

- LECTURE 20 -

Weyl-Kac character formula:

$$ch_{L_\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(\rho)}} \quad \leftarrow \text{for any Kac-Moody } \mathfrak{g}(A).$$

For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, we can compute $ch_{L_\lambda}(h)$ which is the same as formal $\text{tr}_{L_\lambda}(e^h)$. Hence, we have

$$ch_{L_\lambda}(h) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{(w(\lambda + \rho), h)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{(w(\rho), h)}} \quad (*)$$

TODAY: $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}_2$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(A)$.

Last time: $W = \{t_k, \tau_k t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\rho = \omega_0 + \omega_2 = \frac{1}{2}d + 2d$, $\det(t_k) = 1$, $\det(\tau_k t_k) = -1$.

We shall take a general elt h of \mathfrak{h} written in the following form:

$$h = 2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2}z d - \tau \cdot d + u \cdot K \right), \quad z, \tau, u \in \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{as } z, \tau, u \text{ vary - get all elts of } \mathfrak{h}).$$

Then, plugging this h into RHS of (*) we get a formal series in exponentials of z, τ, u , which turns out to converge to analytic functions in appropriate regions (see below!).

Def 1: Define theta functions

$$\Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u) := e^{2\pi i m u} \sum_{k \in \frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i m (k^2 \tau + k z)}$$

\leftarrow This sum converges absolutely for any z, u and $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$.

Since numerator & denominator of RHS in (*) are similar, we should compute

$$\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{(w(\mu), h)} \quad \text{for } \mu = m d + \frac{n}{2} d + \tau K.$$

$\circ w = t_k \Rightarrow \det(w) = 1$, $w(\mu) = m(d - k \cdot d - k^2 \cdot K) + \frac{n}{2}(d + 2k \cdot K) + \tau \cdot K = m \cdot d + (\frac{n}{2} - mk) \cdot d + (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \cdot K$

$$(w(\mu), h) = 2\pi i \left(z \left(\frac{n}{2} - mk \right) + m u - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)$$

$\circ w = \tau_k t_k \Rightarrow \det(w) = -1$, $w(\mu) = m d - (\frac{n}{2} - mk) \cdot d + (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \cdot K$

$$(w(\mu), h) = 2\pi i \left(-z \left(\frac{n}{2} - mk \right) + m u - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)$$

$$\underline{\text{So}}: \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{(w(\mu), h)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i m u} \cdot \left(e^{2\pi i \left(z \left(\frac{n}{2} - mk \right) - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)} - e^{2\pi i \left(-z \left(\frac{n}{2} - mk \right) - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)} \right)$$

To relate this to the above Jacobi-Riemann theta functions, we:

\circ replace k by $\frac{n}{2m} - k$ ($k \in \frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}$ now) in the first sum (corresponding to t_k), to get

$$(w(\mu), h) = 2\pi i \left(m u + m k z + (m k^2 - (\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m})) \tau \right) \Rightarrow \text{summing over all } k \in \frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}, \text{ we get}$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \det(t_k) e^{(t_k(\mu), h)} = e^{2\pi i \tau \cdot (-\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m})} \cdot \Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u) = q^{-\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m}} \cdot \Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u), \quad q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

\circ replace k by $\frac{n}{2m} + k$ (with $k \in -\frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}$) in the second sum (corresp. to $\tau_k t_k$), to get

$$(w(\mu), h) = 2\pi i \left(m u + m k z + (m k^2 - (\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m})) \tau \right) \Rightarrow \text{summing over all } k \in -\frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}, \text{ we get}$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \det(\tau_k t_k) e^{(\tau_k t_k(\mu), h)} = -q^{-\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m}} \Theta_{-n,m}(\tau, z, u)$$

$$\underline{\text{So}}: \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{(w(\mu), h)} = q^{-\tau - \frac{n^2}{4m}} \left(\Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-n,m}(\tau, z, u) \right) \quad (†)$$

Applying formula (*) to both the numerator and denominator of RHS of (*), we get:

$$ch_{L_\lambda}(h) = q^{-s_\lambda} \cdot \frac{\Theta_{n+1, m+2}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-n-1, m+2}(\tau, z, u)}{\Theta_{1, 2}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-1, 2}(\tau, z, u)}, \quad \text{where } q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

$$s_\lambda := \tau + \frac{(n+1)^2}{4(m+2)} - \frac{1}{8}$$

(follows by recalling that $\rho = 2d + \frac{1}{2}d$).

Let us consider the simplest nontrivial case $\lambda = d$ (i.e. $m=1, n=0, \tau=0$) $\Rightarrow L_\lambda = L_{\omega_0}$ - "basic representation"

Then $s_\lambda = \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{8} = -\frac{1}{24}$ and so:

Cor 1: $ch_{L_d}(h) = q^{1/24} \cdot \frac{\Theta_{1, 3}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-1, 3}(\tau, z, u)}{\Theta_{3, 2}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-1, 2}(\tau, z, u)}$

To simplify this expression, we will need the following useful product f-la for theta f-s:

Exercise: Prove

$$\Theta_{n, m}(\tau, z, u) \cdot \Theta_{n', m'}(\tau, z, u) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \bmod (m+m')\mathbb{Z}} d_j^{(m, m', n, n')}(q) \cdot \Theta_{n+n'+2mj, m+m'}(\tau, z, u),$$

where $d_j^{(m, m', n, n')}(q) := \sum_{k \in \frac{m'n - mn' + 2jm m'}{2mm'(m+m')} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{m m'(m+m') k^2}$

Lemma 1: $ch_{L_d}(h) = \frac{\Theta_{0, \pm}(\tau, z, u)}{\varphi(q)}$, where $\varphi(q) = \prod_{n>0} (1 - q^n)$

To deduce this f-la from Corollary 1, we need to prove

$$\Theta_{0, \pm}(\Theta_{1, 2} - \Theta_{-1, 2}) = q^{1/24} \cdot \varphi(q) \cdot (\Theta_{1, 3} - \Theta_{-1, 3})$$

(we omit arguments τ, z, u .)

By exercise: $\Theta_{0, \pm} \cdot \Theta_{1, 2} = \Theta_{1, 3} \cdot \sum_{k \in -\frac{1}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} + \Theta_{3, 3} \cdot \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{4} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} + \Theta_{5, 3} \cdot \sum_{k \in \frac{7}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2}$

$\Theta_{0, \pm} \cdot \Theta_{-1, 2} = \Theta_{-1, 3} \cdot \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} + \Theta_{1, 3} \cdot \sum_{k \in \frac{5}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} + \Theta_{3, 3} \cdot \sum_{k \in \frac{3}{4} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2}$

Also: $\Theta_{5, 3} = \Theta_{-1, 3}, \sum_{k \in \lambda + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} = \sum_{k \in -\lambda + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2}$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta_{0, \pm}(\Theta_{1, 2} - \Theta_{-1, 2}) = (\Theta_{1, 3} - \Theta_{-1, 3}) \cdot \left(\sum_{k \in -\frac{1}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} - \sum_{k \in \frac{5}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} \right)$$

But: $\sum_{k \in -\frac{1}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} - \sum_{k \in \frac{5}{12} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{6k^2} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m \cdot q^{(3m^2+m)/2} \cdot q^{1/24}$ (even m correspond to \pm^2 sum, odd m - to $2 \cdot \frac{m^2}{2}$)

Remains to use Euler's pentagonal identity (see e.g. [Homework 6, Problem 3c]) or our discussion next page:

$$\varphi(q) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m q^{\frac{3m^2+m}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} (-1)^m \left(q^{\frac{3m^2+m}{2}} + q^{\frac{3m^2-m}{2}} \right)$$

Remark 1: The formula $ch_{L_d}(h) = \frac{\Theta_{0, \pm}(\tau, z, u)}{\varphi(q)}$ is also clear from the explicit realization of this representation constructed in [Homework 9, Problem 4].

Exercise: Work out this computation explicitly!

Let us provide yet another proof of the equality

$$\prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - u^{k-1} v^k) (1 - u^k v^{k-1}) (1 - u^k v^k) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m u^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} v^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \quad (\diamond)$$

which upon substitution $u \mapsto q, v \mapsto q^2$ gives another proof of Euler's pentagonal identity used above. To prove (\diamond) , let us look at the Weyl-Kac denominator f.l.a. for \mathfrak{sl}_2 :

$$\prod_{\gamma \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\langle \gamma, h \rangle})^{\text{mult}(\gamma)} = \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) \cdot e^{\langle w(\rho) - \rho, h \rangle}$$

Recall that $\Delta_+ = \{d + k\delta\}_{k \geq 0} \cup \{k\delta\}_{k \geq 1} \cup \{-d + k\delta\}_{k \geq 1}$, $-d + \delta = d_0$, and δ corresponds to k^{α} under $\mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathfrak{h}$. Set $u := e^{-\langle d, h \rangle} = e^{-\langle d_0, h \rangle}$, $v := e^{-\langle \delta, h \rangle} = e^{-\langle h_1, h \rangle}$. Then, we see that:

$$\prod_{\gamma \in \Delta_+} (1 - e^{-\langle \gamma, h \rangle})^{\text{mult}(\gamma)} = \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - v \cdot u^k v^k) \cdot \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - u^{k+1} v^{k+1}) \cdot \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - u \cdot u^k v^k) = \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - u^{k-1} v^k) (1 - u^k v^{k-1}) (1 - u^k v^k)$$

If $w = t_k \Rightarrow w(\rho) - \rho = 2(d - k\alpha - k^2 \cdot K) + \frac{\alpha}{2} + k \cdot K - 2d - \frac{\alpha}{2} = -2k \cdot d + (k - 2k^2) \cdot K$
 $\Rightarrow \det(w) e^{\langle w(\rho) - \rho, h \rangle} = v^{2k} (uv)^{2k^2 - k} = u^{2k^2 - k} \cdot v^{2k^2 + k} = (-1)^m \cdot u^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} v^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$ for $m = -2k$

If $w = r_{\alpha} t_k \Rightarrow w(\rho) - \rho = 2(d + k\alpha - k^2 \cdot K) - \frac{\alpha}{2} + k \cdot K - 2d - \frac{\alpha}{2} = (2k - 1)d + (k - 2k^2) \cdot K$
 $\Rightarrow \det(w) e^{\langle w(\rho) - \rho, h \rangle} = -v^{1-2k} \cdot (uv)^{2k^2 - k} = -u^{2k^2 - k} \cdot v^{2k^2 - 3k + 1} = (-1)^m \cdot u^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} v^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$ for $m = 2k - 1$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{w \in W} \det(w) \cdot e^{\langle w(\rho) - \rho, h \rangle} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^m u^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} v^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}$$

So: The Weyl-Kac denominator f.l.a. for \mathfrak{sl}_2 implies (\diamond) .

In what follows, we shall crucially use the following character product formula:

Proposition 1: For $\lambda = m\delta + \frac{n}{2}\alpha$ ($m \geq n \geq 0$), we have:

$$\text{ch}_{L_\lambda}(h) \cdot \text{ch}_{L_\lambda}(h) = \sum_{k \in I} \psi_{m,n,k}(q) \cdot \text{ch}_{L_{\lambda + d - k\alpha}}(h), \text{ where}$$

$$I := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid -\frac{1}{2}(m-n+1) \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}\}$$

$$\psi_{m,n,k}(q) := \frac{f_k^{(m,n)}(q) - f_{n+k}^{(m,n)}(q)}{\varphi(q)}$$

$$f_k^{(m,n)}(q) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(m+2)(m+3)j^2 + ((n+1)+2k(m+2))j + k^2}$$

Exercise: Prove this formula using product f.l.a. of Θ_{μ} -functions and Lemma 1.

Now we consider the tensor product $L_\lambda \otimes L_\lambda$ of \mathfrak{sl}_2 -integrable, unitary irr. reps ($\lambda = m\delta + \frac{n}{2}\alpha$, $m \geq n \geq 0$). The resulting tensor product is a level $m+1$ and is unitary. The latter implies

$$L_\lambda \otimes L_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P_+} L_\mu^{m_\mu}, \quad m_\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ - almost all zero}$$

Here each L_μ is an integrable, unitary, level $m+1$ \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module. Exercise

Moreover, the multiplicities m_μ are uniquely determined by expressing $\text{ch}_{L_\lambda \otimes L_\lambda}$ via ch_{L_μ} . ③

According to Proposition 1, we have:

$$\text{ch}_{L_d \otimes L_\lambda}(h) = \text{ch}_{L_d}(h) \cdot \text{ch}_{L_\lambda}(h) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{I}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_{m,n,k}^j \cdot \text{ch}_{L_{d+\lambda-k-j}}(h)$$

where $\Delta_{m,n,k}^j \in \mathbb{C}$ are the coefficients of $\psi_{m,n,k}(q)$, i.e. $\psi_{m,n,k}(q) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_{m,n,k}^j \cdot q^j$.

Remark 2: (a) The representation theoretical meaning of $\Delta_{m,n,k}^j$ as a multiplicity of $L_{d+\lambda-k-j}$ in $L_d \otimes L_\lambda$ implies $\Delta_{m,n,k}^j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall j$.

(b) Above we used the fact that for $h = 2\pi i (\frac{1}{2}z d - \tau d + u k)$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\text{ch}_{L_{\mu-jk}}(h) = \text{ch}_{L_\mu}(h) \cdot \exp(2\pi i \tau \cdot j) = q^j \cdot \text{ch}_{L_\mu}(h)$$

(c) In part (b), we actually used that $L_{d+\lambda-k-j}$ remains irreducible as an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -module.

Cor 2: $L_d \otimes L_\lambda \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{k \in \mathbb{I} \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \bigoplus_{\Delta_{m,n,k}^j} L_{d+\lambda-k-j}$ as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules

For what follows, we need to determine the minimal value of j such that $\Delta_{m,n,k}^j > 0$ (for given m, n, k).

Lemma 2: Define $\tau, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ via $\tau := \begin{cases} n+1, & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ m-n+1, & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}$, $s := \begin{cases} n+1-2k, & \text{if } k \geq 0 \\ m-n+2+2k, & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}$, so that $1 \leq s \leq \tau \leq m+1$ for $m \geq n \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{I}$.

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(q) \cdot q^{-k^2} \cdot \psi_{m,n,k}(q) &= A + B + C, \text{ where} \\ A &= 1 - q^{\tau s} - q^{(m+2-\tau)(m+3-s)} \\ B &= \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{(m+2)(m+3)j^2 + ((m+2)\tau - (m+2)s)j} \cdot (1 - q^{2(m+2)sj + \tau s}) \\ C &= \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{(m+2)(m+3)j^2 - ((m+2)\tau - (m+2)s)j} \cdot (1 - q^{2(m+2)(m+3-s)j + (m+2-\tau)(m+3-s)}) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise: Prove this! (straightforward computation)

Lemma 3: Given m, n, k as above $\min_j |\Delta_{m,n,k}^j| \neq 0 = k^2$ and $\Delta_{m,n,k}^{k^2} = 1$.

By Lemma 2:

$$\psi_{m,n,k}(q) = q^{k^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \cdot (A + B + C)$$

Clearly $A + B + C = 1 - q^{\tau s} - q^{(m+2-\tau)(m+3-s)} + \text{higher powers of } q$
 $\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} = 1 + \sum_{j \geq 0} p_j q^j$ } $\Rightarrow \psi_{m,n,k}(q) = q^{k^2} + \text{higher powers of } q$

Exercise: Explain why all $\Delta_{m,n,k}^j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ without referring to representation theoretical meaning of $\Delta_{m,n,k}^j$.

Now we are ready to establish unitarity of Virasoro discrete series!

Let $U_{m,n,k}^{(j)} := \{ \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-highest weight vectors in } L_d \otimes L_\lambda \text{ of highest weight } d + \lambda - kd - j \cdot K \}$

$U_{m,n,k} := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{m,n,k}^{(j)} = \{ \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-highest weight vectors in } L_d \otimes L_\lambda \text{ of highest weight } d + \lambda - kd \}$

By above: $\dim U_{m,n,k}^{(j)} = \Delta_{m,n,k}^{(j)}$, $\text{tr}_{U_{m,n,k}}(\rho^d) = \psi_{m,n,k}(\beta)$.

Recalling the coset construction of Goddard-Kent-Olive (Lecture 13), we have a Virasoro action on $L_d \otimes L_\lambda$, which commutes with \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action and thus acts on $U_{m,n,k}$ (for each $k \in I$)

$\text{Vir} \curvearrowright U_{m,n,k}$

The central charge was computed in the end of Lecture 13 and we got: $c = 1 - \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+3)}$

Let us now compute the action of L_0 on $U_{m,n,k}$.

According to [Homework 10, Problem 2], we have $\Delta = 2(k+h^\vee)(L_0+d)$ and $\Delta_{L_0} = (j, j+2\rho) \cdot \text{Id}_{L_{j\rho}}$. Applying Goddard-Kent-Olive construction to \mathfrak{sl}_2 -modules $V' = L_d, V'' = L_\lambda$, we see that $L_0 \curvearrowright V' \otimes V''$ via (note: $h^\vee = 2$)

$L_0 = \left(\frac{(d, d+2\rho)}{2(1+2)} - d \right) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \left(\frac{(\lambda, \lambda+2\rho)}{2(m+2)} - d \right) \otimes 1 - \left(\frac{\Delta_{L_d \otimes L_\lambda}}{2(m+3)} - d \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes d \right)$
 $\frac{\lambda = m d + \frac{1}{2} d}{\rho = 2d + \frac{1}{2} d} \quad \frac{n(n+2)}{4(m+2)} - \frac{\Delta}{2(m+3)}$, where $\Delta = \text{Casimir operator } \curvearrowright L_d \otimes L_\lambda$

Recalling the above formula $\Delta = 2(k+h^\vee)(L_0+d)$ on each irreducible summand L_μ as well as $k = m+1, h^\vee = 2$, get:

$\Delta = 2(m+3)d + \Delta_0 + 2 \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathfrak{sl}_2 \\ m > 0}} a_{-m} a_m$, $\Delta_0 = \rho_j + \rho_j e + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + d + 2\rho_j e = \text{Casimir of } \mathfrak{sl}_2$

But any $v \in U_{m,n,k}$ is a h.w.t. \mathfrak{sl}_2 -vector $\Rightarrow a_m(v) = 0 \forall a \in \mathfrak{sl}_2, m > 0$. Also: $e(v) = 0$.

So: $\Delta(v) = (2(m+3)d + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + d)(v)$ for $v \in U_{m,n,k}$.

As $w(v) = d + \lambda - kd = (m+1)d + \frac{n-2k}{2} \alpha \Rightarrow (\frac{\alpha^2}{2} + d)(v) = \left(\frac{(n-2k)^2}{2} + (n-2k) \right) \cdot v$

Thus: $L_0 = \frac{n(n+2)}{4(m+2)} - d - \frac{(n-2k)(n-2k+2)}{4(m+3)}$ on $U_{m,n,k}$

$\Delta(v) = (2(m+3)d + \frac{(n-2k)^2}{2} + (n-2k))v$ for $v \in U_{m,n,k}$

But combining Lemma 3 with $\text{tr}_{U_{m,n,k}}(\rho^d) = \psi_{m,n,k}(\beta)$, we see that the minimal eigenvalue of $-d|_{U_{m,n,k}}$ is k^2 .

Cor 3: The minimal eigenvalue of $L_0|_{U_{m,n,k}}$ is

$h = k^2 + \frac{n(n+2)}{4(m+2)} - \frac{(n-2k)(n-2k+2)}{4(m+3)} = \frac{((m+3)\tau - (m+2)\sigma)^2 - 1}{4(m+2)(m+3)}$

Recall that in Sugawara construction if M is a unitary $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $\Rightarrow M$ is a unitary Vir-module (Lecture 13) \Rightarrow in coset construction if V', V'' -unitary $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}$ -modules $\Rightarrow V' \otimes V''$ is a unitary Vir-module.

Thus, $U_{m,n,k}$ -unitary Vir-module \Rightarrow Vir-submodule of $U_{m,n,k}$ generated by L_0 -eigenvector with eigenvalue h is an irreducible unitary highest weight representation of Vir with

$c = c(m) = 1 - \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+3)}$, $h = h_{\tau, \sigma}(m) = \frac{((m+3)\tau - (m+2)\sigma)^2 - 1}{4(m+2)(m+3)}$

As we vary $0 \leq n \leq m$ and $k \in I$, we get all possible $1 \leq \sigma \leq \tau \leq m+1$.

Theorem 1: For any $1 \leq \sigma \leq \tau \leq m+1$, Virasoro irreducible module $L_{c(m), h_{\tau, \sigma}(m)}$ is unitary! (5)

Remark 3: (a) For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\left(L_d \otimes \bigoplus_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ 0 \leq n \leq m}} L_{m-d+\frac{n}{2}} \right)_{\text{Vir-mod}} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{r,s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ 1 \leq r \leq m+1 \\ 1 \leq s \leq m+1}} U_{r,s}^{(m)}$, where the highest component of $U_{r,s}^{(m)}$ is $L_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)}$. - representation of the discrete series

(b) $ch_{L_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)}} \leq \frac{q^{h_{r,s}(m)}}{\varphi(q)} \cdot (1 - q^{rs} - q^{(m+2-r)(m+3-s)} + B + C)$, where B, C are as in Lemma 2.

FACT (Feigin-Fuchs) 1984: $U_{r,s}^{(m)}$ is irreducible Vir-module, i.e. $U_{r,s}^{(m)} \cong L_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)}$.

We are not going to prove this rather hard result.

Let us conclude today's lecture by proving [Lecture 11, Theorem 2]:

Theorem 2: For $r, s \geq 1$, $\det_{rs}(c, h_{r,s}(c)) = 0$, where $h_{r,s}(c) = \frac{1}{18} ((13-c)(r^2+s^2) + \sqrt{(c-1)(c-25)}(r^2-s^2) - 24rs - 2 + 2c)$
 \uparrow determinant of the Shapovalov form on degree $-rs$ component of Virasoro Verma module.

Recall: This result was crucially used in our proof of the determinant formula

$$\det_m(c, h) = K_m \cdot \prod_{\substack{r,s \geq 1 \\ rs \leq m}} (h - h_{r,s}(c))^{p(m-rs)}$$

← [Lecture 11, Theorem 3].

We have $ch_{L_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)}} \leq \frac{q^{h_{r,s}(m)}}{\varphi(q)} (1 - q^{rs} - q^{(m+2-r)(m+3-s)} + \text{higher powers of } q)$
 $ch_{M_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)}} = \frac{q^{h_{r,s}(m)}}{\varphi(q)}$ } \Rightarrow

$\Rightarrow J_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)} := \text{Ker}(M_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)} \rightarrow L_{c(m), h_{r,s}(m)})$ has a nonzero component at each level n such that $n \geq \min\{rs, \underbrace{(m+2-r)}_{=: r'}, \underbrace{(m+3-s)}_{=: s'}\}$

\cong : $\det_n(c, h)$ as a polynomial in h has a zero at each $h = h_{r,s}^{(m)}$ with $1 \leq r, s \leq m+1$ and $rs \leq n$.

Define $\varphi_{r,s}(c, h) := \begin{cases} (h - h_{r,s}(c))(h - h_{s,r}(c)), & \text{if } r \neq s \\ h - h_{r,r}, & \text{if } r = s. \end{cases}$

Then clearly $\varphi_{r,s}(c, h) \in \mathbb{C}[c, h]$ is irreducible.

By above, $\det_n(c, h)$ vanishes at infinitely many points $\{(c(m), h_{r,s}(m)) \mid m \geq \max\{r, s\} - 1\}$ as long as $n \geq rs$.
 $\Rightarrow \det_n(c, h)$ is divisible by $\varphi_{r,s}(c, h)$ for any r, s s.t. $n \geq rs$. $\Rightarrow \det_{rs}(c, h_{r,s}(c)) = 0 \Rightarrow$ Theorem 2.

