

## — LECTURE 9 —

- Last time: Boson-Fermion Correspondence

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{ccc} G: \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{B} \\ \text{$\mathbb{A}$-module homom} & \Downarrow & \text{$\mathbb{C}[z, z^*; x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$} \end{array}}$$

- We had wedge operators  $\{f_n\}$ , contracting operators  $\{f_n^*\}$  acting naturally on  $\mathcal{F}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  the corresponding quantum fields  $X(u) = \sum_n f_n u^n, X^*(u) = \sum_n f_n^* u^{-n} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F})[[u, u^{-1}]]$
  - $\Rightarrow$  the corresponding q-fields  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{B})[[u, u^{-1}]]$  (better:  $\Gamma(u)w, \Gamma^*(u)w \in \mathcal{B}(u) \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{B}$ )
- Theorem 1 (last time): For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the operators  $\Gamma(u): \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(m+1)}, \Gamma^*(u): \mathcal{B}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{(m-1)}$  are explicitly given by the following vertex operator f-las in terms of  $\mathbb{A}$ -action:

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma(u) = u^{m+1} \cdot z \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right) = "uz \cdot : \exp(f_{\text{ad}}(u)):" \\ \Gamma^*(u) = u^{-m} \cdot z^* \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right) = "z^* : \exp(-f_{\text{ad}}(u)):" \end{array}}$$

As an immediate consequence of this result and the formula  $\hat{e}(E_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \xi_i \xi_j^* - 1, & \text{if } i=j \leq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , we obtained:

Theorem 2 (last time):  $\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} u^i v^j \hat{e}(E_{ij}) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{uv}{1 - \frac{v}{u}}} \cdot \left( -1 + \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^m \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_{-j}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u^{-j} - v^{-j}}{j} a_j\right) \right)$

Those are one of the first examples (historically) illustrating importance of vertex operators...

(Technical) Remark 1: The following two formulas played a key technical role (and are used over and over again in similar vertex operator computations):

$$\boxed{[a_{-n}, \exp\left(\frac{a_n}{n} u\right)] = -u \cdot \exp\left(\frac{a_n}{n} u\right)}$$

$$\exp(a_n \cdot u) \exp(a_{-n} v) = \exp(a_n v) \exp(a_n u) \cdot \exp(n u v)$$

Both equalities are equalities of the series in  $u$  ( $a \in \mathbb{A}, v$ ) with coefficients in  $\text{End}(\mathcal{B})$ .

- We also introduced (bosonic) Schur polynomials  $S_\lambda(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$  (associated to s.t. upon specialization  $x_n = \underbrace{y_1^n + \dots + y_N^n}_n \quad \forall n \geq 1$  (while  $N \geq 1$  is fixed from the beginning) we get the usual  $\mathbb{A}$  Schur polynomials  $S_\lambda(y)$  which are symmetric in  $y_i$ 's).

Theorem 3 (last time): For any  $i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots$  s.t.  $i_k = -k$  for  $k \gg 0$ , we have

$$\boxed{G(V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = S_{\lambda=(i_0, i_1+1, i_2+2, i_3+3, \dots)}(x)}$$

Warning: For this formula to hold we had to redefine  $\mathbb{A} \cap F_m$  via  $\begin{cases} a_{-j} \mapsto j x_j \\ a_j \mapsto \partial/\partial x_j \end{cases} \quad (j > 0), a_0 \mapsto \mu \mathbb{I}, k \mapsto \mu k \mathbb{I}.$

Remark 2: (a) More generally, if  $i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots$  and  $i_k = m - k$  for  $k \gg 0$ , then  $G(V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots) = S_{\lambda=(i_0-m, i_1-(m-1), i_2-(m-2), \dots)}(x)$ ,

(b) The proof was almost tautological and solely based on the computations in  $\mathcal{F}$ -side (we evaluated  $\langle V_{i_0} e^{\sum a_j x_j} \dots (V_{i_0} \wedge V_{i_1} \wedge V_{i_2} \wedge \dots) \rangle$ )

More details in class! (c) Alternative proof is based on the verification that f-la of Theorem 3 defines an  $\mathbb{A}$ -homomorphism (that is based on simple computations with determinants and expression of  $S_\lambda(y)$  via  $\det(\text{Id})$ )

Today: Application to the integrable systems.

One of the most important integrable PDEs is the KdV equation (Korteweg-de Vries) on  $u=u(x,t)$ :

$$u_t = \frac{3}{2} u \cdot u_x + \frac{1}{4} u_{xxx}$$

Remark 3: (a) Multiplying  $x, t, u$  by constants we may renormalize above constants  $\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$  to any other nonzero coeffs.

(b) This equation describes motion of waves in shallow water.

(c) One of the most basic solutions (called "soliton") are:

$$u = \frac{2a^2}{\cosh^2(ax+a^3t)} \text{ for any } a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$$

(d) Surprisingly, this eqn has a lot of explicit solutions, unlike most of nonlinear PDE.

Another very important equation that shall appear in our discussion and which generalizes the KdV is the KP equation on  $u=u(x,y,t)$ :  
(Kadomtsev-Petviashvili)

$$u_{yy} = (u_t - \frac{3}{2} u \cdot u_x - \frac{1}{4} u_{xxx})_x$$

Goal: We shall construct a family of solutions of these equations using  $\infty$ -dim Lie algebras

Key tool: Infinite Grassmannian.

As a toy model, we start from the classical finite Grassmannian.

Setup:  $V$ -f.dim. vector space  $/\mathbb{C}$  of dim  $n$ ,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  - standard basis of  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ , integer  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .  
 $\rightarrow GL(V) \curvearrowright \Lambda^k V$  - irreducible repn with highest weight vector  $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$ .

Def 1: Let  $\Omega := GL(V)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \subset \Lambda^k V$

Lemma 1:  $\Omega$  coincides with the set of decomposable nonzero  $k$ -wedges, i.e.

$$\Omega = \{x \in \Lambda^k V \mid x = x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k \text{ for some linearly indep. } x_i \in V\}$$

Obvious.

Def 2: The  $k$ -Grassmannian  $Gr(k, V)$  of  $V$  is the set of all  $k$ -dim subspaces of  $V$ .  
 $Gr(k, V)$  has a natural structure of a projective variety, which is based on the so-called Plücker embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Pl: Gr(k, V) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k V) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\text{$k$-dim subspace $W$ with a basis } x_1, \dots, x_k) & \longmapsto & (\text{Projection of } x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k \in \Lambda^k V \text{ onto its projectivization}) \end{array}$$

Note: This is well-defined, i.e. is independent of the choice of a basis.

Exercise: Prove that  $Pl$  is injective.

We obviously get:  $\text{Im}(Pl) = \Omega / \mathbb{C}^\times \quad \left\{ \Rightarrow \text{Gr}(k, V) \cong \Omega / \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}$

Completely analogously to Lecture 8, we may define

- wedging operator  $\hat{\wedge}_i: \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} V$
- contraction operator  $\check{\wedge}_i: \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1} V$

Note:  $\forall v \in V \quad \hat{\wedge}: \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} V \quad w \mapsto v \wedge w$   
 $\forall f \in V^* \quad \check{\wedge}: \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1} V \text{ via}$   
 $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \mapsto f(v_1) \cdot v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k - f(v_2) \cdot v_1 \wedge v_3 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k - \dots - f(v_k) \cdot v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1}$

Def 3: For any  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , define the linear operator  $S: \Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^k V \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} V \otimes \Lambda^{k-1} V$  via

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\wedge}_i \otimes \check{\wedge}_i$$

Note:  $S$  is independent of the choice of a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $V$ . Exercise: Prove this!

Theorem 4 (Plücker relations): For  $\tau \in \Lambda^k V \otimes \Lambda^k V$ , we have

$$\tau \in S\mathcal{L} \iff S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  As  $S$  is independent of the choice of a basis, we may assume  $\tau = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$ .

But then  $\hat{\wedge}_i(\tau) = 0$  if  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , while  $\check{\wedge}_i(\tau) = 0$  if  $k < i \leq n \Rightarrow S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$ .

$\Leftarrow$  Assume  $S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$ .

Define the subspace  $E \subseteq V$  via  $E = \{v \in V \mid \underbrace{\hat{\wedge}_i v}_{v \neq 0} = 0\}$  (recall the wedging operator for any  $v \in V$ ) as well as the subspace  $F \subseteq V^*$  via  $F = \{f \in V^* \mid \underbrace{\check{\wedge}_i f}_{f \neq 0} = 0\}$  (recall the contraction operator for any  $f$ ). As  $\hat{\wedge}_i \check{\wedge}_j + \check{\wedge}_j \hat{\wedge}_i = f(v) \text{Id}$ , we immediately get

$$E = F^\perp := \{v \in V \mid f(v) = 0 \quad \forall f \in F\}$$

Let  $r := \dim E$ ,  $s := \dim F^\perp$ . Choose a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  of  $V$  so that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$  is a basis of  $E$ ,  $\{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$  - a basis of  $F^\perp$ . As  $(F^\perp)^\perp = F$ , we see that  $v_i^* \in F$  for  $i > s$ .

Hence:  $\begin{cases} \hat{\wedge}_i \tau = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ \check{\wedge}_i \tau = 0 \text{ for } s < i \leq n \end{cases} \Rightarrow S(\tau \otimes \tau) = \sum_{i=r+1}^s \hat{\wedge}_i \tau \wedge v_i^* \tau.$

But: The vectors  $\{\hat{\wedge}_i \tau \in \Lambda^{k+1} V\}_{i=r+1}^s$  are linearly independent

(indeed if  $\exists c_i \in \mathbb{C}: \sum_{i=r+1}^s c_i \cdot \hat{\wedge}_i \tau = 0 \Rightarrow (\sum_{i=r+1}^s c_i v_i) \tau = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=r+1}^s c_i v_i \in E \Rightarrow \text{all } c_i = 0$ )

$\Rightarrow \check{\wedge}_i \tau = 0 \text{ for } r+1 \leq i \leq s \Rightarrow v_i^* \in F \quad \forall r+1 \leq i \leq s \Rightarrow \text{Contradiction, i.e. } r=s, \text{ so that } E=F^\perp.$

Now we are ready to conclude that  $\tau \in S\mathcal{L}$ . We shall write  $\tau$  in the basis of  $\Lambda^k V$ :

$$\tau = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} c_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} \cdot v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_k}.$$

Exercise: (a)  $\hat{\wedge}_i \tau = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \Rightarrow c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = 0 \text{ if } i_1, \dots, i_k \notin \{1, \dots, r\}$

(b)  $\check{\wedge}_i \tau = 0 \text{ for } r < i \leq n \Rightarrow c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = 0 \text{ if } i_1, \dots, i_k \notin \{r+1, \dots, n\}$

Due to this simple exercise, we see that  $c_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \neq 0 \iff k=r$  and  $i_p = p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq k$

$\Rightarrow \tau$  is a nonzero multiple of  $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \Rightarrow \tau \in S\mathcal{L}$ .

One can make the above discussion coordinate-explicit.

Recall that  $\{v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_k}\}_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k}$  forms an explicit basis of  $\Lambda^k V$ .

Moreover the Plücker embedding may be described as follows:

Given a  $k$ -dim subspace  $W \subseteq V$  choose a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  of  $W$   $\Rightarrow$  decomposing  $x_i$  in the basis  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ , we obtain an  $n \times k$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ . For any subset  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

define the Plücker coordinate  $P_I := \det_{\substack{i \in I \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} (a_{ij})$  - maximal minor of  $A$ .

Theorem:  $P(\Lambda^k V)$  has coordinates  $\{P_I\}_{|I|=k}$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k V)$ .

Note:  $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k = \sum_{\substack{|I|=k \\ i=1, \dots, k}} P_I \cdot v_{i,1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i,k}$

The following is a coordinate form of Theorem 4:

Corollary 1: For  $v \in \Lambda^k V \setminus \{0\}$ :

$$v \in S \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j \in J, j \notin I} (-1)^{M(j)} (-1)^{y_j^{(I)} - 1} P_{I \cup j, J \setminus j} = 0 \quad \text{for any } I, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ with } |I|=k-1, |J|=k+1$$

$M(j)$  equals the number of els of  $I$  which are smaller than  $j$ .  
 $y_j^{(I)}$  is equal to the number of  $i$  when all els of  $J$  are ordered in increasing order.

Now we are ready to move towards  $\infty$ -Grassmannian.

First, we shall replace  $\Lambda^k V \ni v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = \Lambda^{\infty, 0} V \ni v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots = \psi_0$ .

To define an  $\infty$ -counterpart of  $S$ , we need the following definition:

Def 4: (a) Let  $M(\infty)$  denote the set of matrices  $(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that all but finitely many terms among  $\{a_{ij} - \delta_{ij}\}_{i,j}$  are ZERO (i.e.  $M(\infty) = \text{Id} + g(\infty)$ )  
(b) Define  $GL(\infty) \subseteq M(\infty)$  as a subset of invertible elements

Exercise (Hwk 5): (a)  $M(\infty)$  is a monoid under multiplication of matrices

(b)  $GL(\infty)$  is a group under multiplication of matrices

(c) There is a natural monoid action  $M(\infty) \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}^{(m)} = \Lambda^{\infty, m} V$

(d) There is a natural group action  $GL(\infty) \curvearrowright \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$  given by the same f-la.

Def 5: Define  $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{(0)}$  via  $S := GL(\infty) \psi_0$

Lemma: For any  $i_0 > i_1 > i_2 > \dots$  with  $i_k = -k$  for  $k \gg 0$ , we have  $v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots \in \Omega$

► There is a permutation  $\sigma: \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i \mapsto -i} \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $\sigma(k) = k$  for all but finitely many  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and also  $i_k = \sigma(-k) \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then,  $\sigma$  may be viewed as an element of  $GL(\infty)$  and  $\sigma \psi_0 = v_{i_0} \wedge v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge \dots$

Def 6: For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define the linear operator  $S: \mathcal{F}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(m+1)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(m-1)}$  via

$$S := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i$$

Note: For any  $w \in \mathcal{F}^{(m)} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ , the expression  $S(w)$  is well-defined as only finitely many terms of  $\{\hat{v}_i \otimes \check{v}_i (w)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are nonzero!

Theorem 5: For  $\tau \in \mathbb{F}^{(0)}$ , we have

$$\tau \in SL \iff S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

The proof of this result is analogous to fin. dim. case (and actually can be reduced) from it

Exercise (Hwk 5): Prove Theorem 5.

Recalling that in fin. dim. case, we had  $Gr(k, V) \cong \mathcal{L}/\mathbb{C}^\times$ , we are ready to give the key definition for today:

Def 7: The (semi)infinite Grassmannian  $Gr$  is defined via  $Gr := \mathcal{L}/\mathbb{C}^\times$

Identifying  $v_i \in V$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $t^i \in \mathbb{C}(t)$ , we get the following down-to-earth interpretation of  $Gr$ :

$$Gr = \left\{ E \subseteq V \text{ subspace} \mid \begin{array}{l} t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \subseteq E \text{ for } k \gg 0 \\ \text{and } \dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}(t)) = k \text{ for these } k \gg 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

## — LECTURE 10 —

Rmk 4: (a) If  $t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \subseteq E$  and  $\dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}(t)) = k$ , then  $t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \subseteq E$  and  $\dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}(t)) = r \forall r \geq k$ .

(b) If  $E \in Gr$ , then  $\exists k \gg 0$  s.t.  $t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \subseteq E \subseteq t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \Rightarrow E/t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \subseteq t^k \mathbb{C}(t)/t^k \mathbb{C}(t) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2k}$

(c) As an immediate corollary of (b), we see that

$$Gr = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} Gr(k, 2k)$$

! NOT a disjoint union, but rather a nested union!

Main Objective: Rewrite infinite Plücker relations of Theorem 5 using boson-fermion correspondence in terms of polynomials. In other words, we want to find a condition on  $\tau \in \mathbb{B}^{(0)}$  to satisfy  $S(\varsigma^*(\tau) \otimes \varsigma^*(\tau)) = 0$ , so that  $\varsigma^*(\tau) \in SL$  (here  $\varsigma: \mathbb{F}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{(0)}$ )

Recalling the quantum fields  $X(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{x}_i u^i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{v}_i u^i$ ,  $X^*(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{x}_i^* u^{-i} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{v}_i^* u^{-i}$ , we see that

$$S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0 \iff CT_u(X(u)\tau \otimes X^*(u)\tau) = 0$$

constant term = coeff. of  $u^0$

Rmk 5: (a)  $X(u)\tau, X^*(u)\tau \in \mathbb{F}(u) \Rightarrow X(u)\tau \otimes X^*(u)\tau$  may be viewed as an element of  $(\mathbb{F} \otimes \mathbb{F})((u))$

(b) For any algebra A and  $\sum a_i u^i \in A((u))$ , we set  $CT_u(\sum a_i u^i) := a_0$ .

Recalling that under the boson-fermion correspondence  $\varsigma: \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}$  the quantum fields  $X(u), X^*(u)$  on the fermionic side correspond to  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$  on the bosonic side, we arrive at

$$CT_u(\Gamma(u)\tau \otimes \Gamma^*(u)\tau) = 0 \quad \text{with } \tau \in \mathbb{B}^{(0)} = F_0 = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$$

To write down-to-earth this equality, we shall identify  $F_0 \otimes F_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[x'_1, x''_1, x'_2, x''_2, x'_3, x''_3, \dots]$

$$P \otimes Q \mapsto P(x') Q(x'')$$

Then, applying the explicit vertex operator formulae for  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$ , we may rewrite above as

$$CT_u(u \cdot e^{\sum_{j \geq 0} x'_j \cdot u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} x''_j u^j} \cdot e^{\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_j} u^j} \tau(x'_1, x''_1, \dots) \tau(x'_2, x''_2, \dots) \tau(x'_3, x''_3, \dots)) = 0$$

↑

$$CT_u(u \cdot \exp(\sum_{j \geq 0} (x'_j - x''_j) u^j) \cdot \exp(\sum_{j \geq 0} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_j}) \frac{u^j}{j}) \tau(x') \tau(x'')) = 0$$