Aim Let {xn; n>1} be icid r.v.

Assume X, E L'(SZ). Set M= E[X]

Then $\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d.1} \mathcal{U}$

Strategy n-dependent rruncation

That is

(i) Assume Xn 20 a.s.

(ii) Set $Y_n = X_n \mathbf{1}(x_n \in n)$



 $P(X_n = Y_n except for a finite$ number of n's) = 1

<u>Claim</u> we just need to prove that

Proof of the claim

Ÿn → μ

 $\overline{X}_{n} - \overline{Y}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (X_{k} - Y_{k})$



Next step: Construct a concrete subsequence for Yn.

$\frac{\text{Recall}: \text{For LLN with } Y_i \in L^2(\Omega)}{\text{we had considered } Y_{n_k}}$ $\frac{n_k = k^2}{k^2}$

Here we will consider $N_k = B_k = \lfloor x^k \rfloor$ with x > 1.

Note: For the "filling the gaps" step, we will have to take & ->1



Claim We consider Bn = Land Then α....> (S'BR - E[S'RR]) ßn Z S'BR = where This claim is close to n->->

I (S'_R - E [S'_R]) → 0
 A → 0
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Method: Through B-C. We set

$B_{n}(\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{n}} \left| S_{m}^{\prime} - E \sum_{k=1}^{\prime} \right| > \varepsilon\right)$

It is enough to prove that

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n(\varepsilon)) < \infty \quad \forall \varepsilon$

A duantage: Now every $Y_k \in L^2$. We can apply Chevycheff



 $P(B_n(\epsilon)) \leq \frac{1}{B_n^2 \epsilon^2} \quad Var\left(\sum_{k=1}^{kn} Y_k\right)$

Question: we had $X'_n \le IL$ and $Y_n = X_n \land I(X_n \le n)$. Do we have Yn's II? >> le: If Z, I Zz with yn(x1-x 1(z cn) and y, yz are 2 meas functions, then Rule: $\psi_1(z_1) \parallel \psi_2(z_2)$

This can be extended to a countable family (Zr)z=1

Var (Z Yk) $\leq \frac{1}{\beta_n^2 \varepsilon^2}$ P(Bn(e)) Briez Z Var (Vk) $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(B_n(\varepsilon)) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\beta_k} Var(\gamma_k)$ Futuri $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Z \operatorname{Var}(Y_k) Z \frac{1}{n_i \operatorname{Ba} \ge k \operatorname{Ba}^2}$ elementary ineq $\leq c_1 \quad = \quad Z \quad Var(Y_k) \quad = \quad \leq \frac{c_1}{k^2} \quad = \quad Z$





Proof of Theorem 16 (2)

Proof of claim (4): We have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n \ge n)$$
$$\leq \mathbf{E}[X_1] < \infty$$

Thus (4) holds thanks to Borel-Cantelli

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

Proof of Theorem 16 (3)

Reduction of the proof: According to (4), we have

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(X_{k}-Y_{k}\right)\overset{\mathrm{a.s.}}{\longrightarrow}0$$

Hence we just need to show

$$\bar{Y}_n \stackrel{\text{a.s}}{\longrightarrow} \mu$$

Image: Image:

э

Proof of Theorem 16 (4)

Elementary relation: Let $\alpha > 1$ and $\beta_k = |\alpha^k|$. Then there exists A > 0 such that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_k^2} \le \frac{A}{\beta_m^2}$$

Brief proof of (5): Stems from

$$\beta_k \asymp \alpha^k$$
, for large k's

Image: Image:

э

(5)

Proof of Theorem 16 (5)

Claim 2 about the truncation: Write $S'_n = \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\beta_n} \left(S'_{\beta_n} - \mathsf{E} \left[S'_{\beta_n} \right] \right) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{a.s}} 0 \tag{6}$$

э

Proof of Theorem 16 (6)

Proof of (6): For $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$${{B}_{n}}(arepsilon)=\left(rac{1}{{{eta}_{n}}}\left| {{S}_{{{eta}_{n}}}^{\prime}}-{{f E}\left[{{S}_{{{eta}_{n}}}^{\prime}}
ight|>arepsilon
ight)$$

Then the following yields (6) by Borel-Cantelli:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{P}\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}(\varepsilon)\right) &\leq \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_{n}^{2}} \mathsf{Var}\left(S_{\beta_{n}}'\right) \\ &\leq \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\beta_{n}} \mathsf{Var}\left(Y_{k}\right) \\ &\leq \quad \frac{A}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}} \mathsf{E}\left[Y_{k}^{2}\right] \overset{\mathsf{Claim 3}}{<} \infty \end{split}$$

3

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

Proof of Theorem 16 (7) Proof of Claim 3: This is where we use the truncation,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{Y}_k^2 \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{Y}_k^2 \mathbf{1}_{B_{kj}} \right] \quad (B_{kj} = (j-1 \le X_k \le j))$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k j^2 \mathbf{P} \left(B_{kj} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^k j^2 \mathbf{P} \left(B_{1j} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^2 \mathbf{P} \left(B_{1j} \right) \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \mathbf{P} \left(B_{1j} \right) \lesssim 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j-1) \mathbf{P} \left(B_{1j} \right)$$

$$\lesssim \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{E}[X_1] < \infty$$

э

64 / 72

Proof of Theorem 16 (8) $\frac{1}{B_{L}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ From (6) to the theorem: The missing steps are • We have $\mathbf{E}[Y_n] \to \mu$ $\mathcal{E}[Y_n] = \mathcal{E}[Y_n] \mathbf{I}_{(X_n < n)}$ \rightarrow by monotone convergence = $\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L}_{1}]$ --> \V 2 Fill the gaps between β_n 's $Bn = L\alpha^n$, with $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ \hookrightarrow Similar to Proposition 15 Signed sequence, also like in Proposition 15: **Write** $X_n = X_n^+ - X_n^-$ O Apply positive sequence case to both X_n^+ and X_n^- This is allowed since X_n^{\pm} i.i.d with $\mathbf{E}[X_1^{\pm}] < \infty$ Conclusion: We have

$$X_1 \in L^1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \bar{X}_n \stackrel{\mathrm{a.s.}}{\longrightarrow} \mu$$

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



Hence

$$\mathsf{E}[|X_1|] \stackrel{\mathsf{Problem 4.14.3}}{\leq} 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{P}\left(|X_1| \geq n\right) < \infty$$

66 / 72

(A) → (A