

Review session - MA 538 - Midterm

Problem 6. Let $X = \{X_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a stochastic process such that for $k \geq 2$ and $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_k$, the random variables $(\delta X_{n_j n_{j+1}})_{0 \leq j \leq k-1}$ are independent (here we have set $\delta X_{n_j n_{j+1}} = X_{n_{j+1}} - X_{n_j}$). We also assume that $X_0 = 0$. Show that for all $0 \leq m < n < \infty$, the random variable δX_{mn} is in fact independent of the whole σ -field $\mathcal{F}_m^X = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_m)$.

Known information

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\delta X_{0,1} \in A_1, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m} \in A_m, \delta X_{mn} \in A_n) \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(\delta X_{j,j+1} \in A_{j+1}) \quad \times \quad \mathbb{P}(\delta X_{mn} \in A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Definition of a π -system we set

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ B \equiv (\delta X_{0,1} \in A_1, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m} \in A_m); \right. \\ \left. A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

One can prove easily that

$$B, \hat{B} \in \mathcal{P} \quad \Rightarrow \quad B \cap \hat{B} \in \mathcal{P}$$

Definition of a δ -system For $C \in \mathcal{B}(M)$,
set

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ B ; \mathbb{P}(B \cap (\delta X_{mn} \in C)) \right. \\ \left. = \mathbb{P}(B) \mathbb{P}(\delta X_{mn} \in C) \right\}$$

Then \mathcal{B} is a δ -system

Application of Dynkin

Since $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{P}$, we also have

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \sigma(\mathcal{P})$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \supset \sigma(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m})$$

Thus $\delta X_{mn} \perp\!\!\!\perp \sigma(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m})$

Statement with $\sigma(X_1, \dots, X_m)$ we still
need to prove

$$\sigma(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m}) = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_m)$$

In fact we will prove, for a r.v. Y

$$Y \in \sigma(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m}) \Leftrightarrow Y \in \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_m)$$

If $Y \in \sigma(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m})$ There exists a measurable ψ such that

$$Y = \psi(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m})$$

Thus

$$Y = \psi(x_1, x_2 - x_1, \dots, x_m - x_{m-1})$$

This is of the form

$$Y = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m),$$

for a measurable φ . Hence

$$Y \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

If $Y \in \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ In the same way, we have, for a measurable f ,

$$Y = f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

Then

$$Y = f(\delta X_{0,1}, \delta X_{0,1} + \delta X_{1,2}, \dots, \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \delta X_{j,j+1}) \\ = g(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m}), \text{ with } g \text{ measurable}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \in \sigma(\delta X_{0,1}, \dots, \delta X_{m-1,m})$$

Problem 14. Give a rigorous proof that $\mathbf{E}[XY] = \mathbf{E}[X]\mathbf{E}[Y]$ for any pair X, Y of independent non-negative random variables in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Hint: For $k \geq 0, n \geq 1$, define $X_n = k/n$ if $k/n \leq X < (k+1)/n$, and similarly for Y_n . Show that X_n and Y_n are independent, and $X_n \leq X$, and $Y_n \leq Y$. Deduce that $\mathbf{E}[X_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}[X]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}[Y]$, and also $\mathbf{E}[X_n Y_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}[XY]$.

Computation for X_n, Y_n X_n, Y_n are discrete r.v. Hence

$$\mathbf{E}[X_n Y_n] = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{n} \frac{k}{n} \mathbb{P}(X \in I_j^n, Y \in I_k^n),$$

where we have set

$$I_j^n = \left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n} \right).$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(X \in I_j^n, Y \in I_k^n) \\ & \stackrel{X \perp Y}{=} \mathbb{P}(X \in I_j^n) \mathbb{P}(Y \in I_k^n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[X_n Y_n] &= \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{n} \frac{k}{n} \mathbb{P}(X \in I_j^n) \mathbb{P}(Y \in I_k^n) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{n} \mathbb{P}(X \in I_j^n) \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} \mathbb{P}(Y \in I_k^n) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[X_n] \mathbf{E}[Y_n]$$

Note we have $X_n \perp Y_n$. Indeed,

$$X_n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{n} \mathbb{1}_{\left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right]}(X) = \varphi_n(X),$$

where φ_n is measurable. We also have $Y_n = \varphi_n(Y)$. Hence

$$X \perp Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi_n(X) \perp \varphi_n(Y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad X_n \perp Y_n$$

Taking limits we have seen that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n Y_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n] \mathbb{E}[Y_n]$$

One can take monotone convergence on both sides and get

$$\mathbb{E}[XY] = \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

33.2. Let $\{X_n\}$ be a stationary Markov chain on the positive integers with transition probabilities

$$p_{jk} = \begin{cases} \frac{j}{j+2} & \text{if } k = j + 1 \\ \frac{2}{j+2} & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

- (1) Find the stationary distribution of the chain, and show that it has infinite mean.
- (2) Show that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} X_r/r \leq 1$ almost surely.

Stationary distribution of the form
 $\{\pi_k; k \geq 1\}$ such that

$$\pi P = \pi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j p_{jk} = \pi_k \quad \forall j \geq 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \pi_{k-1} \frac{k-1}{k+1} = \pi_k & \text{for } j \geq 2 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j \frac{2}{j+1} = \pi_1 \end{cases}$$

Solving the system we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k &= \frac{k-1}{k+1} \pi_{k-1} = \frac{k-1}{k+1} \frac{k-2}{k} \pi_{k-2} \\ &= \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{(k+1)k} \frac{(k-3)}{(k-1)} \pi_{k-3} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} j}{\prod_{j=3}^{k+1} j} \pi_1 = \frac{2}{(k+1)k} \pi_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_k = \frac{2}{k(k+1)} \pi_1$$