SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 2

1. (i) Use the Euclidean algorithm:

$$3991 = 2025 \cdot 1 + 1966$$

$$2025 = 1966 \cdot 1 + 59$$

$$1966 = 59 \cdot 33 + 19$$

$$59 = 19 \cdot 3 + 2$$

$$19 = 2 \cdot 9 + 1$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot 1 + 0.$$

Then identifying the last non-zero remainder, we find that (3991, 2025) = 1. (ii) Now we work backwards.

$$1 = 19 - 2 \cdot 9 = 19 - (59 - 19 \cdot 3) \cdot 9 = 19 \cdot 28 - 59 \cdot 9$$

= (1966 - 59 \cdot 33) \cdot 28 - 59 \cdot 9 = 1966 \cdot 28 - 59 \cdot 933
= 1966 \cdot 28 - (2025 - 1966 \cdot 1) \cdot 933 = 1966 \cdot 961 - 2025 \cdot 933
= (3991 - 2025 \cdot 1) \cdot 961 - 2025 \cdot 933 = 3991 \cdot 961 - 2025 \cdot 1894.

Then $1 = 3991 \cdot (961) + 2025 \cdot (-1894)$, and so (x, y) = (961, -1894) is a solution of the equation 3991x + 2025y = 1.

(iii) If n is of the form 15x + 39y, then necessarily 3|n. We can solve 3m + 91z = 1 by using the Euclidean algorithm (or directly!): you may check that $3 \cdot (-30) + 91 \cdot 1 = 1$. Now we solve $15x + 39y = 3 \cdot (-30)$. By the Euclidean algorithm (or otherwise!), we may find the solution (x, y) = (8, -3) to the equation 15x + 39y = 3, and hence $15 \cdot 8 \cdot (-30) + 39 \cdot (-3) \cdot (-30) = 3 \cdot (-30)$. So $15 \cdot (-240) + 39 \cdot 90 + 91 \cdot 1 = 1$, and a suitable solution is (x, y, z) = (-240, 90, 1)

2. Since (a, b) = 111, one has 111|a and 111|b, say a = 111A and b = 111B. Then (A, B) = 1 and [111A, 111B] = 999, whence [A, B] = 9 and AB = (A, B)[A, B] = 9. The latter implies that A|9 and B|9, so that $A, B \in \{1, 3, 9\}$. But (A, B) = 1 and AB = 9, so $\{A, B\} = \{1, 9\}$. Then (a, b) must be one of (111, 999) and (999, 111), both of which satisfy (a, b) = 111 and [a, b] = 999.

3. (i) The prime factorisation of a positive integer may be written uniquely in the form $n = \prod_{p|n} p^{r(p)}$, with the r(p) positive integers. By the division algorithm, there are unique integers c(p) and d(p) with r(p) = 2c(p) + d(p)and d(p) = 0 or 1, for each p. But then n can be written uniquely in the form n = ab, where $b = \left(\prod_{p|n} p^{c(p)}\right)^2$ and $a = \prod_{p|n} p^{d(p)}$. The proof is completed by noting that a is squarefree, for otherwise, if $m^2|a$ with m > 1, then $q^2|a$ with q a prime divisor of m, contradicting the prime factorisation of a.

(ii) Suppose that n is a squarefull number, and that for each prime number p dividing n, the largest power of p dividing n is p^{r_p} . Then one has $r_p \ge 2$, so

that for some $k_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has $r_p = 3k_p + s_p$ for some $s_p \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Each element in the latter set may be written in the form $s_p = 2u_p + 3v_p$, with $u_p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $v_p \in \{0, 1\}$. Then

$$n = \prod_{p|n} p^{r_p} = \left(\prod_{p|n} p^{u_p}\right)^2 \left(\prod_{p|n} p^{k_p + v_p}\right)^3,$$

and the desired conclusion is now immediate.

4. (i) All primes exceeding 3 have the form 3k + 1 or 3k + 2. Suppose that there are just finitely many prime numbers of the shape 3k + 2. Let the set of all such primes exceeding 3 be $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$, and put $Q = 6p_1 \ldots p_n - 1$. Plainly, one cannot have $p_i | Q$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Further, neither 2 nor 3 divides Q. If the only primes dividing Q were of the form 3k + 1, then Qwould itself be of the form 3k + 1, which is not the case. So Q must have a prime factor of the form 3k + 2 that is not one of p_1, \ldots, p_n . This contradicts our assumption that the latter are the only primes of such shape. So there are infinitely many primes of the shape 3k + 2.

(ii) All primes exceeding 2 have the form $8k \pm a$ with a = 1 or 3. Suppose that all large enough primes are of the form $8k \pm 1$, so that there are only finitely many of the form $8k \pm 3$. Let the set of all such primes exceeding 3 be $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$, and put $Q = 8p_1 \ldots p_n - 3$. Plainly, one cannot have $p_i|Q$ for any i with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Further, one sees that neither 2 nor 3 divides Q. If the only primes dividing Q were of the form $8k \pm 1$, then Q would itself be of the form $8k \pm 1$, which is not the case. So Q must have a prime factor of the form $8k \pm 3$ that is not one of p_1, \ldots, p_n . This contradicts our assumption that the latter are the only primes of such shape. So there are infinitely many primes not of the shape $8k \pm 1$, and the answer is "no!".

5^{*} [Hard]. Write $a_i = (2b_i + 1)2^{c_i}$, with $b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $1 \leq 2b_i + 1 < 2n$ for each *i*, and hence $0 \leq b_i \leq n - 1$ for each *i*. Now if for any i < j we have $b_i = b_j$, then since $a_i < a_j$, we have $c_i < c_j$, and so $a_i|a_j$, which is a contradiction. So $b_i \neq b_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then since there are at most *n* distinct choices for b_i , there are at most *n* elements a_i , that is, one has $k \leq n$.

Suppose that k = n, and that m is the integer satisfying $3^m < 2n < 3^{m+1}$. By the preceding argument, we see that for each integer j with $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, there is an i with $b_i = j$. Let d be maximal with $(2b_1 + 1)3^d < 2n$, and consider the (distinct) indices $1 < i_1, \ldots, i_d \leq k$ with $2b_{i_r} + 1 = 3^r(2b_1 + 1)$, for each integer r with $1 \leq r \leq d$. Now, if $c_{i_r} \leq c_{i_{r+1}}$, then $a_{i_r}|a_{i_{r+1}}$. Then we must have $c_1 > c_{i_1} > \cdots > c_{i_d}$, whence $c_1 \geq d$. Since $(2b_1 + 1)3^{d+1} > 2n$, moreover, we have $3^m < 2n < (2b_1 + 1)3^{d+1}$. So $2b_1 + 1 > 3^{m-d-1}$, that is, $2b_1 \geq 3^{m-d-1}$. Now for each positive integer k, one has $3^{k-2} + 1 \geq 2^{k-1}$, and so $a_1 = (2b_1 + 1)2^{c_1} \geq 2^{m-d}2^d = 2^m$.

©Trevor D. Wooley, Purdue University 2025. This material is copyright of Trevor D. Wooley at Purdue University unless explicitly stated otherwise. It is provided exclusively for educational purposes at Purdue University, and is to be downloaded or copied for your private study only.