

— LECTURE 7 —

• Last time: \mathfrak{g}_{so}

$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{so}} \cong S^m V, \Lambda^m V, S_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ — highest weight vectors

$\downarrow \Lambda^{\infty, m} V \ni v_m := v_m \wedge v_{m-1} \wedge v_{m-2} \wedge \dots$ — highest weight vector of h.wt. $w_m = (\dots, 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$

Prop1: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Lambda^{\infty, m} V$ is an irreducible h.wt. repr-n L_w of \mathfrak{g}_{so} .

Moreover, L_w is unitary.

It is clear that $\Lambda^{\infty, m} V$ is generated by its highest weight vector v_m . Hence, it suffices to show $\Lambda^{\infty, m} V$ is unitary (since as we know a unitary h.wt. repr-n must be irreduc.). But the latter is obvious: the Hermitian form in which $\frac{\infty}{2}$ wedges of $\Lambda^{\infty, m} V$ form an orthonormal basis is unitary and t -invariant, i.e. $(E_j w_1, w_2) = (w_1, E_j w_2)$ ■

Corollary1: If $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and is ZERO if $|i| \gg 0$, then L_λ is unitary

If $\beta = (\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with all $\beta_i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then L_β is a 1-dim \mathfrak{g}_{so} -repr. ($X \mapsto \lambda \cdot \text{Tr}(X)$) which is clearly unitary (note: λ must be real!) Any λ as above may be written as $\beta + \sum_i n_i \omega_i$ where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and almost all of them are ZERO.

But then L_λ is a submodule of the unitary repr-n $L_\beta \otimes \bigotimes_i L_{\omega_i}^{\otimes n_i} \Rightarrow$ unitary itself ■

Prop2: If an irreducible repr-n L_λ of \mathfrak{g}_{so} is unitary, and $\lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $\lambda_i = \lambda_+ \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \gg 0$, $\lambda_i = \lambda_- \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \ll 0$ (i.e. λ "stabilizes at $\pm \infty$ "), then λ must be of the form as in Cor 1, i.e. $\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \quad \forall i$.

Consider the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -submodule generated by v_λ over $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)} = \langle E_{i+1}, E_{i+1}, i \rangle$. Then, it is a highest weight unitary \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation of highest weight $\mu = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$ (hence $\simeq L_{\mu}^{(\mathfrak{sl}_2)}$) Now the condition $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ follows from the following simple result:

Lemma1: A unitary representation L_μ of \mathfrak{sl}_2 must have $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

As we computed the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -invariant form on L_μ : on level n it gave $(f^n v_\mu, f^n v_\mu) = n! \cdot \mu(\mu-1) \cdots (\mu-n+1)$. If $\mu \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $L_\mu \simeq M_\mu \rightarrow$ n -any nonnegative integer \Rightarrow not all these numbers are positive! Instead, if $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then $0 \leq n \leq \mu$ and all these numbers $\in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ■

• Today: $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$ and \mathfrak{o}_{∞} .

Def 1: $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$ is the Lie algebra of all matrices $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with finitely many nonzeros diagonals (i.e. $\exists N \forall i, j \text{ s.t. } |i-j| > N \Rightarrow a_{ij} = 0$), with the Lie bracket being the usual commutator.

Remark 1: (i) $\text{glas} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$

(ii) $\mathbb{1} \notin \text{glas}$, but $\mathbb{1} \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$

(iii) $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$ is still \mathbb{Z} -graded

$$\boxed{\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}^i}$$

↑
the subspace of matrices $(a_{\alpha\beta})$ s.t. $a_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ unless $\beta - \alpha = i$.

(iv) this gives a triangular decomposition $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$, \mathfrak{h} -abelian.

(v) For $A, B \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$, the product $\overset{\text{def}}{AB}$ is well-defined and belongs to $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$.

For $A \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$, $B \in \text{glas}$, AB is well-defined and belongs to glas .

(vi) $\{E_{ij}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is no longer a vector space basis!

(vii) $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$ is not of countable dimension!

Def 2: Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be the shift operator, defined via $T(v_i) = v_{i+1} \quad \forall i$

The shift operator T as well as any integer power of it may be viewed as an element of $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$: $\boxed{T^k = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i,i+k} \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}^k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}}$

Remark 2: From this perspective, we may think about $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}$ as of the algebra of difference operators, i.e. formal expressions $A = \underbrace{\sum_{k=d}^p \gamma_k(n) T^k}_{(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=d}^p \gamma_k(n) x_{n+k}} \quad \forall x \in V, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $d \leq p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_k: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Question: Can we extend $\boxed{\text{glas} \curvearrowright \Lambda^{\leq m} V}$ to an action $\bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty} \curvearrowright \Lambda^{\leq m} V$?

Let us try to define such an action in the most naive way: just as for glas .

• If $i \neq 0$, then any element $A \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}^i$ has the form $A = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j E_{j+i}$ and it is easy to see that its action on any elementary $\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedge $v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots$ is well-defined (indeed): - if j is big enough so that $j+i > i_0 \Rightarrow$ all summands disappear
- if j is small enough (explain how) then applying A to v_{i_0} we shall get wedge with some v_k appearing twice \Rightarrow ZERO

• However, if $i=0$ and $A = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j E_{jj} \in \bar{\mathfrak{o}}_{\infty}^0$, then there is a problem!

(indeed: $A(v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = (\underbrace{a_{i_0} + a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} + \dots}_{\text{does not have to contain only finitely many non-zero entries}}) \cdot v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge \dots$)

To fix: we shall redefine the action of $\{E_{ii}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$

Define

$$\boxed{\hat{r}(E_{ij}) = \begin{cases} r(E_{ij}) & \text{unless } i=j \\ r(E_{ij})-1 & \text{if } i=j \end{cases}}$$

This gives rise to a linear map

$$\hat{\rho}: \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}} \rightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda^{\frac{n}{2}, m} V) \text{ via } (a_{ij})_{ij \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \sum a_{ij} \hat{\rho}(E_{ij})$$

Exercise: Explain why $\hat{\rho}(A)$ is well-defined $\forall A \in \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$.

BUT: $\hat{\rho}$ is NOT a Lie algebra homomorphism.

In other words, $[\hat{\rho}(A), \hat{\rho}(B)] - \hat{\rho}([A, B]) \neq 0$. But in what follows, we shall actually see that it is scalar operator.

Let us write A, B as 2×2 block matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where the division is w.r.t. $\underline{i \leq 0}$ and $\underline{i > 0}$.

Prop 3: Let $\alpha(A, B) := \hat{\rho}([A, B]) + [\hat{\rho}(A), \hat{\rho}(B)] \quad \forall A, B \in \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$.

$$\text{Then: } \alpha(A, B) = \text{Tr}(-B_{12}A_{21} + A_{12}B_{21}) = \text{Tr}(-A_{21}B_{12} + B_{21}A_{12}) \quad (\#)$$

Remark 3: The right-hand side of $(\#)$ is well-defined as each of $A_{12}, A_{21}, B_{12}, B_{21}$ has only finitely many nonzero elements.

Exercise (Hwk 4): Prove Proposition 3.

As an immediate corollary of Proposition 3, we obtain:

Lemma 2: The bilinear map $\alpha: \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}} \times \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $(\#)$ is a d -cocycle on $\overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$, which is nontrivial (i.e. not a 2 -coboundary).

↑ Japanese cocycle (after Date-Jimbo-Kashiwara-Miwa)

- The cocycle condition follows immediately from the fact that $\alpha(A, B) = [\hat{\rho}(A), \hat{\rho}(B)] - \hat{\rho}([A, B])$

Exercise: Explain why!

- To see that α is nontrivial, consider elements $\{T^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$.

Then $\alpha(T^n, T^m) = n\delta_{n,-m}$, hence, restricting to the abelian Lie subalg. \tilde{A} spanned by $\{T^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, we obtain the Heisenberg extension, which is nontrivial (by Lecture 1).

Remark 4: Note that $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$ is a 2 -coboundary, since for $A, B \in \mathfrak{o}_{\infty}$, we have

$$\alpha(A, B) = \text{Tr}(\underbrace{J \cdot [A, B]}_{\begin{pmatrix} [A_{11}, B_{11}] + A_{12}B_{21} - B_{21}A_{21} & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}}), \text{ where } J = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 5: Explicitly, we get

$$\alpha((a_{ij}), (b_{ij})) = \sum_{i \leq 0, j} a_{ij} b_{ji} - \sum_{j \leq 0, i} a_{ij} b_{ji} = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} b_{ji} (\delta_{j>0} - \delta_{i>0})$$

Def 3: Let $\mathfrak{o}_{\infty} = \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot K$ be the 1-dim central extension of $\overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$ given by a cocycle α . Summarizing Prop 3 & Lemma 2, we immediately get the final key result:

Theorem 1: Let $\hat{\rho}: \mathfrak{o}_{\infty} \rightarrow \text{End}(\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V)$ be the linear operator s.t. $\hat{\rho}(K) = \text{Id}$, while $\hat{\rho}|_{\overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}}$ coincides with the previous construction. Then, it is a Lie alg. homom.

In other words, $\boxed{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty} \curvearrowright \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V}$

Remark 6: (i) \mathfrak{o}_{∞} is \mathbb{Z} -graded ($\deg(K)=0$), while \mathbb{Z} -grading on $\overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$ was defined before

(ii) $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded module over \mathfrak{o}_{∞} .

(iii) The Lie alg. embedding $\mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}}$ gives rise to a Lie alg. embedding

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}} \quad \text{via } a_n \mapsto T^n, K \mapsto K \\ \text{Heisenberg/oscillator alg}}$$

(iv) Thus, we get the action of Heisenberg alg. $\mathfrak{A} \curvearrowright \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$.

Let us define weight $\bar{w}_m \in (\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}[0])^*$ as follows:

$$\boxed{\bar{w}_m : K \mapsto 1, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i E_{ii} \mapsto \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m a_j, & \text{if } m \geq 0 \\ -\sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} a_j, & \text{if } m < 0 \end{cases}}$$

Prop 4: $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ is the irreducible highest weight repr. $L_{\bar{w}_m}$ of \mathfrak{o}_{∞} . Moreover, $L_{\bar{w}_m}$ is unitary.

Analogue to Prop 1.

Corollary 2: For any collection $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of integers, almost all of which are zero, the \mathfrak{o}_{∞} -representation $L_{\sum n_i \bar{w}_i}$ is unitary!

Analogue to Corollary 1.

As noted in Remark 6(iii), we have a natural embedding $\mathfrak{A} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{\infty}$. It turns out that \mathfrak{o}_{∞} also contains Virasoro algebras inside itself (and in many different ways). To specify the latter explicitly, recall the action $W \curvearrowright V_{\alpha, \beta} = \{g(t)t^z dt^\beta\}_{g \in C(t, t^{-1})}$ from [Homework 1, Problem 3]. Switching $t \rightarrow -t$ in part (b) of that problem, we see that $V_{\alpha, \beta}$ has a basis $\{V_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with the action of the Witt alg. given by

$$\boxed{L_n(V_k) = (k - \alpha - (n+1)\beta) \cdot V_{k-n} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

Thus, we get a Lie algebra embedding

$$\boxed{\overline{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta} : \underbrace{W}_{\text{Witt alg.}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}} \quad \text{via } L_n \mapsto \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (k - \alpha - (n+1)\beta) E_{k-n, n}}$$

Exercise (Hwk 4): Viewing L_n as elements of ω_∞ , we have $[L_n, L_m] = (n-m)L_{n+m} + \alpha(L_n, L_m)$.

Prove that

$$\alpha(L_n, L_m) = \delta_{n,-m} \left(\frac{n^2 - m^2}{12} C_\beta + 2n \cdot h_{\alpha, \beta} \right), \text{ where}$$

$$C_\beta = -12\beta^2 + 12\beta - 2, \quad h_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+2\beta-1)}{2}$$

Noticing that $(L_n, L_m) \mapsto 2n\delta_{n,-m} \cdot h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a 2-coboundary, while the first summand is a C_β -multiple of the Virasoro 2-cocycle, we obtain:

Prop 5: For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a Lie algebra embedding

$$\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}: \text{Vir} \hookrightarrow \omega_\infty \text{ s.t. } L_n \mapsto \bar{\psi}_{\alpha, \beta}(L_n) + \delta_{n, 0} \cdot h_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot K, \quad C \mapsto C_\beta \cdot K$$

Restricting $\omega_\infty \cong \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ to Vir (embedded via $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}$), we get $\underbrace{\text{Vir} \cap \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V}_{\text{Virasoro module of } \frac{\infty}{2} \text{ forms}}$

Exercise (Hwk 4): Verify that $L_k(\psi_m) = 0$ for $k > 0$

$$L_0(\psi_m) = \frac{(\alpha-m)(\alpha+2\beta-1-m)}{2} \psi_m.$$

Corollary 3: For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, set $\lambda := (\frac{(\alpha-m)(\alpha+2\beta-1-m)}{2}, -12\beta^2 + 12\beta - 2)$. Then we get a Virasoro module homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \psi_m \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{better to write } \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^*(\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V) \text{ or} \\ \text{simply by } \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V_{\alpha, \beta}. \end{array}$$

Let us now get back to the action $A \wedge \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$.
this makes $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ into the \mathbb{Z} -graded A -module.

Recall that $\deg(V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = -\sum_{k \geq 0} (i_k + k - m) =: -d$, where last time we noticed that $i_k + k - m$ for $k \geq 0$ is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative integers stabilizing to zero. The latter defines a bijection b/w elementary $\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedges in $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ and partitions, which also identifies the degree of the $\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedge with $-(\text{sum of els of the partition})$.

Hence: $\chi_{\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V}(q) := \sum \dim(\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V[-d]) q^d = \sum_{d \geq 0} p(d) q^d = \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)\dots}$

Prop 6: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V \cong F_m$ as A -representations.

Recall that $\overset{\text{def}}{\underset{K}{\overset{A}{\mapsto}}} T^n \in \omega_\infty$. It is clear that $K(\psi_m) = 1 \cdot \psi_m$ and $T^n(\psi_m) = 0 \forall n > 0$.

Moreover, $T^0(\psi_m) = (\sum E_{ii})(\psi_m) = m \cdot \psi_m$ (treat separately the cases $m > 0$ and $m < 0$).

Hence, we have an A -module homom. $\zeta_m: F_m \rightarrow \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V$ which also preserves \mathbb{Z} -grading.

Since F_m is irreducible and $\chi_{F_m}(q) = \chi_{\Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}, m} V}(q)$, we immediately get ζ_m -isom. (5)