

— LECTURE 16 —

- \*Last time:
- $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightsquigarrow$  unique (up to isom.) contragredient Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ .
  - $A$ -generalized Cartan ( $a_{ii} = a, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} (i \neq j), a_{ij} = 0 \Rightarrow a_{ji} = 0, A$ -symmetrizable)  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A)$ -Kac-Moody.
  - $\nexists \mathfrak{g}(A)$ -Kac-Moody, then  $\{ \text{ad}(e_i)^{-a_{ij}} e_j, \text{ad}(f_i)^{-a_{ij}} f_j \mid i \neq j \} \subseteq \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A))$
- Thm (Gabber-Kac'81): The above el-s actually generate the kernel.
- $A$ -affine iff its symmetrization  $DA \geq 0$  (but  $DA \neq 0$ )  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A)$ -affine Kac-Moody algebra.
  - $\mathfrak{g}$ -simple f.dim.  $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -affine Kac-Moody with  $e_0 = f_0 \cdot t, f_0 = e_0 \cdot t^{-1}, h_0 = K - h_\theta$ .

Def 1: The roots of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  are el-s of the set  $\Delta := \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\langle t \rangle \mid \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \neq 0 \}$ .

- Rmk1:
- We have  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}_\pm$  is gen-d by  $\{e_i, f_i\}$
  - The existence of automorphism  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(A) \ni$  sending  $e_i \mapsto f_i, f_i \mapsto e_i, h_i \mapsto -h_i$  gives rise to analogous automorphism of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ .
  - Using autom. of (b), we see  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ .
  - For positive  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \alpha_i (k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ , the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is spanned by  $\{e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, [e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}], \dots\}$  where each  $e_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$  occurs  $k_i$  times
  - Due to (d),  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) < \infty \forall \alpha \in \Delta$

Rmk2: (a) For the case of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  ( $\mathfrak{g}$ -simple f.dim) which is  $\hat{\mathfrak{Q}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i$ -graded via  $\text{deg}(e_i) = \alpha_i = -\text{deg}(f_i), \text{deg}(h_i) = 0$ , the root decomposition of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  looks as follows:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{(\alpha, k) \neq 0 \\ \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \cup \{0\}, k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot t^k \quad \hat{\mathfrak{t}} = \{ \alpha \in K \}$$

(b) The root system  $\Delta(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})^{\subset \hat{\mathfrak{Q}}}$  is expressed via the root system  $\Delta(\mathfrak{g})$  as follows:

$$\Delta(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \amalg \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \{ \alpha + k(\alpha_0 + \theta) \mid \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \cup \{0\} \} \\ =: \delta$$

(c) The set of positive roots  $\Delta(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})^{\subset \hat{\mathfrak{Q}}}_+$  is as follows:

$$\Delta(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})_+ = \Delta(\mathfrak{g})_+ \amalg \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \{ \alpha + k\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}) \cup \{0\} \}$$

Let  $F := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  - the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with the basis  $\{ \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n \}$ .

Def 2: Define the linear operator  $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*, \alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$ , via  $\bar{\alpha}_j(h_i) = a_{ij} (i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\})$

- Rmk3:
- $[h, X] = \bar{\alpha}(h) \cdot X \forall h \in \mathfrak{t}, X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha (\alpha \in \Delta)$
  - The above map  $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$  is an isomorphism iff  $A$ -nonsingular.
  - In the case of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  ( $\mathfrak{g}$ -simple f.d.),  $\text{Ker}(F \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*)$  is 1-dim spanned by  $\delta = \alpha_0 + \theta$ .

\* Today: Representation theory of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ .

Let us first start from the case when  $A$ -Cartan matrix, so that  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -simple f.d.

• Rep. theory of simple f.d.  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$

Def 3: The category  $\mathcal{O}$  of modules over  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  is defined as follows:

Obj( $\mathcal{O}$ ) =  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $M$  satisfying:

(1)  $M$  is  $\mathfrak{h}$ -diagonalizable, i.e.  $M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M[\mu]$ ,  $M[\mu] := \{v \in M \mid h(v) = \mu(h) \cdot v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$

(2)  $\dim(M[\mu]) < \infty \ \forall \mu$

(3)  $\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  s.t.  $\text{Supp}(M) := \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid M[\mu] \neq 0\} \subseteq D(\lambda_1) \cup \dots \cup D(\lambda_m)$ , where  
 $D(\lambda) := \{\lambda - n_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 - \dots - n_r \bar{\alpha}_r \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$

Mor( $\mathcal{O}$ ) =  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module morphisms (note: it is automatic that  $M[\mu] \rightarrow N[\mu]$ )

Def 4: Consider the principal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  with  $\deg(e_i) = 1 = -\deg(f_i)$ ,  $\deg(h_i) = 0$  (arises via  $\mathbb{Q}$ -grading via  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, d_i \mapsto 1$ )

Then the above category  $\mathcal{O}$  is clearly a refinement of the old  $\deg = n$  of category  $\mathcal{O}$  (see Lecture 4)

In particular,  $\forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \rightsquigarrow$  Verma modules  $M_\lambda = M_\lambda^+$ , their irreducible quotients  $L_\lambda$  are objs of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Also any graded submodule of  $M \in \mathcal{O}$  and a quotient by a graded submodule are also objs of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Def 4: For  $M \in \mathcal{O}$ , its formal character

$$\text{ch}(M) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \dim(M[\mu]) e^\mu$$

which is an element of the ring  $\mathcal{R} := \{\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} a_\mu e^\mu \mid \text{supported on finite union of } D(\lambda)\text{'s}\}$

By condition (3) of Def 3, this definition of  $\text{ch}(M)$  is well-defined!

Example 1:  $\text{ch } M_\lambda \stackrel{\text{PBW}}{=} \frac{e^\lambda}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})}$ . In particular,  $\{\text{ch } M_\lambda\}$  form a "topological basis" of  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e. any  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  may be uniquely written as a "bounded from above" sum  $\sum b_\lambda \text{ch}(M_\lambda)$

Example 2:  $A = (2) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -weights  $\cong \mathbb{C}$  via  $\omega_1 \mapsto 1$  ( $\alpha \mapsto 2$ ). Then if we denote  $e^{\omega_1}$  by  $x$ , we get:

$$\text{ch}(M_\lambda) = \frac{x^\lambda}{1 - x^{-2}}$$

If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , then  $L_\lambda$  has the formal character

$$\text{ch}(L_\lambda) = \text{ch}(M_\lambda) - \text{ch}(M_{\lambda-2}) = \frac{x^\lambda - x^{\lambda-2}}{1 - x^{-2}} = \frac{x^{\lambda+1} - x^{\lambda-1}}{x - x^{-1}}$$

Simplest example of Weyl-Kac formula to be discussed in the next class

$$e^\mu \cdot e^\nu = e^{\mu+\nu}$$

Lemma 1: (a) If  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $M_1 \otimes M_2 \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $\text{ch}(M_1 \otimes M_2) = \text{ch}(M_1) \cdot \text{ch}(M_2)$

(b) If  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{O} \Rightarrow \text{ch}(M) = \text{ch}(N) + \text{ch}(M/N)$

(a) Follows immediately from  $(M_1 \otimes M_2)[\mu] = \bigoplus_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu} M_1[\mu_1] \otimes M_2[\mu_2]$

(b) Follows immediately from  $0 \rightarrow N[\mu] \rightarrow M[\mu] \rightarrow (M/N)[\mu] \rightarrow 0$

Exercise: Provide two modules  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $M_1 \neq M_2$ , but  $\text{ch}(M_1) = \text{ch}(M_2)$  (use Lemma 1(b))

Q: Can this be generalized to any Kac-Moody algebra?

The problem is that e.g. Verma modules are no longer in  $\text{cat } \mathcal{O}$  in general. Indeed, consider vectors  $\{h^k(v_\lambda) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}, k < 0\}$  in the Verma module  $M_\lambda$  over  $\mathfrak{g}$ . All of them have weight  $\lambda$ ! To work around this problem, we will extend the Cartan subalgebra:

Def 5: Let  $A \in \text{Mat}_{r \times r}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  be the corresponding contragredient Lie algebra.

Define  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) := \mathfrak{g}(A) \oplus \mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}D_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_r$ , where

$$[D_i, D_j] = 0, [D_i, e_i] = e_i, [D_i, f_i] = -f_i, [D_i, h_i] = 0, [D_i, (e, h, f)_j] = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i$$

Alternatively,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) = \mathbb{C}^r \ltimes \mathfrak{g}(A)$ . In particular, we have:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A) \simeq \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}D_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}D_r$$

Note:  $\dim \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} = 2r = 2 \dim \mathfrak{h}$ .

Recall that (right before Def 2) we defined  $\bar{\alpha}_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  via  $\bar{\alpha}_j(h_i) = a_{ij}$ , which allowed to view every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  as a functional on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . The corresponding linear map  $F := \mathbb{Q}_e \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$  is not isom. unless  $A$ -nondegen.

BUT NOW: We will view each  $\alpha_j$  as a functional  $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via  $\boxed{h_i \mapsto a_{ij}, D_i \mapsto \delta_{ij}} \rightsquigarrow \boxed{\mathbb{Q}_e \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*}$

Note:  $[h, x] = \alpha(h) \cdot x \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}, x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha (\alpha \in \Delta)$ .

Set as before  $F := \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}d_r$  and

$$P := \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^* \oplus F = \mathbb{C}h_i^* \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}h_r^* \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}d_r$$

Here  $h_j^*$  is viewed as a functional  $\mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via  $\boxed{h_i \mapsto \delta_{ij}, D_i \mapsto 0}$

So: We have a natural linear map  $\varphi: P \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$  and it is an isomorphism!

this follows by noticing that the matrix consisting of  $h_i^*, \alpha_i$  evaluated at  $h_j, D_j$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} I & * \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow$  nondegenerate.

! After this modification ( $\mathfrak{g}(A) \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A), \mathfrak{h}^* \rightsquigarrow \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$ ) we may define all the above notions we had for simple  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$ :

Category  $\mathcal{O}$ , Verma modules  $M_\lambda (\lambda \in P)$ , irreducibles  $L_\lambda (\lambda \in P)$ ,  $\text{ch } M$

Remark 5: In Feigin-Zelevinsky,  $P$  is the same, but they do not extend  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A)$ .

As a result, their definition of category  $\mathcal{O}$  over  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is not intrinsic, but requires an extra  $P$ -grading:  $\begin{cases} M = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} M[\mu], \dim(M[\mu]) < \infty \text{ AND } \\ \text{supp}(M) \subseteq D(\lambda) \cup \dots \cup D(\lambda_m) \end{cases}$  AND  $\begin{cases} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha M[\mu] \subseteq M[\mu + \alpha] \\ h \cdot v = \mu(h)v \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}, v \in M[\mu] \end{cases}$

Here:  $D(\lambda) \subseteq P$  is defined as  $\{\lambda - n_1 \alpha_1 - \dots - n_r \alpha_r \in P \mid n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$  for any  $\lambda \in P$ .

Also: Their  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{O})$  are  $P$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module morphisms.

Lemma 4: For  $\lambda \in P$ :  $\text{ch}(M_\lambda) = e^\lambda \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-\dim(\mathfrak{g} \cdot \alpha)} = -\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$

Follows as before from PBW.

Let us note right away that even for simple f.d.m.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  this notion of category  $\mathcal{O}$  differs from the one we started from. However, they are equivalent as explained below.

Lemma 3: For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}$  with weights in  $\lambda + F \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

- (a) The category  $\mathcal{O}$  naturally decomposes into the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{O}_\lambda$
- (b) If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \bar{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in F$  (as before  $\bar{\alpha}$  denotes corresp. elt of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ ), then categories  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}$  are naturally isomorphic.
- (c) If  $A$  is nonsingular, then every  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_0$ .

for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  - simple f.d.  $\mathcal{O}_0$  coincides with category  $\mathcal{O}$  which we defined first.

(a) Clear: For  $M \in \mathcal{O}$ , set  $M_\lambda := \bigoplus_{\mu \in \lambda + F} M_\mu$ . Then  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M_\lambda$  and each  $M_\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ . Moreover,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M, M') = 0$  if  $M \in \mathcal{O}_\lambda, M' \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}, \lambda \neq \lambda'$ .

(b) Let  $M \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2}$ . Denote by  $M'$  the module from  $\mathcal{O}$  which coincides with  $M$  as a  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -module (but not as  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A)$ -module) and  $M'_\lambda := M_{\lambda - \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 + \alpha}$ . Obviously  $M' \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}$ . Moreover, the functor  $M \mapsto M'$  establishes the isom. of categories  $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}$ . ( $M \mapsto M'$  changes the action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  by common constants)

(c) Follows from (b), since  $F \cong \mathfrak{h}^*$  - isom. if  $A$  - nongdeg.

Prmk 3: (a) If  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  - simple, then all  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  are the same (as usual category  $\mathcal{O}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ ).

(b) If  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  - affine KM, then  $F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$  has 1-dim kernel  $\Rightarrow$  image has codim = 1. Hence, there is essentially 1-parameter family  $\mathcal{O}(k), k \in \mathbb{C}$ , of categories in  $\mathcal{O}$ .

In particular, if  $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{g}$ , then this  $k$  is the level, i.e. the value of functional on  $K$ .

(c) Let us also note that while for  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  - simple f.d., its adjoint repr-n is  $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and is in category  $\mathcal{O}$ , the adjoint repr-n of general  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  doesn't belong to  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Lemma 4: (a) The center  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is  $\{ \sum \beta_i h_i \mid \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum \beta_i a_{ij} = 0 \forall j \}$ . So  $\dim(Z) = \dim(\text{Ker } A)$

(b) If  $A$  is a generalized Cartan matrix, then  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$ .

(c) If  $A$  is an indecomposable symmetrizable matrix, then any proper graded ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is contained in  $Z$ . In particular, if  $A$  - nongdeg., then  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  has no proper graded ideals.

(a) If  $x \in Z$ , then each homogeneous component of  $x$  is central  $\Rightarrow$  may assume  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbb{C}x$  is a graded ideal non-intersecting  $\mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ . Thus,  $\alpha = 0$ , i.e.  $x \in \mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow x = \sum \beta_i h_i$ .

But then  $x$  is central iff  $[x, e_j] = 0 = [x, f_j] \forall j \Leftrightarrow \sum \beta_i a_{ij} = 0 \forall j$ .

(b) It suffices to request  $a_{ii} \neq 0 \forall i$ . Then  $\{e_i, h_i, f_i\} \subset [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \Rightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$  as  $\mathfrak{g}$  is gen-d by  $\{e_i, h_i, f_i\}$ .

(c) If  $0 \neq I \neq \mathfrak{g}(A)$  is a graded ideal, then  $I = I_+ \oplus I_0 \oplus I_-$ ,  $I_\pm := I \cap \mathfrak{h}_\pm$ ,  $I_0 := I \cap \mathfrak{h} \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $I \neq Z$ . Then  $I_+$  or  $I_-$  are nonzero! (if  $I_+ = I_- = 0 \Rightarrow \exists h \in I \setminus Z \Rightarrow [h, e_i] \in I_+$  and it is a nonzero multiple of  $e_i$  for some  $i$ )

WLOG assume  $I_+ \neq 0$ . Pick a homogeneous nonzero element  $a \in (I_+)_\alpha$ . Let  $J$  be the ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  generated by  $a$ , so that  $0 \neq J \subseteq I$  and  $J \cap \mathfrak{h} \neq 0$ . The latter implies that there exist  $i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_m$  such that  $x := f_{i_1} \dots f_{i_n} e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_m} a \in \mathfrak{h} \setminus \{0\}$ .

But: then  $x$  is a nonzero multiple of  $h_{i_1} \Rightarrow \langle e_{i_1}, h_{i_1}, f_{i_1} \rangle \in \mathfrak{g}(A)$ .

If  $a_{i_1 j_1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \langle e_{j_1}, h_{j_1}, f_{j_1} \rangle \in J$  etc... Usual indecomposability of  $A \Rightarrow$  all  $e_j, h_j, f_j \in J \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \subseteq J$ .

Invariant Form

Let  $A$  be an indecomposable complex matrix. We want to classify symmetric forms

$$\begin{cases} (\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } 1) (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_\beta) = 0 \text{ if } \alpha + \beta \neq 0 \\ (\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ } 2) (\cdot, \cdot) \text{-invariant} \end{cases} \leftarrow (\cdot, \cdot) \text{ is of degree ZERO}$$

Note: We do not require  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  to be nondegenerate!

Set  $d_i := (e_i, f_i) \forall i$

Assumption:  $d_i \neq 0 \forall i$  (if  $d_i = 0$  then the form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is too degenerate to be interesting)

Note:  $(h_i, h_j) = (h_i, [e_j, f_j]) \xrightarrow{\text{invariance}} ([h_i, e_j], f_j) = a_{ij} \cdot d_j$   
 $(h_j, h_i) = (h_j, [e_i, f_i]) \xrightarrow{\text{invariance}} ([h_j, e_i], f_i) = a_{ji} \cdot d_i \Rightarrow \boxed{a_{ij} d_j = a_{ji} d_i}$

So: For such  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  to exist, we need to require that  $A$  is symmetrizable:  $(AD)^T = AD$

where  $D$  is diagonal & nondegenerate (if  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ -Kac-Moody, may choose  $D$  to have elt's of  $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  on diagonal)

Exercise: If  $A$  is indecomposable symmetrizable, then  $D$  s.t.  $(AD)^T = AD$  is unique up to a scaling.

Remark: In our previous discussions, we required  $(DA)^T = DA$  but  $(DA)^T = DA \Leftrightarrow (AD^{-1})^T = AD^{-1}$

From now on, let us assume that  $A$  is symmetrizable!

Lemma 5: If  $A$  is indecomposable symmetrizable, then there is at most one (up to scaling) symmetric invariant form  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) of degree ZERO.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  stay for  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  or  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  resp. Then  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  may be viewed as a linear map  $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  (here  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  denotes the restricted dual of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Moreover,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ -invariant iff  $\mathfrak{g}$ - $\mathfrak{g}$ -module homomorphism. As  $\mathfrak{g}$  is generated by  $\{e_i, h_i, f_i\}$ , and actually  $\{e_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , it suffices to show that  $\{\chi(e_i), \chi(f_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  are unique up to a common scalar.

But by above discussion:  $\chi(e_i) = d_i f_i^*, \chi(f_i) = d_i e_i^*$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  symmetrizes  $A$ , and by above exercise such  $D$  is unique up to a scalar.

Theorem 1: If  $A$  is an indecomposable symmetrizable, there exists a nonzero symmetric invariant form of degree ZERO on  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ .

It suffices to treat the case of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ , since having constructed such  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  its composition with the natural projection  $\mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A)$  gives rise to the claimed form on  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ . Note, in particular, that:  $I = \text{Ker}(\mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(A)) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}$ .

Note that  $\text{Ker}(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}(A)} = \mathbb{Z}$  - the center of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ . Indeed,  $J := \text{Ker}(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}$  is a graded ideal,  $J \neq \mathfrak{g}(A)$  as  $(e_i, f_i) \neq 0 \Rightarrow e_i \notin J$ . Hence,  $J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  by Lemma 4(c). But, we also have  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq J$ :  $x = \sum p_i h_i \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow \sum p_i a_{ij} = 0 \forall j \Leftrightarrow (x, h_j) = 0 \forall j \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Ker}(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}(A)}$  as  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is of degree ZERO.

It remains to construct such  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

(Continuation of proof of Theorem 1)

• Let us now construct the claimed  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}(A) \times \mathfrak{g}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We shall follow [Feigin-Zellevinsky, pp. 51-52]

For  $k \geq 1$ , set  $\mathfrak{g}^k := \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta_+ \cup \{0\} \\ |\alpha| \leq k}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , where  $|\sum k_i \alpha_i| := \sum |k_i|$

We will construct  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}^k \times \mathfrak{g}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  inductively in  $k$ .

Base of Induction:  $k=1$

$\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} e_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C} f_i$ . Set  $(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $(h_i, h_j) = \delta_{ij} a_{ij} = \delta_{ij} a_{ji}$   
 $(e_i, e_j) = (f_i, f_j) = (e_i, h_j) = (f_i, h_j) = (e_i, f_{i'}) = 0 \quad \forall i, j, i' \neq i$

Then:  $([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z])$  for any  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}^1$  s.t.  $[x, y], [y, z] \in \mathfrak{g}^1$ ,  
 which essentially boils to  $([h_i, e_j], f_{j'}) = (h_i, [e_j, f_{j'}])$ ,  $([h_i, f_j], e_{j'}) = (h_i, [f_j, e_{j'}])$   
 $a_{ij} \cdot \delta_{jj'} \cdot \delta_{ii'} \quad \delta_{jj'} \cdot \delta_{ii'} \quad -a_{ij} \cdot \delta_{jj'} \cdot \delta_{ii'} \quad \delta_{jj'} \cdot (-\delta_{ii'} a_{ij})$

Step of Induction: Constructed  $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}^k \times \mathfrak{g}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and need to extend to  $\mathfrak{g}^{k+1} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  s.t.  $|\alpha| = k+1$ , and let  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ . Then  $x$  may be written as  $x = \sum_k [a_k, b_k]$ , where  $a_k \in \mathfrak{g}^k = \mathfrak{g}^k, b_k \in \mathfrak{g}^k$ . Set:

$$(x, y) = (y, x) := \sum_k (a_k, [b_k, y])$$

• First of all, we need to verify this is well-defined, i.e.  $\sum_k [a_k, b_k] = 0 \Rightarrow \sum (a_k, [b_k, y]) = 0$ .  
 It suffices to consider  $y = [u, v], u, v \in \mathfrak{g}^k$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_k, [b_k, y]) &= (a_k, [b_k, [u, v]]) \stackrel{\text{Jacobi}}{=} (a_k, [[b_k, u], v]) + (a_k, [u, [b_k, v]]) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Induction Assumption}}{=} ([a_k, [b_k, u]], v) + ([b_k, v], a_k, u) \stackrel{\text{Induct. Assump.}}{=} (v, [a_k, [b_k, u]]) + ([b_k, v], [a_k, u]) \\ &\stackrel{''}{=} (v, [a_k, [b_k, u]]) + (v, [[a_k, u], b_k]) \stackrel{\text{Jacobi}}{=} (v, [[a_k, b_k], u]) \\ &\downarrow \\ \sum_k (a_k, [b_k, [u, v]]) &= (v, [\sum_k [a_k, b_k], u]) = 0. \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

• It remains to show that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is invariant on  $\mathfrak{g}^{k+1}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  with  $|\alpha| = k+1$ . Then, we just need to prove the following two equalities:

1)  $([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z])$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha-\beta}, y \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta, z \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_+$  with  $|\beta| \leq k$ .  
 $\triangleright \alpha - \beta \in \Delta_+$  (otherwise, both sides are ZERO), hence, the equality follows from our definition.  $\square$

2)  $([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z])$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}, z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta-\alpha}$  and  $\beta \in \Delta_+$  with  $|\beta| \leq k+1$ .  
 $\triangleright$  WLOG may assume  $x = [a, b], a, b \in \mathfrak{g}^k$ . Then:  
 $([a, b], y), z) \stackrel{\text{Jacobi}}{=} ([a, [b, y]], z) + ([a, y], [b, z]) \stackrel{\text{Induction}}{=} (a, [[b, y], z]) + (b, [z, [a, y]])$   
 $\stackrel{\text{Inductio}}{=} (a, [b, y], z) + ([b, z], [a, y]) \stackrel{\text{Induction}}{=} (a, [b, y], z) + (a, [y, [b, z]]) \stackrel{\text{Jacobi}}{=} (a, [b, [y, z]]) \stackrel{\text{Ind}}{=} ([a, b], [y, z])$

This completes our proof of Theorem 1

Recall that we started from  $F := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  sending  $d_i \mapsto \bar{d}_i$  s.t.  $\bar{d}_i(h_j) = a_{ji}$ .

Define now  $\gamma: F \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  via  $d_i \mapsto d_i^{-1} h_i =: h_{ii}$ . This is clearly a vector space isomorphism.

Def 6: For  $\alpha \in F$ , define  $h_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$  via  $h_\alpha := \gamma(\alpha)$

Lemma 6:  $\forall \alpha \in F, h \in \mathfrak{g}: (h_\alpha, h) = \bar{\alpha}(h)$

$(h_{d_i}, h_j) = (d_i^{-1} h_i, h_j) = d_i^{-1} \cdot (d_i a_{ji}) = a_{ji} = \bar{d}_i(h_j)$

Lemma 7: If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ , then  $[x, y] = (x, y) \cdot h_\alpha$ .

May assume wlog that  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ . We shall prove by induction in  $|\alpha|$ .

•  $|\alpha| = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha = d_i \Rightarrow$  just need to verify  $[e_i, f_i] = \underbrace{(e_i, f_i)}_{d_i} \underbrace{h_{d_i}}_{d_i^{-1} h_i} = h_i$ . ✓

•  $|\alpha| = k+1$ : it suffices to treat  $x = [a, b]$  with  $a \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta, b \in \mathfrak{g}_\gamma$  with  $\beta + \gamma = \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_+, |\beta|, |\gamma| \leq k$

Then:  $[x, y] = [a, b], \gamma = \underbrace{[a, \gamma], b}_{\text{Jacobi}} + \underbrace{a, [b, \gamma]}_{\text{Induction Assumption}} = (a, [b, \gamma]) h_\beta - (b, [a, \gamma]) h_\gamma$   
Invariance  $([a, b], \gamma) h_\beta + (a, [b, \gamma]) h_\gamma = (x, y) \cdot (h_\beta + h_\gamma) = (x, y) h_\alpha$  ✓

Let us now endow  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  with the principal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, i.e.  $\deg(e_i) = 1, \deg(h_i) = 0, \deg(f_i) = -1$ .  
 Then  $\mathfrak{g}(A)[0] = \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{g}(A)[\neq n] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+, |\alpha| = n} \mathfrak{g}(A)[\alpha]$ .

Lemma 8:  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is a nondegenerate  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie algebra (in the sense of Lecture 3).

As noted in the proof of Thm 1:  $\text{Ker}(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}(A)} = \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ , hence,  $\forall \alpha \in \Delta: (\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is nondeg.

Due to Lemma 7:  $\lambda(x, y) = (x, y) \cdot \lambda(h_\alpha)$ . Hence if  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is such that  $\lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0 \forall$  roots  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ , then  $\lambda(x, y): \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is nondeg.

Let us conclude by introducing the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $P := \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus F = \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}^*$

$(\cdot, \cdot): P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  via  $(\varphi + \alpha, \psi + \beta) = \varphi(h_\beta) + \psi(h_\alpha) + (h_\alpha, h_\beta)$  for  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \alpha, \beta \in F$

It is obvious that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is symmetric.

Also it is non-degenerate! Indeed, in the basis  $\{h_{d_i}, d_i\}$  of  $P$  this pairing is given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & * \end{pmatrix}$   $\leftarrow D^T A$

But: recall that  $P \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}^*$ .

Thus, above nondegenerate form on  $P$  gives rise to a nondegenerate pairing on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}$ .

Exercise: Verify that  $(D_i, D_j) = 0, (D_i, h_{d_j}) = \delta_{ij}, (h_{d_i}, h_{d_j}) = d_i^{-1} a_{jj}$

Cor 1: The extension of  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A)$  is a nondegenerate symm. invariant form.