

\* Discuss relation of the PBW basis of  $U_q^\pm$  to the pairing  $(\cdot, \cdot) : U_q^- \times U_q^+ \rightarrow k$   
 (this follows pages 5-7 of the Lecture 20 notes, which we didn't have time to cover in the previous class)

Exercise 0: Given a reduced expression  $w = s_{d_1} s_{d_2} \dots s_{d_t}$ , verify that  $d_1, s_{d_1}(d_2), s_{d_1} s_{d_2}(d_3), \dots, s_{d_1} \dots s_{d_{t-1}}(d_t)$  are pairwise distinct positive roots  
 [actually, as mentioned before, they are exactly those  $\gamma \in \Delta_+$  such that  $w^{-1}(\gamma) \in \Delta_-$ ]

Prnk 0: It is well-known, that for any finite dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the corresponding braid group admits a topological realization as  $\pi_1(\eta^{\text{reg}}/W)$ , where  $\eta^{\text{reg}} = \eta \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \text{Ker}(\alpha)$  and  $\eta$ -Cartan subalgebra.

\* \* \*

Today and next times: Crystal (canonical) bases.

Goal: In any finite dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module construct a basis which is "well-behaved" w.r.t. the action of quantum  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let us start from the following obvious result:

Lemma 1: Given a f.d.  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $M$ ,  $x \in M_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , there exists a unique decomposition  $x = \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ d \geq -\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}}} F_\alpha^{(j)} x_j$  s.t.  $x_j \in M_{\lambda + j\alpha}$  and  $E_\alpha(x_j) = 0$ .

► Reduces to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -case, where it is clear.

Based on this Lemma, we define the linear operators  $\tilde{F}_\alpha, \tilde{E}_\alpha \in \text{End}(M)$

via

$$\tilde{F}_\alpha(x) := \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ d \geq -\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}}} F_\alpha^{(j+1)} x_j, \quad \tilde{E}_\alpha(x) := \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0 \\ d \geq -\frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}}} F_\alpha^{(j-1)} x_j$$

with  $x_j$  defined in Lemma 1.

Prnk 1: (1)  $F_\alpha M_\lambda = \tilde{F}_\alpha M_\lambda \quad \forall \lambda$ .

(2) Any  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -morphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  commutes with  $\{\tilde{F}_\alpha, \tilde{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Pi}$ .

From now on, we assume  $k = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ ,  $q$ -transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Define  $A \subset k$  via  $A = \left\{ \frac{f(q)}{g(q)} \mid f, g \in \mathbb{Q}[q], g(0) \neq 0 \right\}$ , which is a local ring.

Key Point: One can specialize at  $q=0$  when working over  $A$  rather than  $k$ . (1)

Def 1: Let  $M$  be a finite-dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. An admissible lattice in  $M$  is an  $A$ -submodule  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $M$  such that

(A1)  $\mathcal{M}$  is fin. generated /  $A$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  generates  $M$  over  $\mathbb{k}$ .

(A2)  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} \mathcal{M}_\lambda$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_\lambda = \mathcal{M} \cap M_\lambda$  (i.e.  $\mathcal{M}$ -graded).

(A3)  $\tilde{E}_\alpha, \tilde{F}_\alpha: \mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{M} \forall \alpha \in \Pi$ .

Def 2: A crystal base of  $M$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  is an admissible lattice in  $M$ , while  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{M}/q\mathcal{M}$  s.t.

(C1)  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in P} \mathcal{B}_\lambda$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_\lambda = \mathcal{B} \cap (\mathcal{M}_\lambda/q\mathcal{M}_\lambda)$

(C2)  $\tilde{E}_\alpha, \tilde{F}_\alpha: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \cup \{0\} \forall \alpha \in \Pi$

(C3)  $\forall b, b' \in \mathcal{B}, \alpha \in \Pi: b = \tilde{E}_\alpha b' \Leftrightarrow b' = \tilde{F}_\alpha b$ .

Rmks: (1) If  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies (A1-A3), then it is a free  $A$ -module s.t.  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_A \mathbb{k} \cong M$ .

(2) Given two fin. dim.  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules  $M_1, M_2$ , a pair of  $A$ -submodules  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subset M_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \subset M_2$ , and subsets  $\mathcal{B}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_1/q\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_2/q\mathcal{M}_2$ , one can set  $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2 \subset M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_1 \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}/q\mathcal{M}$ .

Then:  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ -crystal base of  $M$  iff  $(\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{B}_i)$ -crystal base of  $M_i$  for  $i=1,2$ .

Key Question: Does every fin. dim.  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $M$  admit a crystal base? If yes, then how unique is it?

For the existence, it suffices to treat the case  $M = L(\lambda)$ . First of all, condition (A2) implies that if  $\mathcal{M}$  exists, then it contains some  $v_\lambda \in L(\lambda) \setminus \{0\}$ . But then it must also contain all possible elements  $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_1} \dots \tilde{F}_{\alpha_r} v_\lambda$ .

Set  $\boxed{d(\lambda) := A\text{-span of } \{\tilde{F}_{\alpha_1} \dots \tilde{F}_{\alpha_r} v_\lambda\}}$

Key Theorem: (1)  $d(\lambda)$  is an admissible lattice of  $L(\lambda)$ .

(2) Moreover, if we set  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \subset d(\lambda)/q d(\lambda)$  equal to the set of all nonzero images of  $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_1} \dots \tilde{F}_{\alpha_r} v_\lambda$ , then  $(d(\lambda), \mathcal{B}(\lambda))$  is a crystal base of  $L(\lambda)$ .

(3) If  $(d, \mathcal{B})$  is a crystal base of  $L(\lambda)$ , then  $\exists a \in \mathbb{k}^*$  such that  $d = a \cdot d(\lambda)$  and  $d(\lambda)/q d(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\text{mult. by } a} d/q d$  maps  $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Example 1: Theorem holds for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ .

Example 2: Theorem holds for  $\mathfrak{g}$ -any,  $\lambda$ -minuscule dominant weight (see Hwk 5, Extra Problems).

Exercise 1: Prove both results in Ex1, Ex2.

- Hint: (1) For  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , just use a basis  $\{v_j\}_{j=0}^n$  of  $L(n)$  defined via  $v_j = F^{(j)}V_n$ .  
(2) For  $\mathfrak{g}$ -any,  $\lambda \in P_+$ -minuscule, apply Problem 2 of "Hwk 5, Extra Probl."  
(3) You may disregard part (3) of this Thm in the above examples, since it is easy to deduce it in general - next time.

Let us now explain the "global counterpart" of this.

For any  $\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_+$ , we have a surjective map  $(U_q)_{-\nu} \twoheadrightarrow L(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu} \quad \forall \lambda \in P_+$   
 $u \mapsto u v_\lambda$

Moreover, for  $\lambda$  "big enough" (w.r.t.  $\nu$ ), this map is an isomorphism.

(see our proof of Thm 2 in Lecture 12). Thus, we can pull-back  $d(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu}$

to obtain  $d(\infty)_{-\nu} \subset C(U_q)_{-\nu}$  (which is independent of big  $\lambda$ ), and then

pull-back the basis  $B(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu}$  of  $d(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu}/q d(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu}$  to obtain a basis

$B(\infty)_{-\nu}$  of  $d(\infty)_{-\nu}/q d(\infty)_{-\nu}$  (also independent of big  $\lambda$ )

Thus, we end up with a pair  $(d(\infty), B(\infty))$ , where

$$d(\infty) := \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Q}_+} d(\infty)_{-\nu} \subset C(U_q), \quad B(\infty) := \bigcup_{\lambda \in P_+} B(\infty)_{-\nu} \subset d(\infty)/q d(\infty).$$

Theorem: For any  $\lambda \in P_+$ ,  $d(\infty)$  maps onto  $d(\lambda)$ , while  $B(\infty)_{-\nu}$  maps to  $B(\lambda)_{\lambda-\nu} \cup \{0\}$ .

Moreover, these  $d(\infty), B(\infty)$  can be defined similarly to  $d(\lambda), B(\lambda)$ .

However, to spell it out, we need to introduce the operators

$\widehat{E}_\alpha, \widehat{F}_\alpha: U_q \rightarrow U_q$  in a way similar to the module case. The definition is

based on the following counterpart of Lemma 1:

Lemma 2: Let  $\alpha \in \Pi$  (with  $q \neq \pm 1$ ) and  $y \in U_q$ . There are uniquely determined elements

$$y_n \in U_q, \text{ almost all ZERO, s.t. } \boxed{\tau'_\alpha(y_n) = 0} \text{ and } \boxed{y = \sum_{n \geq 0} F_\alpha^{(n)} y_n}$$

Exercise 2: Prove Lemma 2 (which is analogous to [Lecture 20, Lemma 3])

Based on Lemma 2, we now define linear operators  $\tilde{F}_\alpha, \tilde{E}_\alpha: \mathcal{U}_q \mathcal{R}$  via

$$\tilde{F}_\alpha y := \sum_{n \geq 0} F_\alpha^{(n+1)} y_n, \quad \tilde{E}_\alpha y := \sum_{n \geq 0} F_\alpha^{(n-1)} y_n$$

Rmk: Clearly  $\tilde{F}_\alpha$ -injective.

Then:  $\mathcal{L}(\infty) = A$ -span of  $\{\tilde{F}_{\alpha_i} - \tilde{F}_{\alpha_{i+1}} \mathbb{1}\}$ .

$\mathcal{B}(\infty) =$  images of  $\tilde{F}_{\alpha_i} - \tilde{F}_{\alpha_{i+1}} \mathbb{1}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\infty)/q\mathcal{L}(\infty)$ .

We shall also discuss Lusztig's approach to  $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$ , closely related to the PBW basis from the last 2 lectures.

More details & Proofs - next time.