

SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 11

1. (a) One has

$$[\sqrt{5}] = 2, \quad 1/(\sqrt{5} - 2) = \sqrt{5} + 2,$$

$$[\sqrt{5} + 2] = 4, \quad 1/((\sqrt{5} + 2) - 4) = 1/(\sqrt{5} - 2) = \sqrt{5} + 2,$$

and we obtain repetition. Thus $\sqrt{5} = [2; \overline{4}]$.

Also, one has

$$[\sqrt{6}] = 2, \quad 1/(\sqrt{6} - 2) = (\sqrt{6} + 2)/2,$$

$$[(\sqrt{6} + 2)/2] = 2, \quad 1/((\sqrt{6} + 2)/2 - 2) = 2/(\sqrt{6} - 2) = \sqrt{6} + 2,$$

$$[\sqrt{6} + 2] = 4, \quad 1/((\sqrt{6} + 2) - 4) = 1/(\sqrt{6} - 2) = (\sqrt{6} + 2)/2,$$

and we obtain repetition. Thus $\sqrt{6} = [2; \overline{2, 4}]$.

(b) One has

$$[\sqrt{54}] = 7, \quad 1/(\sqrt{54} - 7) = (\sqrt{54} + 7)/5,$$

$$[(\sqrt{54} + 7)/5] = 2, \quad 1/((\sqrt{54} + 7)/5 - 2) = 5/(\sqrt{54} - 3) = (\sqrt{54} + 3)/9,$$

$$[(\sqrt{54} + 3)/9] = 1, \quad 1/((\sqrt{54} + 3)/9 - 1) = 9/(\sqrt{54} - 6) = (\sqrt{54} + 6)/2,$$

$$[(\sqrt{54} + 6)/2] = 6, \quad 1/((\sqrt{54} + 6)/2 - 6) = 2/(\sqrt{54} - 6) = (\sqrt{54} + 6)/9,$$

$$[(\sqrt{54} + 6)/9] = 1, \quad 1/((\sqrt{54} + 6)/9 - 1) = 9/(\sqrt{54} - 3) = (\sqrt{54} + 3)/5,$$

$$[(\sqrt{54} + 3)/5] = 2, \quad 1/((\sqrt{54} + 3)/5 - 2) = 5/(\sqrt{54} - 7) = \sqrt{54} + 7,$$

$$[\sqrt{54} + 7] = 14, \quad 1/((\sqrt{54} + 7) - 14) = 1/(\sqrt{54} - 7) = (\sqrt{54} + 7)/5,$$

and we obtain repetition. Thus $\sqrt{54} = [7; \overline{2, 1, 6, 1, 2, 14}]$.

2. One has

$$[\sqrt{69}] = 8, \quad 1/(\sqrt{69} - 8) = (\sqrt{69} + 8)/5,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 8)/5] = 3, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 8)/5 - 3) = 5/(\sqrt{69} - 7) = (\sqrt{69} + 7)/4,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 7)/4] = 3, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 7)/4 - 3) = 4/(\sqrt{69} - 5) = (\sqrt{69} + 5)/11,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 5)/11] = 1, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 5)/11 - 1) = 11/(\sqrt{69} - 6) = (\sqrt{69} + 6)/3,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 6)/3] = 4, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 6)/3 - 4) = 3/(\sqrt{69} - 6) = (\sqrt{69} + 6)/11,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 6)/11] = 1, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 6)/11 - 1) = 11/(\sqrt{69} - 5) = (\sqrt{69} + 5)/4,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 5)/4] = 3, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 5)/4 - 3) = 4/(\sqrt{69} - 7) = (\sqrt{69} + 7)/5,$$

$$[(\sqrt{69} + 7)/5] = 3, \quad 1/((\sqrt{69} + 7)/5 - 3) = 5/(\sqrt{69} - 8) = \sqrt{69} + 8,$$

$$[\sqrt{69} + 8] = 16, \quad 1/(\sqrt{69} - 8) = (\sqrt{69} + 8)/5,$$

and we obtain repetition. Thus $\sqrt{69} = [8; \overline{3, 3, 1, 4, 1, 3, 3, 16}]$.

Also, one has

$$[(24 - \sqrt{15})/7] = 2, \quad 1/((24 - \sqrt{15})/7 - 2) = 7/(10 - \sqrt{15}) = 7(10 + \sqrt{15})/85,$$

$$[7(10 + \sqrt{15})/85] = 1, \quad 1/(7(10 + \sqrt{15})/85 - 1) = 85/(-15 + 7\sqrt{15}) = (15 + 7\sqrt{15})/6,$$

$[(15+7\sqrt{15})/6] = 7$, $1/((15+7\sqrt{15})/6-7) = 6/(-27+7\sqrt{15}) = 27+7\sqrt{15}$,
 $[27+7\sqrt{15}] = 54$, $1/((27+7\sqrt{15})-54) = 1/(-27+7\sqrt{15}) = (27+7\sqrt{15})/6$,
 $[(27+7\sqrt{15})/6] = 9$, $1/((27+7\sqrt{15})/6-9) = 6/(-27+7\sqrt{15}) = 27+7\sqrt{15}$,
and we obtain repetition. Thus $(24-\sqrt{15})/7 = [2; 1, 7, \overline{54, 9}]$.

3. Write $\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2025^{-n!}$. For each natural number j , write $q_j = 2025^{j!}$ and

$$a_j = 2025^{j!} \sum_{n=0}^j 2025^{-n!}.$$

Then both a_j and q_j are natural numbers with $(a_j, q_j) = 1$, and

$$|\theta - a_j/q_j| = \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} 2025^{-n!} < 2025^{1-(j+1)!} < q_j^{-j}.$$

If θ were algebraic, then it would be algebraic of some degree $d \geq 1$. By Liouville's theorem, for some positive number c , one would have $|\theta - a/q| > c/q^d$ for every pair of natural numbers a and q with $(a, q) = 1$ and q large enough. But the above upper bound contradicts this lower bound as soon as $j > d$ and j is large enough in terms of c . Hence θ is transcendental.

4. Write $\Theta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-p_n\#}$. For each natural number j , write $q_j = 2^{p_j\#}$ and

$$a_j = 2^{p_j\#} \sum_{n=1}^j 2^{-p_n\#}.$$

Then both a_j and q_j are natural numbers with $(a_j, q_j) = 1$, and

$$|\Theta - a_j/q_j| = \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} 2^{-p_n\#} < 2^{1-p_{j+1}\#} < q_j^{-j}.$$

Notice here that we use the trivial lower bound $p_{j+1} \geq j+1$ to derive the last of these inequalities. If Θ were algebraic, then it would be algebraic of some degree $d \geq 1$. By Liouville's theorem, for some positive number c , one would have $|\Theta - a/q| > c/q^d$ for every pair of natural numbers a and q with $(a, q) = 1$ and q large enough. But the above upper bound contradicts this lower bound as soon as $j > d$ and j is large enough in terms of c . Hence Θ is transcendental.

5. (a) Write

$$I_n = \int_0^1 x^n e^x dx.$$

Then, by integrating by parts, one finds that when $n \geq 1$, one has

$$I_n = [x^n e^x]_0^1 - n \int_0^1 x^{n-1} e^x dx = e - nI_{n-1}.$$

Meanwhile, one has $I_0 = e - 1$. Then it follows by induction that for each natural number n , there are integers A_n and B_n for which $I_n = A_n e - B_n$. On the other hand, the positivity of the integrand ensures that $A_n e - B_n = I_n > 0$.

Finally, when $0 < x < 1$, one has $0 < x^n e^x < e x^n$, so that $x^n e^x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 x^n e^x dx = 0,$$

and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n e - B_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_n = 0$. However, were e to be rational, then one would have natural numbers b and k with $(b, k) = 1$ such that $e = b/k$, and then since $A_n e - B_n > 0$, we see that $A_n e - B_n \geq 1/k$. This shows that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n e - B_n) \geq 1/k$, in contradiction with the conclusion $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n e - B_n) = 0$. Hence e must be irrational.

(b) Write

$$J_n = \int_{-1}^1 x^n e^x dx.$$

Then, by integrating by parts, one finds that when $n \geq 1$, one has

$$J_n = [x^n e^x]_{-1}^1 - n \int_{-1}^1 x^{n-1} e^x dx = e - (-1)^n e^{-1} - n J_{n-1}.$$

Meanwhile, one has $J_0 = e - e^{-1}$. Then it follows by induction that for each natural number n , there are integers C_n and D_n for which $J_n = C_n e - D_n e^{-1}$. On the other hand, the positivity of the integrand ensures that $C_n e - D_n e^{-1} = J_n > 0$. Finally, when $-1 < x < 1$, one has $0 < |x^n e^x| < e |x|^n$, so that $x^n e^x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 x^n e^x dx = 0,$$

and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n e^2 - D_n) = e \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_n = 0$. However, were e^2 to be rational, then one would have natural numbers c and l with $(c, l) = 1$ such that $e^2 = c/l$, and then since $C_n e^2 - D_n > 0$, we see that $C_n e^2 - D_n \geq 1/l$. This shows that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n e^2 - D_n) \geq 1/l$, in contradiction with the conclusion $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_n e^2 - D_n) = 0$. Hence e^2 must be irrational.

©Trevor D. Wooley, Purdue University 2025. This material is copyright of Trevor D. Wooley at Purdue University unless explicitly stated otherwise. It is provided exclusively for educational purposes at Purdue University, and is to be downloaded or copied for your private study only.