

- LECTURE 8 -

Last time we concluded with the isomorphism of \mathcal{A} -modules $\sigma_m: \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}, m} V \xrightarrow{\sim} F_m \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define:

$B^{(m)} := F_m = \{ \text{the space of polynomials in } x_1, x_2, \dots \} \leftarrow \text{bosonic space}$
 $F^{(m)} := \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}, m} V = \{ \text{the space spanned by elementary } \frac{\infty}{2}\text{-wedges} \} \leftarrow \text{fermionic space}$
 $F := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{(m)} = \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}} V$
 $B := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{(m)} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}; x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ (here identify $B^{(m)}$ with $z^m \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$)

Then, we obtain an \mathcal{A} -module isomorphism

$$\sigma = \bigoplus_m \sigma_m: F \xrightarrow{\sim} B \quad \leftarrow \text{Boson-Fermion Correspondence}$$

There are two natural questions to ask:

Q1: Which polynomials arise as the images of elementary $\frac{\infty}{2}$ -wedges?

Q2: How to extend $\mathcal{A} \curvearrowright B$ to $\sigma_\infty \curvearrowright B$?

First, we shall treat the second question!

Def 1: (a) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the wedging operator $\xi_i = \hat{v}_i: F \rightarrow F$ by $\hat{v}_i(\psi) = v_i \wedge \psi$
 (so that $\hat{v}_i: F^{(m)} \rightarrow F^{(m+1)}$)

(b) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the contraction operators $\xi_i^* = \check{v}_i: F \rightarrow F$ via the contraction with v_i , i.e.
 $\check{v}_i(v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \notin \{i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots\} \\ (-1)^k \cdot v_{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_{k-1}} \wedge v_{i_{k+1}} \wedge \dots & \text{if } i = i_k \text{ if } i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots \end{cases}$
 (so that $\check{v}_i: F^{(m)} \rightarrow F^{(m-1)}$)

Exercise: Understand this definition.

Lemma 1: For any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have:

$$\hat{v}_i \hat{v}_j + \hat{v}_j \hat{v}_i = 0, \quad \check{v}_i \check{v}_j + \check{v}_j \check{v}_i = 0, \quad \hat{v}_i \check{v}_j + \check{v}_j \hat{v}_i = \delta_{ij}$$

Exercise: Prove this Lemma.

From the very definition of $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty \curvearrowright \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}} V$, we get

$$\rho(E_{ij}) = \xi_i \xi_j^*$$

$\hat{\rho}(E_{ij})$ introduced last time equals

$$\hat{\rho}(E_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \xi_i \xi_j^* - 1, & \text{if } i = j \leq 0 \\ \xi_i \xi_j^*, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \xi_i \xi_j^*$$

Recalling that under the embedding $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \sigma_\infty$, we have $a_k \mapsto \sum_i E_{i, i+k}$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\rho}(a_k) = \sum_i \xi_i \xi_{i+k}^* \quad \leftarrow \text{the way } k^{\text{th}} \text{ generator } a_k \text{ of the Heisenberg alg. acts on } \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}} V.$$

We may encode this (over all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$) by $\hat{\rho}(a(z)) =: \hat{\xi}(z) \hat{\xi}^*(z):$ where $\hat{\xi}(z) = \sum \xi_n z^{-n-1/2}$
 $\hat{\xi}^*(z) = \sum \xi_n^* z^{-n-1/2}$

To answer Q2, it suffices to express the action of $E_{ij} \in \mathfrak{sl}_\infty$, given by $\hat{p}(E_{ij})$, via the action of a_k , given by $\hat{p}(a_k)$. But by the previous formula, it suffices to express ξ_i, ξ_j^* via $\hat{p}(a_k)$. The latter shall be achieved by the vertex operator construction.

Def 2: Define the following quantum fields

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(u) &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_n u^n \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F})[[u, u^{-1}]] \\
 X^*(u) &:= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_n^* u^n \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F})[[u, u^{-1}]] \\
 \Gamma(u) &:= \sigma \circ X(u) \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{B})[[u, u^{-1}]] \\
 \Gamma^*(u) &:= \sigma \circ X^*(u) \circ \sigma^{-1} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{B})[[u, u^{-1}]]
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1: For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operators $\Gamma(u): \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}$, $\Gamma^*(u): \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(m-1)}$ (their restrictions to graded components)

are explicitly given via the \mathcal{A} -action by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma(u) &= u^{m+1} \cdot z \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right) \\
 \Gamma^*(u) &= u^m \cdot z^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Rmk 1:
- (i) The factors z and z^{-1} are exactly a charge of $\mathcal{B}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}$
 - (ii) $\exp(A)$ is a formal expression $1 + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \dots$
 - (iii) Note that $\{a_j\}_{j>0}$ (resp. $\{a_{-j}\}_{j>0}$) pairwise commute
 - (iv) For every $w \in \mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ each u -coeff. of the action of RHS on w is well-defined!

Rmk 2: As a_0 acts by $m \cdot \text{id}$ on $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$, we see that above formulas may be morally written as

$$\Gamma(u) = uz \cdot \exp\left(\int a(u) du\right), \quad \Gamma^*(u) = z^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-\int a(u) du\right)$$

The proof of Theorem is based on the following result:

Lemma 2: For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $[a_j, \Gamma(u)] = u^j \Gamma(u)$, $[a_j, \Gamma^*(u)] = -u^j \Gamma^*(u)$

Applying the isom. σ , the first equality is equivalent to $[\tau^j, X(u)] = u^j X(u)$ (where τ is the shift operator from last time).

$$\tau^j = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{i, i+j} \Rightarrow \hat{p}(\tau^j) = \sum_i \hat{p}(E_{i, i+j}) \stackrel{F-1}{=} \sum_i \begin{cases} \xi_i \xi_{i+j}^* - 1, & \text{if } i=i+j \leq 0 \\ \xi_i \xi_{i+j}^*, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

on \mathcal{F}

$$\Rightarrow [\hat{p}(\tau^j), X(u)] = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} [\xi_i \xi_{i+j}^*, X(u)] = \sum_{i, n \in \mathbb{Z}} [\xi_i \xi_{i+j}^*, \xi_n] u^n \quad (\equiv)$$

But: $[\xi_i \xi_{i+j}^*, \xi_n] = \xi_i \xi_{i+j}^* \xi_n - \xi_n \xi_i \xi_{i+j}^* = \xi_i (-\xi_n \xi_{i+j}^* + \delta_{n, i+j}) - \xi_n \xi_i \xi_{i+j}^* = \delta_{n, i+j} \xi_i$

$$(\equiv) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{n, i+j} \xi_i u^n = \sum_n \xi_{n-j} u^n = u^j X(u)$$

Exercise: Verify the second equality

Proof of Theorem 1

Define $\Gamma_+(u) := \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{a_i}{i} u^i\right) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{B})[[u^{-1}]]$. Then, we have:

- $[a_j, \Gamma_+(u)] = 0 \quad \forall j \geq 0$ (as $\{a_{\geq 0}\}$ pairwise commute)
- $[a_j, \Gamma_+(u)] = u^j \Gamma_+(u) \quad \forall j < 0$ (it suffices to prove $[a_j, \exp(-\frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j)] = u^j \exp(-\frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j)$ which is easily checked just expanding $\exp(\dots)$ formally, or alternatively think of $a_j \leftrightarrow X_j, a_{-j} \leftrightarrow (-j)^{\partial} X_j$)

As the constant term of $\Gamma_+(u)$ is 1, its inverse $\Gamma_+(u)^{-1}$ is well-defined and we get (from above):

- $[a_j, \Gamma_+(u)^{-1}] = 0 \quad \text{if } j \geq 0$
- $[a_j, \Gamma_+(u)^{-1}] = -u^j \Gamma_+(u)^{-1} \quad \text{if } j < 0$

Finally, we define a linear map $\Delta(u): \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(m)}((u))$ via

$$\Delta(u) := \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_+(u)^{-1} z^{-1}$$

Combining the previous equalities with Lemma 2 and an obvious equality $[a_j, z] = \delta_{j,0} \cdot z$, we get:

$$[a_j, \Delta(u)] = \begin{cases} u^j \cdot \Delta(u), & \text{if } j > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } j \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

For any polynomial P

As a consequence: $P(a_{-1}, a_{-2}, a_{-3}, \dots) \Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m = \Delta(u) P(a_{-1}, a_{-2}, a_{-3}, \dots) \cdot \mathbb{1}_m$ (here $\mathbb{1}_m$ denotes h.v.t. vector $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{B}^{(m)}$)

Therefore, it suffices to compute $\Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m \in \mathcal{B}^{(m)}((u))$, since $\mathcal{B}^{(m)}$ is generated by $\mathbb{1}_m$ over $\langle a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \dots \rangle$.

On the other hand: $a_j \Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m \stackrel{j > 0}{=} \Delta(u) a_j \mathbb{1}_m + u^j \Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m \Rightarrow \left. \begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow [a_j, \Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m] = u^j \cdot \Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m \quad \forall j > 0 \\ & \text{But: } a_j (j > 0) \text{ acts on Fock via } j \frac{\partial}{\partial X_j} \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta(u) \mathbb{1}_m = F(u) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j > 0} \frac{X_j}{j} u^j\right) = F(u) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j > 0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j\right) \mathbb{1}_m, \quad F(u) \in \mathbb{C}((u))$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_+(u) = z \cdot F(u) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j > 0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j > 0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right)$$

Hence, it remains to find the series $F(u)$. Since the isom. ϕ identifies $\mathbb{1}_m \leftrightarrow \psi_m$, we have

$$\underbrace{\langle \mathbb{1}_{m+1}, \Gamma_+(u) \mathbb{1}_m \rangle}_{= F(u)} = \langle \psi_{m+1}^*, X(u) \psi_m \rangle = \langle \psi_{m+1}^*, \sum_n \xi_n u^n \psi_m \rangle = u^{m+1} \Rightarrow F(u) = u^{m+1}$$

This completes the proof of the formula for $\Gamma(u)$ from Theorem 1.

Exercise: Prove the formula for $\Gamma^*(u)$.

Corollary 1: Let $\Gamma(u, v) := \exp\left(\sum_{j > 0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_{-j}\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j > 0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_j\right)$.

- (a) $\rho\left(\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} u^i v^j E_{ij}\right) \stackrel{\text{on } \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \text{ or } \mathbb{F}^{(m)}}{=} \frac{(u/v)^m}{1-v/u} \cdot \Gamma(u, v)$
- (b) $\hat{\rho}\left(\sum_{i,j} u^i v^j E_{ij}\right) \stackrel{\text{on } \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \text{ or } \mathbb{F}^{(m)}}{=} \frac{1}{1-v/u} \left(\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^m \Gamma(u, v) - 1 \right)$

► Proof of Corollary 1

(a) \Rightarrow (b) as $\hat{p}\left(\sum_{i,j} u^i v^j E_{ij}\right) = p\left(\sum_{i,j} u^i v^j E_{ij}\right) - \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} u^i v^i\right)}_{1/(1-\frac{v}{u})} Id$

\leftarrow expanded in non-negative powers of v .

To prove (a), recall $p(E_{ij}) = \xi_i \xi_j^* \Rightarrow p\left(\sum_{i,j} u^i v^j E_{ij}\right) = X(u) X^*(v)$.

But, due to Theorem 1 we have:

$$X(u) X^*(v) = u^{(m-1)+1} \cdot z \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^j\right) v^{-m} z^{-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} v^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} v^j\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^m \cdot \underbrace{\exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}(u^j - v^j)}{j}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j(u^j - v^j)}{j}\right)}_{\Gamma(u,v)} \cdot G(u,v),$$

where $G(u,v)$ is the extra factor obtained by pulling $\exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^j\right)$ to the right of $\exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_{-j}}{j} v^j\right)$. But as $[a_j, a_{-j}] = j \cdot \delta_{jj}$, we get:

$$G(u,v) = \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{j}{j \cdot j} \cdot \frac{v^j}{u^j}\right) = \exp(-\log(1-\frac{v}{u})) = \frac{1}{1-\frac{v}{u}}$$

Exercise: Prove this!

Thus, we provided the answer to Q2 from the beginning of today's class.

Let us now answer Q1!

First, we shall recall Schur polynomials. We start from

Def 3: For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define $S_k(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ via the generating series

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} S_k(x) \cdot z^k = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} x_i z^i\right) \quad (\text{we treat } x \text{ as a collection } (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots))$$

(e.g. $S_0(x) = 1, S_1(x) = x_1, S_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_2$)

They are closely related to complete symmetric functions $h_k(y)$, which are defined via

$$h_k(y) = h_k(y_1, \dots, y_N) = \sum_{\substack{p_1, \dots, p_N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ p_1 + \dots + p_N = k}} y_1^{p_1} y_2^{p_2} \dots y_N^{p_N} \quad (\text{here } y \text{ denotes a finite collection } (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N))$$

or alternatively they may be defined via the generating series

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} h_k(y) \cdot z^k = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - z \cdot y_j}$$

To relate $S_k(x)$ to $h_k(y)$ we should substitute $x_n = \frac{y_1^n + \dots + y_N^n}{n} \forall n \geq 1$.

Lemma 3: If $x_n = \frac{y_1^n + \dots + y_N^n}{n} \forall n$, then $S_k(x) = h_k(y)$.

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} S_k(x) \cdot z^k = \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} x_n z^n\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(y_1^n + \dots + y_N^n) z^n}{n}\right) = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^N \log(1 - z y_j)\right) = \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - z y_j} = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_k(y) z^k$$

Def 4: To any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m)$, define (bosonic) Schur polynomial

$$S_\lambda(x) := \det \begin{pmatrix} S_{\lambda_1}(x) & S_{\lambda_1+1}(x) & \dots & S_{\lambda_1+m-1}(x) \\ S_{\lambda_2-1}(x) & S_{\lambda_2}(x) & \dots & S_{\lambda_1+m-2}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_{\lambda_m-m+1}(x) & \dots & \dots & S_{\lambda_m}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \det (S_{\lambda_i+j-i}(x))$$

Rmk 3: (a) We set $S_k(x) = 0$ if $k < 0$.

(b) Clearly $S_\lambda(x)$ does not change as we add 0 to λ at the end

(c) "Bosonic" - for the fact it is not symmetric in x .

(d) To get usual Schur polynomials substitute $x_n = \frac{y_1^n + \dots + y_N^n}{n}$ to get $S_\lambda(x) = \det (h_{\lambda_i+j-i}(y))_{i,j=1}^m =$ ^{2th symmetric} Schur poly of y_1, \dots, y_N

Rmk 4: Let $N \geq m$, set $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{N-m})$ - viewed as a weight of GL_N or \mathfrak{gl}_N ,

and let L_Λ be the irr. repr. of GL_N of highest weight Λ . Its character

$$\chi_\Lambda: \begin{pmatrix} * & & 0 \\ & * & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix}_{GL_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is defined via } \chi_\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y_N \end{pmatrix} = \text{Tr}_{L_\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y_N \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, the following classical result emphasizes relevance of Schur polynomials to representation theory:

$$\chi_\Lambda(y) = S_\lambda(x) \text{ for } x_n = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N y_j^n}{n} \forall n$$

Note: For $\lambda = (\lambda)$, this recovers Lemma 3.

Theorem 2: For any $i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots$ s.t. $i_k = -k$ for $k \gg 0$, we have

$$\sigma(\underbrace{v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots}_{\in \mathcal{F}^{(0)}}) = \underbrace{S_\lambda(x)}_{\in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}} \text{ for } \lambda = (i_0, i_1+1, i_2+2, \dots)$$

! WARNING: We should modify our definition of $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}_m$ by saying $\begin{cases} a_j \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\ a_j \mapsto j x_j \end{cases} \forall j > 0$ (while in Lecture 2, we had $a_j \mapsto j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, a_j \mapsto x_j$)

Set $P(x) := \sigma(v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots)$, so that we need to prove $P(x) = S_\lambda(x)$

Introduce formal variables y_1, y_2, \dots

• $\langle \mathbb{1}, e^{y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 + \dots} P(x) \rangle = \langle \mathbb{1}, e^{y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \dots} P(x) \rangle = \langle \mathbb{1}, P(x+y) \rangle = P(y)$

On the other hand, recalling that $a_k \leftrightarrow T^k \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have:

• $\langle \mathbb{1}, e^{y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 + \dots} P(x) \rangle = \langle \psi_0, \underbrace{e^{y_1 T + y_2 T^2 + \dots} (v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots)}_{\text{Note: this is well-defined!}} \rangle \ominus$

But: $\exp(\sum_{j \geq 1} y_j T^j) = \sum_{k \geq 0} S_k(y) T^k$

$\ominus \langle \psi_0, (\sum_{k \geq 0} S_k(y) T^k) (v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) \rangle =$ coefficient of the basis elt ψ_0 in $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & S_1(y) & S_2(y) & \dots \\ & 1 & S_1(y) & \dots \\ & & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} \hat{e} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S_1(y) & S_2(y) & \dots \\ & 1 & S_1(y) & \dots \\ & & 1 & \dots \\ 0 & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} (v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots)$

Combining two different computations of the contravariant pairing b/w $\mathbb{1}$ and $e^{y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 + \dots} P(x)$, we got

$$P(y) = \text{coefficient of the basis element } \psi_0 = v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots$$

$$\text{in } \hat{P} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_1(y) & s_2(y) & \dots \\ 1 & s_1(y) & s_2(y) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & s_1(y) & \dots \end{pmatrix} (v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots)$$

Extra argument is needed since this elt is not in σ_{class} (but it is easy to see that only finitely many diagonals matter for computation of the matrix elts)

Recall that in the fin. dim. case, given a linear operator $A: V \rightarrow W$, whose action is determined in the distinguished basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of V , $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^l$ by an $l \times k$ -matrix $A = (a_{ji})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq l \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}}$, we have an induced linear operator $\Lambda^m A: \Lambda^m V \rightarrow \Lambda^m W$. Moreover, if we choose the basis of $\Lambda^m V$ to be $\{v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_m} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq k\}$ and the basis of $\Lambda^m W$ to be $\{w_{j_1} \wedge w_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{j_m} \mid j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_m \leq l\}$, then the coefficient of $w_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{j_m}$ in $\Lambda^m A(v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_m})$ is given by the determinant $\det(A_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \\ j_1, \dots, j_m}})$, where $A_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \\ j_1, \dots, j_m}}$ is an $m \times m$ -submatrix of A formed by the intersection of rows $\#i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$ and columns $\#j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m$.

In the above setting, even though we are in the infinite dim. case, but starting from a certain moment action stabilizes, so that the coefficient of $v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots$ in

$$\hat{P} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s_1(y) & s_2(y) & \dots \\ 1 & s_1(y) & s_2(y) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & s_1(y) & \dots \end{pmatrix} (v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots)$$

(here we choose N so that $i_k = -k$ for $k \geq N$)

is given by a determinant of $N \times N$ matrix formed by intersecting columns $\#i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{N-1}$ and rows $\#0, -1, \dots, -(N-1)$ of the matrix above, that is, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_{\lambda_0}(y) & s_{\lambda_0+1}(y) & \dots & s_{\lambda_0+(N-1)}(y) \\ s_{\lambda_1}(y) & s_{\lambda_1}(y) & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ s_{\lambda_{N-1}}(y) & \dots & \dots & s_{\lambda_N}(y) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where as we agreed } \lambda_j = i_{j-1} + (j-1).$$

But: the determinant of this matrix is the Schur polynomial by deg- n

Corollary 2: Same arguments prove that the image of any elementary \mathbb{Z} -wedge of $\Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}, m} V$ is given by

$$G(v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = z^m \cdot S_{(i_0-m, i_1-m+1, i_2-m+2, \dots)}(z)$$