

# UNIVALENT APPROXIMATION BY FOURIER SERIES OF STEP FUNCTIONS

ALLEN WEITSMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove that univalent harmonic mappings can be approximated by univalent Fourier series of step functions.

**Keywords:** univalent harmonic mapping, approximation

**MSC:** 49Q05

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Fourier series of step functions arise in substantial ways both with regard to extremal properties of univalent harmonic mappings (cf. [4, pp, 59-72]), and in connection with minimal surfaces [8], [2]. A detailed study of the Fourier series of step functions was made by Sheil-Small in [11]. In this note we shall provide further information regarding these mappings.

In addition to the usual notation  $S_H$  and  $S_H^o$  used for univalent harmonic mappings and normalized univalent harmonic mappings in the unit disk  $U$  as in [3], we shall use:

$B(n)$  to denote the Poisson integrals of step functions  $f$  on  $\partial U$  with at most  $n$  steps, which map univalently onto positively oriented Jordan polygons,

$B_n$  to denote those  $f \in B(n)$  and normalized by  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f_z(0) = 1$ ,

$\overline{B_n}$  to denote the closure of  $B_n$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets,

$B_n^o$  to denote those  $f \in B_n$  with  $f_{\bar{z}}(0) = 0$ ,

$\overline{B_n^o}$  to denote the closure of  $B_n^o$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets.

Note that in analogy with [3, p.7],  $\overline{B_n}$  consists of all functions

$$f = f_o + c\overline{f_o} \quad (f_o \in B_n^o, \quad |c| \leq 1).$$

## 2. THE APPROXIMATION THEOREM

**Theorem 1.** *If  $F \in S_H^o$ , then  $F$  can be approximated uniformly on compact subsets by functions in  $B_n^o$ .*

It may seem that Theorem 1 should be proved simply by interpolating  $F(tz)/t$  by Poisson integrals of step functions. However, the task of making such approximations univalent in  $U$  seems daunting. Therefore, we shall use the tools involving first order elliptic systems as developed in [1] and [10].

Consider a first order system

$$(2.1) \quad u_x = a_{11}(x, y)v_x + a_{12}(x, y)v_y, \quad -u_y = a_{21}(x, y)v_x + a_{22}(x, y)v_y.$$

By a theorem of Bers and Nirenberg [1, p.132] we have

**Theorem A.** *If the coefficients of the system (2.1) are defined and continuous in a Jordan domain  $D$  and if the coefficients satisfy the ellipticity conditions*

$$(2.2) \quad 4a_{12}a_{21} - (a_{11} + a_{22})^2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{12} > 0,$$

*then, given a Jordan domain  $\Lambda$ , there exists a solution  $w = u + iv$  which is a homeomorphism of the closure of  $D$  onto the closure of  $\Lambda$  and takes three given boundary points of  $D$  onto three assigned boundary points on the boundary of  $\Lambda$ .*

This will be supplemented with a result of McLeod, Gergen, and Dressel [10, p. 174].

**Theorem B.** *Let  $D$  be bounded by a continuously differentiable Jordan curve and suppose that the coefficients  $a_{ij}$  in (2.1) are continuously differentiable in  $D$  and continuous on  $\overline{D}$ . Assume the system satisfies the ellipticity conditions (2.2), and let  $u_1, v_1$  and  $u_2, v_2$  be solution pairs of the system. If  $F_1 = u_1 + iv_1$  and  $F_2 = u_2 + iv_2$  are both continuously differentiable on  $D$  and continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , mapping  $D$  homeomorphically onto a set  $T$  such that three distinct points on  $\partial D$  correspond to the same three points on  $\partial T$ , then  $F_1 \equiv F_2$  in  $D$ .*

We shall apply Theorem A and Theorem B to univalent harmonic mappings in  $U$  which satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \overline{f_z(z)} = a(z)f_z(z),$$

where the dilatation  $a(z)$  is analytic in  $U$  and satisfies the condition  $|a(z)| \leq k < 1$ .

Writing  $f = u + iv$  and  $a = a_1 + ia_2$  with  $|a(z)| \leq k < 1$  in  $U$ , (2.3) becomes

$$u_x - iv_x - i(u_y - iv_y) = (a_1 + ia_2)(u_x + iv_x - i(u_y + iv_y))$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} u_x - v_y &= a_1(u_x + v_y) - a_2(v_x - u_y) \\ -u_y - v_x &= a_1(v_x - u_y) + a_2(u_x + v_y). \end{aligned}$$

Solving these equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= \frac{2a_2}{-a_2^2 - (1 - a_1)^2}v_x + \frac{a_1^2 - 1 + a_2^2}{-a_2^2 - (1 - a_1)^2}v_y \\ -u_y &= \frac{-a_2^2 + 1 - a_1^2}{a_2^2 + (1 - a_1)^2}v_x + \frac{2a_2}{a_2^2 + (1 - a_1)^2}v_y, \end{aligned}$$

and thus the conditions (2.2) are satisfied.

Our procedure will also rely on the work of Hengartner and Schober; in particular [7, Theorem 4.3].

**Theorem C.** *Let  $D$  be a bounded simply connected domain whose boundary is locally connected. Suppose that  $f$  is a univalent harmonic orientation preserving mapping from  $U$  into  $D$  for which the radial limits  $\hat{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$  belong to  $\partial D$  for almost every  $\theta$ . Then there exists a countable set  $E \subseteq \partial U$  such that*

- (a) *the unrestricted limit  $\hat{f}(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}} f(z)$  exists, is continuous, and belongs to  $\partial D$  for  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial U \setminus E$ ,*  
 (b)  *$\lim_{\theta \uparrow \theta_0} \hat{f}(e^{i\theta})$  and  $\lim_{\theta \downarrow \theta_0} \hat{f}(e^{i\theta})$  exist, are different, and belong to  $\partial D$  for  $e^{i\theta} \in E$ ,*  
 (c) *the cluster set of  $f$  at  $e^{i\theta} \in E$  is a straight line segment joining  $\lim_{\theta \uparrow \theta_0} \hat{f}(e^{i\theta})$  and  $\lim_{\theta \downarrow \theta_0} \hat{f}(e^{i\theta})$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.** With  $F$  as in Theorem 1 having dilatation  $A(z)$  and  $0 < t < 1$ , let  $f(z) = f_t(z) = F(tz)/t$  so that  $f(z) \in S_H^o$  having dilatation  $a(z) = a_t(z) = A(tz) \leq k$  for some  $k < 1$ . As such, the image  $\Omega = \Omega_t = f(U)$  is a bounded domain with real analytic boundary. It suffices to approximate a fixed such  $f(z)$  by taking  $t$  close to 1.

We fix three points  $z_1, z_2, z_3$  positively oriented on  $\partial U$ , and the corresponding points  $w_j = f(z_j)$   $j = 1, 2, 3$  on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Since  $\partial \Omega$  is smooth, we may take a sequence of Jordan polygons  $P_n$  interior to  $\Omega$  having vertices  $W_n = \{w_{n,1}, \dots, w_{n,k_n}\}$  such that  $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \dots$ , and having vertices with  $w_1, w_2, w_3$  in such a way that  $P_n \rightarrow \Omega$  in the sense that  $\text{dist}(\partial \Omega, \partial P_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\text{dist}(w_{n,j}, w_{n,j+1}) \rightarrow 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k_n$ ,  $w_{n,k_n+1} = w_{n,1}$ ) uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the lengths of the  $\partial P_n$  are uniformly bounded. We note that in the continuation, the term ‘‘vertices’’ can also refer to interior points of the line segments of the polygon.

We next take a sequence of Blaschke products  $a_n(z)$  converging uniformly on compact subsets of  $U$  (in fact pointwise in  $U$ ) to  $a(z)$  [6, p. 7]. If  $a_{n,\rho}(z) = a_n(\rho z)$  ( $0 < \rho < 1$ ), then by Theorem A, there exists a homeomorphism  $f_{n,\rho}(z)$  of  $U$  onto  $P_n$  with  $f_{n,\rho}(z_j) = w_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$  and satisfying (2.3) with dilatation  $a_{n,\rho}$ .

In fact, since each  $a_{n,\rho}$  satisfies  $|a_{n,\rho}(z)| < k_{n,\rho} < 1$  for some constants  $k_{n,\rho}$  and all  $z \in U$ , the  $f_{n,\rho}$  in (2.3) are univalent harmonic mappings (cf. [4, p.6]).

Letting  $\rho \rightarrow 1$ , we can take subsequence which converges to a univalent harmonic mapping  $f_n$  of  $U$  into  $P_n$ , having dilatation  $a_n(z)$ . In fact the functions  $f_{n,\rho}(z)$  are Poisson integrals of some boundary functions  $\varphi_{n,\rho}(e^{i\theta})$  of uniformly bounded variation so that a subsequence converges to a function  $\varphi_n(e^{i\theta})$  a.e., [5, p.3] and the limit function  $f_n$  is also a Poisson integral of a radial limit function  $\psi_n(e^{i\theta})$ . Thus  $\psi_n(e^{i\theta}) = \varphi_n(e^{i\theta})$  a.e., and consequently  $f_n(z)$  has radial limits on  $\partial P_n$ , a.e., and Theorem C applies.

It is important to emphasize that the functions  $f_n$  are in  $B(n)$  since the dilatations are Blaschke products and there can be no nonconstant intervals of continuity on  $\partial U$ , since otherwise there would be an interval which is mapped onto a line segment which is not possible since the image of such an interval has to be strictly concave with respect to the interior (cf. [4, p. 116]). The 3 specified boundary points need not correspond. They either reside on the images of arcs where  $f_n$  is constant, or points of discontinuity which create the ‘‘collapsing line segments.’’

Again, the functions  $f_n(z)$  are Poisson integrals of sense preserving step functions  $\varphi_n$  that have their values in the  $P_n$  respectively. We now take a subsequence of the  $f_n$  converging to a function  $\tilde{f}$  thus having dilatation  $a(z)$ . Let  $\tilde{f}_0$  denote the a.e. radial limit function for  $\tilde{f}$ . Then,

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{f}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_U P(r, \theta - t) \tilde{f}_0(e^{it}) dt.$$

Since the functions  $\{\varphi_n\}$  are of uniformly bounded variation, as before there exists a function  $\varphi$  on  $\partial U$  and a subsequence  $\{\varphi_{n_k}\}$  converging a.e. to  $\varphi$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(e^{i\theta}) = \tilde{f}_0(e^{i\theta})$  a.e., so that in particular, the values taken by  $\tilde{f}_0(e^{i\theta})$  are a.e. in  $\partial\Omega$ .

Now  $\tilde{f}(z)$  in (2.4) satisfies the conditions of Theorem C. Since  $\tilde{f}$  has dilatation bounded strictly less than 1 it is quasiconformal in  $U$  and hence a homeomorphism on  $\bar{U}$  [9, p.98]. This implies that the set  $E$  in Theorem C is empty, and thus it follows that  $\tilde{f}$  must map  $\partial U$  homeomorphically onto  $\partial D$ . From our construction it follows further that  $\tilde{f}(z_j) = w_j$   $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Thus, from Theorem B we conclude that  $\tilde{f}(z) \equiv f(z)$ .

The functions  $\{f_n\}$  that approximate  $f$  are in  $B(n)$ . Set  $f_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_{nk}} z^k$ . Since the  $f_n$  converge locally uniformly to  $f(z)$ , we infer that  $a_{n0}, a_{n1}, b_{n1}$  converge to 0, 1, 0 respectively. Accordingly the functions

$$g_n(z) = \frac{\overline{a_{n1}}(f_n(z) - a_{n0}) - \overline{b_{n1}}(\overline{f_n(z) - a_{n0}^n})}{|a_{n1}^n|^2 - |b_{n1}^n|^2} \in B_n^0$$

are univalent harmonic mappings converging locally uniformly to  $f(z)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. GROWTH OF FUNCTIONS IN $B_n^0$

In  $B_n^0$  the  $h'$  and  $g'$  are rational functions of order at most  $n$ , with poles of order 1. In  $\overline{B_n^0}$  the  $h'$  and  $g'$  are still rational functions of order at most  $n$ , but in the closure the poles may coalesce to create poles of higher order. If  $\zeta_k$  is such a point, then locally the corresponding terms in the series are of the form  $(z - \zeta_k)^{-m_k} P(z - \zeta_k)$  for  $h'$  and  $(z - \zeta_k)^{-m_k} Q(z - \zeta_k)$  where  $P$  and  $Q$  are polynomials. Since  $g'(z) = a(z)h'(z)$  where  $a(z)$  is a finite Blaschke product, it follows that  $|P(\zeta_k)| = |Q(\zeta_k)|$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f = h + g \in \overline{B_n^o}$  and  $h$  has a pole at  $\zeta \in \partial U$ , then the order of the pole is at most 3.*

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Arguing by contradiction, we assume that  $h$  has a pole of order  $k$  at least 4, and we consider only even  $k$ . The odd case is similar. We may assume that  $\zeta = 1$ .

As described above, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} w = f(z) &= \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{(z-1)^k} + \frac{e^{i\beta}}{(\bar{z}-1)^k} + \text{lower order terms} \\ &= e^{i(\alpha+\beta)/2} \left( \frac{e^{i(\alpha-\beta)/2}}{(z-1)^k} + \frac{e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)/2}}{(\bar{z}-1)^k} \right) + \text{lower order terms} \\ &= 2e^{i(\alpha+\beta)/2} \Re e \frac{e^{i(\alpha-\beta)/2}}{(z-1)^k} + \text{lower order terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $z-1 = re^{i\varphi}$  and  $(\alpha-\beta)/2 = \varphi_0$ ,  $(\alpha+\beta)/2 = \varphi_1$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad w = f(z) = 2e^{i\varphi_1} \frac{\cos k(\varphi - \varphi_0/k)}{r^k} + \text{lower order terms.}$$

By a rotation we may ignore the term  $e^{i\varphi_1}$  in (3.1).

We require some notation. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Let  $\Delta = \Delta(\varepsilon, \delta)$  be the portion of  $U$  between  $|z-1| = \varepsilon$  and  $|z-1| = \varepsilon^\delta$ . The boundary of  $\Delta$  is a simple closed curve and, for small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varphi$  ranges on an interval only slightly smaller than  $(\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ . Therefore, since  $k \geq 4$ , on the side where  $|z-1| = \varepsilon$  there will be at least 3 consecutive intervals of the form  $\alpha < k(\varphi - \varphi_0/k) < \alpha + \pi$ . Let  $I_0$  be the middle one of a set of 3 consecutive intervals, and

$$W = \{w : |\Re e w| < \varepsilon^{-(1+\delta)/2}\}.$$

For small  $\varepsilon$ , a portion of  $f(I_0)$  extends outside of  $W$  and the rest inside.. We assume that it is on the right side; the proof for the left side would be similar.

As  $\varphi$  increases, the portion of  $f(I_0)$  outside  $W$  has an initial value  $x + iy_1$  and terminal value  $x + iy_2$ . Since  $f(\partial\Delta)$  is sense preserving, it must be that  $y_1 > y_2$ . Regarding the images of the two intervals adjacent to  $I_0$ , again because of the sense preserving nature of  $f(\partial\Delta)$ , the portions of their images as they exit and reenter  $W$  on the left side must turn towards each other. This means that there can be no accommodation for another portion of the image of  $f(\partial\Delta)$  to exit again on the right side without crossing. Thus it cannot be that  $k \geq 4$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. L. Bers and L. Nirenberg, *On a representation theorem for linear elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients and its applications*, Convegno Internazionale sulle Equazioni Lineari alle Derivate Parziali, Trieste, 1954, 1-30, Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 1955.
2. D. Bshouty and A. Weitsman, *On the Gauss map of minimal graphs*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 48 (2003), no. 4, 339–346.
3. J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I., 9 (1984), 3-25.
4. P. Duren, *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 2004.
5. P. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, 1970.
6. J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, 1981.
7. W. Hengartner and G. Schober, *Harmonic mappings with given dilatation*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 33 (1986), 473-483.
8. H. Jenkins and J. Serrin, *Variational problems of minimal surface type II. Boundary value problems for the minimal surface equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 21 (1965/66), 321–342.
9. O. Lehto and K. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal mappings in the plane*, Springer-Verlag, 1965.
10. R. McLeod, J. Gergen, and F. Dressel, *Uniqueness of mapping pairs for elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J., 24 (1957), 173-181.
11. T. Sheil-Small, *On the Fourier series of a step function*, Michigan Math. J., 36 (1989), 459-475.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-1395

EMAIL: WEITSMAN@PURDUE.EDU