

Theorem 5: For  $\tau \in \mathcal{F}^{(0)}$  not, we have

$$\tau \in \Omega \iff S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0$$

The proof of this result is analogous to fin. dim. case (and actually can be reduced from it)

Exercise (Hwk 5): Prove Theorem 5.

Recalling that in fin. dim. case, we had  $Gr(k, V) \cong \Omega / \mathbb{C}^k$ , we are ready to give the key definition for today:

Def 7: The (semi)infinite Grassmannian  $Gr$  is defined via  $Gr := \Omega / \mathbb{C}^\infty$

Identifying  $v_i \in V$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $t^i \in \mathbb{C}((t))$ , we get the following down-to-earth interpretation of  $Gr$ :

$$Gr = \left\{ E \subseteq V \text{ subspace} \mid \begin{array}{l} t^k \mathbb{C}((t)) \subseteq E \text{ for } k \gg 0 \\ \text{and } \dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}((t))) = k \text{ for these } k \gg 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

— LECTURE 10 —

- Prop 1: (a) If  $t^k \mathbb{C}((t)) \subseteq E$  and  $\dim(E/t^k \mathbb{C}((t))) = k$ , then  $t^r \mathbb{C}((t)) \subseteq E$  and  $\dim(E/t^r \mathbb{C}((t))) = r \forall r \geq k$ .  
 (b) If  $E \in Gr$ , then  $\exists k \gg 0$  s.t.  $t^k \mathbb{C}((t)) \subseteq E \subseteq t^k \mathbb{C}((t)) \Rightarrow E/t^k \mathbb{C}((t)) \cong t^k \mathbb{C}((t))/t^k \mathbb{C}((t)) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2k}$   
 (c) As an immediate corollary of (b), we see that

$$Gr = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} Gr(k, 2k)$$

! NOT a disjoint union, But rather a nested union!

Main Objective: Rewrite infinite Plücker relations of Theorem 5 from Lecture 9 using boson-fermion correspondence in terms of polynomials. In other words, we want to find a condition on  $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$  to satisfy  $S(\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \otimes \sigma^{-1}(\tau)) = 0$ , so that  $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega$  (here  $\sigma: \mathcal{F}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ )

Recalling the quantum fields  $X(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_i u^i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i u^i$ ,  $X^*(u) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_i^* u^{-i} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{v}_i^* u^{-i}$ , we see that

$$S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0 \iff CT_u(X(u)\tau \otimes X^*(u)\tau) = 0$$

constant term = coeff. of  $u^0$

- Prop 2: (a)  $X(u)\tau, X^*(u)\tau \in \mathcal{F}((u)) \Rightarrow X(u)\tau \otimes X^*(u)\tau$  may be viewed as an element of  $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})((u))$   
 (b) For any algebra  $A$  and  $\sum a_i u^i \in A((u))$ , we set  $CT_u(\sum a_i u^i) := a_0$ .

Recalling that under the boson-fermion correspondence  $\sigma: \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{B}$  the quantum fields  $X(u), X^*(u)$  on the fermionic side correspond to  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$  on the bosonic side, we arrive at

$$CT_u(\Gamma(u)\tau \otimes \Gamma^*(u)\tau) = 0 \text{ with } \tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$$

To write down-to-earth this equality, we shall identify  $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{F}_0 \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1', x_1'', x_2', x_2'', x_3', x_3'', \dots]$   
 $P \otimes Q \mapsto P(x') \otimes Q(x'')$

Then, applying the explicit vertex operator formulas for  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$ , we may rewrite above as

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot e^{\sum_{j \geq 0} x_j' \cdot u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'} u^j} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \geq 0} x_j'' u^j} e^{\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j''} u^j} \tau(x_1', x_2', x_3', \dots) \tau(x_1'', x_2'', x_3'', \dots) \right) = 0$$

We use the updated action ACF over there

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (x_j' - x_j'') u^j\right) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j''}\right) \frac{u^j}{j}\right) \tau(x') \tau(x'') \right) = 0$$

Corollary 1:  $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}$  satisfies  $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega$  if and only if

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_u \left( u \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (x'_j - x''_j) u^j\right) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_j}\right) \frac{u^j}{j}\right) \tau(x') \tau(x'') \right) = 0$$

We shall now simplify this equation by using the following change of variables:

$$\begin{cases} x' = x - y \\ x'' = x + y \end{cases}, \text{ i.e. we shall identify } \mathbb{C}[x'_1, x''_1, x'_2, x''_2, \dots] \text{ with } \mathbb{C}[x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots] \text{ via} \\ x'_j = x_j - y_j, x''_j = x_j + y_j$$

Note:

(a)  $x' - x'' = -2y$ , i.e.  $x'_j - x''_j = -2y_j$

(b)  $-\frac{\partial}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x''} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ , i.e.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x''_j} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}$

Hence, the above equality of Corollary 1 may be rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{T}_u \left( u \cdot \exp\left(-2 \sum_{j \geq 0} u^j y_j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{u^j}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) \tau(x-y) \tau(x+y) \right) = 0$$

We shall now simplify this equation even further, but that will require the following notation:

Def 1: For any  $P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ ,  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ , define  $A(P, f, g) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$ :

$$A(P, f, g) := \left( P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) (f(x-z)g(x+z)) \right) \Big|_{z=0}$$

Rmk 3: (a)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}, \dots \right)$

(b) For  $h(x, z) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2, \dots]$ ,  $h|_{z=0}$  denotes  $h(x, 0)$ .

(c) The right-hand side above is well-defined.

Lemma 1: (a) If  $P(x) := P(-x)$ , then  $A(P, f, g) = A(P, g, f)$

(b) If  $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  is odd, then  $A(P, f, f) = 0 \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$

(a) Obvious

(b) follows from (a)

Theorem 1 (Hirota bilinear relations): For  $\tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}$  hol, we have  $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega$  if and only if

$$(*) \quad A\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s\right), \tau, \tau\right) = 0, \text{ where we set } \tilde{x}_1 = x_1, \tilde{x}_2 = \frac{x_2}{2}, \tilde{x}_3 = \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots$$

Rmk 4: (a) Here, we view  $P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S_j(-2y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) e^{\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s}$  as an element of  $(\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, \dots])[[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]]$

(b) Likewise,  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]$  shall be also viewed as an elt of  $(\mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, \dots])[[x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots]]$

Before presenting the proof, let us recall that by the very definition of  $S_j(\cdot)$ , we have

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-2y) u^j = \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 1} 2y_j u^j\right)$$

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(\tilde{y}) u^j = \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{u^j}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right)$$

← here  $\tilde{y} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}, \dots\right)$

Proof of Theorem 1

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j u^j\right) \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{u^j}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) \tau(x+y) \tau(x-y) \right) \stackrel{\text{introduce new } t=(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots)}{=}$$

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j u^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} u^j\right) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} =$$

$$CT_u \left( u \cdot \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) u^j \right) \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(\tilde{\alpha}_y) u^j \right) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} =$$

$$\left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{\alpha}_y) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \stackrel{=}{=}$$

But: Clearly  $S_{j+1}(\tilde{\alpha}_y) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) = S_{j+1}(\tilde{\alpha}_t) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t)$

$$\stackrel{=}{=} \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{\alpha}_t) \tau(x+y+t) \tau(x-y-t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} =$$

$\exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}\right) \tau(x+t) \tau(x-t)$  by Taylor decomp.

$$\left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{\alpha}_t) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 1} y_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}\right) (\tau(x+t) \tau(x-t)) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \stackrel{\text{by Def 1}}{\underset{\text{following conventions of Remark 4}}{=}}$$

$$\boxed{A \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s\right), \tau, \tau \right)}$$

As  $\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega \Leftrightarrow S(\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \otimes \sigma^{-1}(\tau)) = 0$ , we finally see:

$$\sigma^{-1}(\tau) \in \Omega \Leftrightarrow A \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s\right), \tau, \tau \right) = 0$$

Note that the equality (\*) (see p.2) is actually a family of equalities (one for each monomial in  $y$ -variables)! In other words, for any  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots)$  (almost all of which are 0), consider the summand of (\*) with  $y$ -variables appearing in the form  $y_1^{\gamma_1} y_2^{\gamma_2} \dots$

•  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \dots = 0$ , i.e. degree 0 term in  $y$ .

Then (\*) just gives  $A \left( \sum_{x_1} S_1(\tilde{x}), \tau, \tau \right) = 0$ , which automatically holds due to Lemma 1(b) and the fact that  $x_1$ -odd.

•  $\gamma_r = 1, \gamma_s = 0$  for  $s \neq r$ , i.e. degree 1 terms in  $y$ .

The coefficient of the monomial  $y_r$  in  $\sum_{j \geq 0} S_j(-\alpha y) S_{j+1}(\tilde{x}) \exp\left(\sum_{s \geq 0} y_s x_s\right)$  equals  $x_1 x_r - 2 S_{r+1}(\tilde{x})$ . Therefore, (\*) implies in that case

$$\boxed{A(x_1 x_r - 2 S_{r+1}(\tilde{x}), \tau, \tau) = 0 \quad \forall r \geq 1}$$

Def 2: The system of above equations usually goes under the name "KP hierarchy".

Let us see what the first of these equations look like. We define  $T_r(x) = x_1 x_r - 2 S_{r+1}(\tilde{x})$

E.g.  $T_1(x) = -x_2$  (as  $S_2(x) = \frac{x_1^2}{2} + x_2$ )

$T_2(x) = -\frac{x_1^3}{3} - \frac{2x_3}{3}$  (as  $S_3(x) = \frac{x_1^3}{6} + x_1 x_2 + x_3$ )

$T_3(x) = \frac{x_1 x_3}{3} - \frac{x_4}{2} - \frac{x_2^2}{4} - \frac{x_1^4}{12} - \frac{x_1^2 x_2}{2}$  (as  $S_4(x) = \frac{x_1^4}{24} + \frac{x_1^2 x_2}{2} + \frac{x_2^2}{2} + x_1 x_3 + x_4$ )

$\Rightarrow T_1(x)$ -odd  $\Rightarrow T_2(x)$ -odd }  $\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 1(b)}} \begin{matrix} \text{the first two eq-s in} \\ \text{the KP hierarchy are tautological!} \end{matrix}$

Note that  $T_3(x)$  is not odd, and ignoring its 2 odd summands, we see that the first nontrivial equation of the above KP hierarchy reads

$$A\left(\frac{x_1 x_3}{3} - \frac{x_2^2}{4} - \frac{x_1^4}{12}, \tau, \tau\right) = 0$$

$$\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\right)^4 \cdot \frac{1}{12}\right)(\tau(x-z)\tau(x+z))\right)\Big|_{z=0} = 0$$

$$\left(\left(\partial_{z_1}^4 + 3\partial_{z_2}^2 - 4\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_3}\right)\tau(x-z)\tau(x+z)\right)\Big|_{z=0} = 0 \quad (**)$$

To transform this into PDE, we make the substitution:  $x_1 = x, x_2 = y, x_3 = t, x_m = c_m$  for  $m > 3$ .  
Set

$$u := 2\partial_x^2 \log \tau$$

Prop 1:  $\tau$  satisfies  $(**)$  iff  $u$  satisfies the KP eqn  $\frac{3}{4}\partial_y^2 u = \partial_x \left( \partial_t u - \frac{3}{2}u \cdot \partial_x u - \frac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 u \right)$

renormalized version of that eqn from Lecture 9.

Exercise (Hwk 5): Prove this result!

Corollary 2: Any element of  $Gr$  gives rise to a solution of the KP equation

As we just checked  $\tau \in \Omega \Rightarrow (**)$   $\rightarrow$  KP eqn for  $u = 2\partial_x^2 \log \tau$ . Moreover  $u$  for  $\tau$  &  $c \cdot \tau$  do coincide  
 $Gr = \Omega / \mathbb{C}^*$   $\rightarrow$  every point of  $Gr$  provides a solution of KP eqn

Corollary 3: For any partition  $\lambda$ ,  $u = 2\partial_x^2 \log S_\lambda(x, y, t, c_4, c_5, \dots)$  is a solution of the KP eqn (and actually the entire KP hierarchy), where  $c_4, c_5, \dots$  are treated as constants.

Follows from Corollary 2 together with  $\sigma^{-1}(S_\lambda(x)) = v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge v_{i_3} \wedge \dots$  (for corresponding  $i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots$ ) and the observation from Lecture 9 that all  $v_{i_1} \wedge v_{i_2} \wedge v_{i_3} \wedge \dots \in \Omega$

Next, we shall construct other solutions of the KP hierarchy.

Recall the quantum field  $\Gamma(u, v) \in (\text{End}(\mathcal{B}^{(0)})) \llbracket u, u^{-1}, v, v^{-1} \rrbracket$  given by

$$\Gamma(u, v) = \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{u^j - v^j}{j} a_j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{u^{-j} - v^{-j}}{j} a_j\right)$$

which was used in Lecture 8 (Corollary 1) to define the action  $gl_\infty \curvearrowright \mathcal{B}$ .

Let us rewrite  $\Gamma(u, v)$  in an alternative form. Recall the vertex operator expressions for  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$  of Lecture 8:

$$\Gamma^*(v) = z^{-1} \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} v^j\right) \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} v^{-j}\right) \text{ on } \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$$

$$\Gamma(u) = z \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^j\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j>0} \frac{a_j}{j} u^{-j}\right) \text{ on } \mathcal{B}^{(-1)}$$

Hence, we may express  $\Gamma(u, v)$  as the following normally ordered expression:

$$\Gamma(u, v) = : \Gamma(u) \Gamma^*(v) :$$

Let me now formulate the last key result for today, while its proof will require some technical discussions.

Theorem 2: If  $\tau \in \Omega$ , then  $(1+a\Gamma(u,v))\tau \in \Omega_{u,v} \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{C}$ , where

$$\Omega_{u,v} = \{ \tau \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}((u,v)) \mid S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0 \}$$

Rmk 5: (1)  $S$  is viewed as a map  $(\mathcal{B}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{(0)})((u,v)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}^{(1)} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{(-1)})((u,v))$  here

(2)  $\tau \otimes \tau$  is also viewed as an elt of  $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{(0)}((u,v)) \otimes \mathcal{B}^{(0)}((u,v)) \cong (\mathcal{B}^{(0)} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{(0)})((u,v))$

Corollary 4: For any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$(1+a_1\Gamma(u_1,v_1))(1+a_2\Gamma(u_2,v_2)) \dots (1+a_n\Gamma(u_n,v_n)) \mathbb{1} \in \Omega_{u_1,v_1, \dots, u_n,v_n}$$

Combining this with Proposition 1, we finally get:

Proposition 2: For any  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , set  $\tau = (1+a_1\Gamma(u_1,v_1)) \dots (1+a_n\Gamma(u_n,v_n)) \mathbb{1}$ . Then,

$u = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\log \tau)$  is a convergent series and is a <sup>("n-soliton" solution)</sup> solution of the KP hierarchy.

Example: For  $n=1$ , we get  $\tau = (1+a\Gamma(u,v)) \mathbb{1} = 1 + a \cdot e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + \sum_{n \geq 2} (u^n - v^n)c_n}$ .

Absorbing  $e^{\sum_{n \geq 2} (u^n - v^n)c_n}$  into the constant  $a$ , we may write

$$\tau = 1 + a \cdot e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t} = 1 + e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + c}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$u(x,y,t) = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \log \tau = \frac{2(u-v)^2 e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + c}}{(1 + e^{(u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + c})^2} = \frac{(u-v)^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \frac{1}{2}((u-v)x + (u^2-v^2)y + (u^3-v^3)t + c)}$$

To make this function independent of  $y$ , set  $v = -u$ , so that

$$u(x,t) = \frac{2u^2}{\cosh^2(ux + u^3t + c/2)}$$

Setting  $c=0$ , we recover exactly the soliton solution of KdV:

$$u(x,t) = \frac{2u^2}{\cosh^2(ux + u^3t)}$$

It remains to prove Theorem 2.

As we will see " $\Gamma(u,v)^2 = 0$ " in appropriate sense, so that " $1+a\Gamma(u,v) = e^{a\Gamma(u,v)}$ ".

On the other hand,  $S$  commutes with  $G(\infty)$ -action, hence,  $S$  commutes with " $e^{a\Gamma(u,v)}$ ", implying Theorem 2.

To make the above argument rigorous, we start from the following Lemma:

Lemma 2:  $\Gamma(u)\Gamma(v) = \frac{u-v}{u} : \Gamma(u)\Gamma(v) :$

$$\Gamma(u)\Gamma^*(v) = \frac{u}{u-v} : \Gamma(u)\Gamma^*(v) :$$

$$\Gamma^*(u)\Gamma(v) = \frac{u}{u-v} : \Gamma^*(u)\Gamma(v) :$$

$$\Gamma^*(u)\Gamma^*(v) = \frac{u-v}{u} : \Gamma^*(u)\Gamma^*(v) :$$

Straightforward.

If we use  $\Gamma_+(u), \Gamma_-(u)$  to denote  $\Gamma(u), \Gamma^*(u)$ , resp., then we obtain:

Corollary 5:  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}(u_1) \dots \Gamma_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = \prod_{i,j} \left( \frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i} \right)^{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j} \cdot \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}(u_1) \dots \Gamma_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)$  (with the series expanded in  $|u_1| > |u_2| > \dots > |u_n|$ )

As the matrix coefficients  $\langle w_1, : \Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}(u_1) \dots \Gamma_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) : w_2 \rangle$  are always Laurent polynomials for any  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{B}^{(0)}$ , we get to the following important result:

Corollary 6: All matrix coeff-s of  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon_1}(u_1) \dots \Gamma_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)$  are series which converge to rational functions of the form  $\prod_{i,j} \left( 1 - \frac{u_j}{u_i} \right)^{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j} \cdot P$  with  $P \in \mathbb{C}[u_i^{\pm 1}, \dots, u_n^{\pm 1}]$ .

In view of this observation, we get:

Corollary 7:  $\Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) = \frac{(u'-u)(v'-v)}{(u'-v)(v'-u)} : \Gamma'(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) :$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Gamma_+(u') \Gamma_-(v') &= \frac{u'}{u'-v'} : \Gamma_+(u') \Gamma_-(v') : = \frac{u'}{u'-v'} \Gamma(u', v') \\ \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_-(v) &= \frac{u}{u-v} : \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_-(v) : = \frac{u}{u-v} \Gamma(u, v) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \Gamma_+(u') \Gamma_-(v') \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_-(v) = \frac{u'}{u'-v'} \cdot \frac{u}{u-v} \cdot \Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v)$$

But, by Corollary 6:  $\Gamma_+(u') \Gamma_-(v') \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_-(v) = \frac{u'}{u'-v'} \cdot \frac{u'-u}{u'} \cdot \frac{u'}{u-v} \cdot \frac{v'-v}{v'-u} \cdot \frac{v'-v}{v'} \cdot \frac{u}{u-v} : \Gamma_+(u') \Gamma_-(v') \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_-(v) :$

Finally, as all matrix coeff-s are rational f-s and the latter do not have zero divisors, we get

$$\Gamma'(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) = \frac{(u'-u)(v'-v)}{(u'-v)(v'-u)} : \Gamma_+(u') \Gamma_-(v') \Gamma_+(u) \Gamma_-(v) : = \frac{(u'-u)(v'-v)}{(u'-v)(v'-u)} : \Gamma'(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) :$$

As an immediate consequence, we get

Corollary 8: If  $u \neq v$ , then  $\lim_{\substack{u' \rightarrow u \\ v' \rightarrow v}} \Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) = 0$  (i.e. all matrix coeff-s are ZERO!).

Note: This is a rigorous formulation of the aforementioned equality " $(\Gamma(u, v))^2 = 0$ ". Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.

(Proof of Theorem 2)

$$S((1+a\Gamma(u, v))\tau \otimes (1+a\Gamma(u, v))\tau) = \underbrace{S(\tau \otimes \tau)}_{=0} + a \underbrace{S(\Gamma(u, v)\tau \otimes \tau + \tau \otimes \Gamma(u, v)\tau)}_{=(\Gamma(u, v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u, v))S(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0} + a^2 S(\Gamma(u, v)\tau \otimes \Gamma(u, v)\tau)$$

But:  $S(\Gamma(u, v)\tau \otimes \Gamma(u, v)\tau) = \lim_{\substack{u' \rightarrow u \\ v' \rightarrow v}} \frac{1}{2} S(\Gamma(u, v)\tau \otimes \Gamma(u', v')\tau + \Gamma(u', v')\tau \otimes \Gamma(u, v)\tau)$

$$= \lim_{\substack{u' \rightarrow u \\ v' \rightarrow v}} \frac{1}{2} S((\Gamma(u', v') \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u', v'))(\Gamma(u, v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u, v))(\tau \otimes \tau)) -$$

$$- \lim_{\substack{u' \rightarrow u \\ v' \rightarrow v}} \frac{1}{2} S(\underbrace{(\Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Gamma(u', v') \Gamma(u, v))}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ by Corollary 8}} (\tau \otimes \tau)) = 0,$$

where again we used  $S(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x) = (x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x)S \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\infty}$