alpha^{\mp 1}}$.

(b) Both $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ admit unique antiautomorphisms determined by $\boxed{\sigma: E_\alpha \mapsto E_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha, K_\alpha \mapsto K_\alpha^{\pm 1}}$.

Straight forward! (verify at home!) \blacksquare

Props: (a) The algebras $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are naturally Q -graded with

$$\boxed{\deg(E_\alpha) = \alpha, \deg(F_\alpha) = -\alpha, \deg(K_\alpha^{\pm 1}) = 0.} \quad \text{Note that, we have}$$

$$\boxed{K_\lambda \propto K_\lambda^{-1} = q^{(\lambda, \mu)} \cdot x \quad \text{if } \deg(x) = \mu}$$

(b) Sometimes, people consider a slightly extended version of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with a "bigger" Cartan part. Namely, for every Γ (subgp of P): $\boxed{P \supseteq \Gamma \supseteq Q}$. For each such Γ , the algebra $\boxed{U_q^\Gamma(\mathfrak{g})}$ is generated by $\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, K_\beta^{\pm 1}\}_{\beta \in \Gamma}$, where the new rels read $K_\beta \cdot K_\beta^{-1} = K_\beta$, $K_\beta E_\alpha K_\beta^{-1} = q^{(\beta, \alpha)} E_\alpha$, $K_\beta F_\alpha K_\beta^{-1} = q^{-(\beta, \alpha)} F_\alpha$. The standard choice is $\Gamma = Q$ (when it is skipped in notation).

Goal 1: Want to endow $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with a Hopf alg. structure.

We will first provide a Hopf alg. str. on $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. It is natural to expect that the natural ^{algebra} homomorphisms $U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \xrightarrow{\tau_\alpha} \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are Hopf alg. morphisms. The latter observation yields explicit f -laws for Δ, S, ε .

Thm 1: There is a unique Hopf alg. structure on $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with the coproduct Δ , antipode S , counit ε determined by:

$$\Delta: E_\alpha \mapsto E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto F_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_\alpha, K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \mapsto K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \otimes K_\alpha^{\pm 1}$$

$$S: E_\alpha \mapsto -K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha, F_\alpha \mapsto -F_\alpha K_\alpha, K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \mapsto K_\alpha^{\mp 1}$$

$$\varepsilon: E_\alpha \mapsto 0, F_\alpha \mapsto 0, K_\alpha^{\pm 1} \mapsto 1$$

► We need to prove that each of these assignments is compatible with the defining relations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that compatibility with rels involving two equal roots $\alpha = \beta$ follows from the fact that we have alg. homom. $\tau_\alpha: U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and we already established Hopf alg. str. on $U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

The only non-trivial relation for $\alpha \neq \beta$ is $[E_\alpha, F_\beta] = 0$ ($\beta \neq \alpha$).

• For Δ : $[\Delta(E_\alpha), \Delta(F_\beta)] = [E_\alpha \otimes 1 + K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha, F_\beta \otimes K_\beta^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_\beta] =$
 $= \underbrace{[E_\alpha, F_\beta]}_0 \otimes K_\beta^{-1} + K_\alpha \otimes \underbrace{[E_\alpha, F_\beta]}_0 + K_\alpha F_\beta \otimes E_\alpha K_\beta^{-1} - F_\beta K_\alpha \otimes K_\beta^{-1} E_\alpha =$
 $= q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} F_\beta K_\alpha \otimes E_\alpha K_\beta^{-1} - F_\beta K_\alpha \otimes q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} E_\alpha K_\beta^{-1} = 0. \quad \checkmark$

• For S : $[S(E_\alpha), S(F_\beta)] = [-K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha, -F_\beta K_\beta]_{\text{opp. alg}} = F_\beta K_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha - K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha F_\beta K_\beta =$
 $= q^{-(\alpha, \alpha) + (\beta, \alpha)} F_\beta E_\alpha K_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} - q^{-(\alpha, \alpha) + (\beta, \alpha)} E_\alpha F_\beta K_\alpha^{-1} K_\beta = 0 \quad (\text{as } [E_\alpha, F_\beta] = 0)$

• For ε : Obvious

Cor: Due to τ_α being a Hopf alg. homomorphism we immediately obtain the formulas for $\Delta(E_\alpha^z), \Delta(F_\alpha^z), S(E_\alpha^z), S(F_\alpha^z) \leftarrow$ read them from those we had for $U_{q_\alpha}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 3: $S^2(x) = K_{2\rho}^{-1} x K_{2\rho} \quad \forall x \in \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\rho = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \omega_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha$

$$S^2(K_\alpha) = S(K_\alpha^{-1}) = K_\alpha$$

$$S^2(E_\alpha) = S(-K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha) = K_\alpha^{-1} E_\alpha K_\alpha = q^{-(\alpha, \alpha)} E_\alpha = q^{-(2\rho, \alpha)} E_\alpha = K_{2\rho}^{-1} E_\alpha K_{2\rho} \quad \text{as } (2\rho, \alpha) = (\alpha, \alpha)$$

$$S^2(F_\alpha) = S(-F_\alpha K_\alpha) = K_\alpha^{-1} F_\alpha K_\alpha = q^{(\alpha, \alpha)} F_\alpha = q^{(2\rho, \alpha)} F_\alpha = K_{2\rho}^{-1} F_\alpha K_{2\rho}$$

Note that $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})/I$, where I is the 2-sided ideal gen-d by $\{U_{\alpha\beta}^{\pm}\}_{\alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi}$:

$$U_{\alpha\beta}^+ := \sum_{z=0}^{1-\alpha\beta} (-1)^z \begin{bmatrix} 1-\alpha\beta \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{1-\alpha\beta-z} E_{\beta} E_{\alpha}^z \in \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$U_{\alpha\beta}^- := \sum_{z=0}^{1-\alpha\beta} (-1)^z \begin{bmatrix} 1-\alpha\beta \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{1-\alpha\beta-z} F_{\beta} F_{\alpha}^z$$

Lemma 4: For $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi$, we have:

$$\Delta(U_{\alpha\beta}^+) = U_{\alpha\beta}^+ \otimes 1 + K_{\alpha}^{1-\alpha\beta} K_{\beta} \otimes U_{\alpha\beta}^+; \quad \Delta(U_{\alpha\beta}^-) = U_{\alpha\beta}^- \otimes K_{\alpha}^{-1+\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}^{-1} + 1 \otimes U_{\alpha\beta}^-$$

Exercise 1: Prove Lemma 4.

Lemma 5: For $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi$, we have: $S(U_{\alpha\beta}^+) = -K_{\alpha}^{-1+\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}^{-1} U_{\alpha\beta}^+$
 $S(U_{\alpha\beta}^-) = -U_{\alpha\beta}^- K_{\alpha}^{1-\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}$

► Apply \int -la $\sum_{(x)} S(x')x'' = \eta \varepsilon(x)$ for $x = U_{\alpha\beta}^+$ together with \int -la for $\Delta(U_{\alpha\beta}^+)$ from Lemma 4, to get (note $\varepsilon(U_{\alpha\beta}^+) = 0$):
 $0 = S(U_{\alpha\beta}^+) \cdot 1 + S(K_{\alpha}^{1-\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}) \otimes U_{\alpha\beta}^+ = S(U_{\alpha\beta}^+) + K_{\alpha}^{-1+\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}^{-1} U_{\alpha\beta}^+ \Rightarrow S(U_{\alpha\beta}^+) = -K_{\alpha}^{-1+\alpha\beta} K_{\beta}^{-1} U_{\alpha\beta}^+$.

To get \int -la for $S(U_{\alpha\beta}^-)$ apply $\sum_{(x)} x' S(x'') = \eta \varepsilon(x)$ for $x = U_{\alpha\beta}^-$ (& Lemma 4).

According to Lemmas 4, 5, and observation that $\varepsilon(U_{\alpha\beta}^{\pm}) = 0$, we have:
 $\varepsilon(I) = 0, S(I) \subset I, \Delta(I) \subset I \otimes \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) + \overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes I$.

Hence, the Hopf alg. structure on $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ of Thm 1 descends to the one on $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})/I \simeq U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Thm 2: There is a unique Hopf alg. structure on $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ determined on the generators by the same formulas as in Thm 1.

Goal 2: Establish the triangular decomposition for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Today, we will establish the one for $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, while in the beginning of next class we will deduce from it the statement for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

The proof for $\overline{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ will be based on the next few lemmas.

For a sequence $I = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, set $E_I := E_{\beta_1} \dots E_{\beta_r}$ ($E_{\emptyset} = 1$)
 $F_I := F_{\beta_1} \dots F_{\beta_r}$ ($F_{\emptyset} = 1$)
 $wt(I) := \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_r \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Lemma 6: $\forall I \exists C_{A,B}^I(V) \in \mathbb{Z}[V, V^{-1}]$ whose coeff's do not depend on q and which are parametrized by finite sequences A, B as above with $wt(A) + wt(B) = wt(I)$ and such that both in $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ we have:

$$\Delta(E_I) = \sum_{A,B} C_{A,B}^I(q) E_A K_{wt(B)} \otimes E_B, \quad \Delta(F_I) = \sum_{A,B} C_{A,B}^I(q^{-1}) F_A \otimes K_{wt(A)}^{-1} F_B$$

Also $C_{A,\emptyset}^I = \delta_{A,I}$, $C_{\emptyset,B}^I = \delta_{B,I}$.

► Proved by induction on r . For $r=1$ - follows from our f -alg for $\Delta(E_{\alpha}), \Delta(F_{\alpha})$.
 To make an induction step, write $I = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$, $J = (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_r)$, so that $\Delta(E_I) = \Delta(E_{\beta_1}) \Delta(E_J)$, $\Delta(F_I) = \Delta(F_{\beta_1}) \Delta(F_J)$.

! Complete the verification at home!

Cor: For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}_+$ ($\lambda \in \mathcal{Q}, \lambda \geq 0$), we have

$$\Delta(U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\lambda}) \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\lambda-\mu} K_{\mu} \otimes U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}, \quad \Delta(U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{\lambda}) \subset \bigoplus_{0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda} U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})_{-\mu} \otimes U_{-(\lambda-\mu)}^{-} K_{\mu}^{-1}$$

(Recall: $\lambda \geq \mu \Leftrightarrow \lambda - \mu \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda - \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_{\alpha} \cdot \alpha$ with $n_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall \alpha \in \Pi$.)

Lemma 7 (Verma modules for $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$): Choose a collection $\{c_{\alpha}^i\}_{\alpha \in \Pi} \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^{\Pi}$ and let M_c be the vector space with basis $(v_I)_{I \text{ fin. sequences of simple roots, incl. } \emptyset}$. Define the following operators on M_c :

$$F_{\alpha}(v_I) = v_{(\alpha, I)}, \quad K_{\alpha}^{\pm 1}(v_I) = c_{\alpha}^{\pm 1} q^{\mp(\alpha, wt(I))} v_I, \quad E_{\alpha}(v_I) = \sum_{j: \beta_j = \alpha} \frac{c_{\alpha} q^{-(\alpha, \mu_j)} - c_{\alpha}^{-1} q^{(\alpha, \mu_j)}}{q_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha}^{-1}} v_{(\beta_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_j, \dots, \beta_r)}$$

where $I = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r)$, $\mu_j = \beta_{j+1} + \dots + \beta_r$. Then: these operators define action $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \curvearrowright M_c$.

► It is clear that $\{K_{\alpha}^i\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ pairwise commute, as well as satisfy $K_{\alpha} E_{\beta} K_{\alpha}^{-1} = q^{(\alpha, \beta)} E_{\beta}$, $K_{\alpha} F_{\beta} K_{\alpha}^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha, \beta)} F_{\beta}$.

It remains to check $E_{\alpha} F_{\beta} - F_{\beta} E_{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \frac{K_{\alpha} - K_{\alpha}^{-1}}{q_{\alpha} - q_{\alpha}^{-1}}$ (*)

- If $\alpha \neq \beta$, then the summands in $E_{\alpha}(F_{\beta}(v_I))$ exactly match those of $F_{\beta}(E_{\alpha}(v_I)) \forall I$
- If $\alpha = \beta$, then $E_{\alpha}(F_{\beta}(v_I))$ has one more new term in comparison to $F_{\beta}(E_{\alpha}(v_I))$, where applying E_{α} we erase α "added by action of F_{α} ". This matches (*)

Twisting this representation by a Cartan involution (and renaming $c_\alpha^{-1} \mapsto c_\alpha$), get
Lemma 8 (Dual Verma): Choose a collection $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi} \in (k^*)^\Pi$ and let M'_c be a vector space with the same basis as M_c . Define the following operators on M'_c :

$$E_\alpha(v_\pm) = v_{(\alpha, \pm)}, \quad K_\alpha^\pm(v_\pm) = c_\alpha^\pm q^{\pm \alpha, \text{wt}(v_\pm)} v_\pm, \quad F_\alpha(v_\pm) = \sum_{j: \beta_j = \alpha} \frac{c_\alpha^{-1} q^{-(\alpha, \mu_j)} - c_\alpha q^{(\alpha, \mu_j)}}{q_\alpha - q_\alpha^{-1}} v_{(\beta_j, \pm)}$$

Then: These operators define the action $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \curvearrowright M'_c$.

Finally, we are ready to prove the following result:

Thm 3: The elements $\{F_I K_\mu E_J \mid I, J \text{ - finite sequences of simple roots, } \mu \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ are a basis of $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Corollary (Triangular decomposition of $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$):

- (a) \bar{U}_q^- is a free algebra gen-d by F_α : $\bar{U}_q^- \cong k \langle F_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi}$
- (b) \bar{U}_q^+ is isomorphic to a free alg. gen-d by E_α : $\bar{U}_q^+ \cong k \langle E_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi}$
- (c) \bar{U}_q^0 is isomorphic to Laurent polynomials in K_α : $\bar{U}_q^0 \cong k \langle K_\alpha^\pm \rangle_{\alpha \in \Pi}$.
- (d) The multiplication map $\bar{U}_q^- \otimes \bar{U}_q^0 \otimes \bar{U}_q^+ \rightarrow \bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an isom. of v. spaces.

Cor: The homom-s $\iota_\alpha: U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are injective.

► (Proof of Thm 3).

• First, we check that $V := \text{span} \langle F_I K_\mu E_J \rangle$ coincides with $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. This follows from the fact that $1 \in V$ and V is invariant w.r.t. left multiplication of $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. The latter immediately follows from the defining rel-s.

• To prove $\{F_I K_\mu E_J\}$ are lin. ind, assume the contrary: there exists a nontrivial linear combination $\sum_{I, J, \mu} a_{I, J, \mu} F_I K_\mu E_J$ equal to ZERO.

Choose I_0 , s.t. $\exists J, \mu: a_{I_0, J, \mu} \neq 0$ and $\text{wt}(I_0)$ - maximal.

Choose a collection $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi} \in (k^*)^\Pi$ and $\{c'_\alpha := 1\} \forall \alpha \in \Pi$. Then, due to Lemmas 7, 8 and Hopf alg. str. on $\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$, we have the action

$$\bar{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \curvearrowright M_c \otimes M'_c.$$

Let us compute $X(v_\alpha \otimes v'_\alpha)$. On one hand it is ZERO as $X=0$.

But on the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(v_\phi \otimes v'_\phi) &= \sum_{I, J, \mu} a_{I, J, \mu} F_I K_\mu E_I(v_\phi \otimes v'_\phi) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 6}}{E_I(v_\phi) = v_\alpha} \sum_{I, J, \mu} a_{I, J, \mu} F_I K_\mu (v_\phi \otimes v_J) \\ &= \sum_{I, J, \mu} a_{I, J, \mu} \cdot F_I(v_\phi \otimes c^\mu \cdot q^{(\mu, wt J)} v_J) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{where for } \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} n_\alpha \cdot \alpha, \\ \text{we set } c^\mu = \prod_{\alpha} c_\alpha^{n_\alpha} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Lemma 6 and considering only terms with the first factor in M_c being v_ϕ (or its multiple), we get (revokey $wt(I_0)$ -max.):

$$0 = \sum_{J, \mu} a_{I_0, J, \mu} \cdot c^\mu \cdot q^{(\mu, wt J)} \cdot v_{I_0} \otimes K_{wt(I_0)}^{-1} v_J$$

\Downarrow

$$\forall J: 0 = \sum_{\mu} a_{I_0, J_0, \mu} \cdot c^\mu \cdot q^{(\mu, wt J_0)} \cdot q^{-(wt I_0, wt J_0)} = 0 \quad (†)$$

The right-hand side is a Laurent polynomial in $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$.

Thus, if $|k| = \infty$, then (†) for any collection $\{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi} \in (\mathbb{k}^*)^\Pi$ implies that all coefficients $a_{I_0, J_0, \mu}$ are ZEROS, contradicting our assumption on I_0 . If $|k| < \infty$, we can apply the above argument to representations $M_c \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}$, $M'_c \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}$ for any field extension $\mathbb{k} \supset k$, reducing to the previous case.

□