

## Homework 3

Due on Sep 15th before 10am on gradescope.

1. (10 pts) Prove that

(a) the limit of  $\left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$  is 1,

(b) the limit of  $n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  is 0.

Use only the Squeeze Theorem and Theorem 5.1 (Page 61). You cannot use any other theorems which have not been proven in class. For instance, we can easily verify  $1/n \rightarrow 0$  by definition. Then by Linear and Product Theorems, we have

$$1/n \rightarrow 0 \implies 1 + 1/n \rightarrow 1 \implies (1 + 1/n)^2 \rightarrow 1.$$

2. (20 pts) Page 74, 5.2/3. Let  $a_n = \sqrt{1} + \sqrt{2} + \cdots + \sqrt{n}$ . Prove that  $a_n \sim \frac{2}{3}n^{\frac{3}{2}}$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{\frac{2}{3}n^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 1.$$

**Hint:**  $\sqrt{k}$  is the area of rectangle with width 1 and height  $\sqrt{k}$ . And the point  $(k, \sqrt{k})$  lies on the curve  $y = \sqrt{x}$ . There are two ways to generate such a rectangle: use the interval  $[k-1, k]$  as the shorter side or use the interval  $[k, k+1]$  as the shorter side. If using  $[k-1, k]$ , then the rectangle's area is larger than area below the increasing curve  $y = \sqrt{x}$  on the same interval  $[k-1, k]$ . If using  $[k, k+1]$ , then the rectangle's area is smaller than area below the curve on the same interval  $[k, k+1]$ . We obtain a lower and an upper estimate of  $a_n$  by using these two different ways. You can use the limits proven in Problem 1 directly.

3. (20 pts) Page 74, 5.3/6. Prove that  $a_{n+1}/a_n \rightarrow 0 \implies a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

**Hint:** First show  $|a_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{2}|a_n|, n \gg 1$ . Then show  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  by using  $|a_{n+1}| < \frac{1}{2}|a_n|, n \gg 1$ .

4. (10 pts) Page 75, Problem 5-1.

**Hint:** The given "proof" is problematic because the proof for convergence of  $\sqrt{a_n}$  is not provided. There are many ways to establish a valid proof. For instance, if we want to try proof by contradiction, then by definition of the limit, negation of  $\sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \sqrt{L}$  means that there exists a

particular number  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t. for any integer  $N$ , there exists  $n \geq N$  s.t.  $|\sqrt{a_n} - \sqrt{L}| \geq \epsilon$ .

To avoid any confusion with conflict of symbols, we can replace  $\epsilon$  by a different symbol  $c$  and replace  $n$  by  $m$ . So if we assume  $\sqrt{a_n} \rightarrow \sqrt{L}$  is not true, then there exists a particular number  $c > 0$  s.t. for any integer  $N$ , there exists  $m \geq N$  s.t.  $|\sqrt{a_m} - \sqrt{L}| \geq c$ .

5. (20 pts) Page 75, Problem 5-2.

Assume  $a_{n+1}/a_n \rightarrow L < 1$  and  $a_n > 0$ . Prove that (a)  $\{a_n\}$  is decreasing for  $n$  large; (b)  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

For (b), just show one proof (either one you prefer). This problem is similar to Problem 3 (replace  $1/2$  by a positive constant  $c < 1$ , the same proof should still work).

6. (20 pts) Page 75, Problem 5-7.

Define a sequence  $a_n$ , which satisfies  $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{2a_n}$ ,  $a_0 > 0$ . Prove that

(a)  $a_n$  is monotone and bounded.

(b) Determine the limit of  $a_n$ , and show it is independent of the choice of  $a_0 > 0$ .

**Hint:**

- To show it's monotone: notice that  $a_{n+1} \geq a_n \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{2a_n} \geq a_n \Leftrightarrow a_n \leq 2$ . So we can show  $a_0 \geq 2 \Rightarrow 2 \leq a_{n+1} \leq a_n$  by Mathematical Induction (read A.4): first if assuming  $a_0 \geq 2$ , we have  $a_1 \geq a_0$  and  $a_1 = \sqrt{2a_0} \geq \sqrt{4} = 2$ ; second, assume  $2 \leq a_n \leq a_{n-1}$ , we have  $a_{n+1} \leq a_n$  and  $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{2a_n} \geq \sqrt{4} = 2$ . Similarly, discuss the case  $a_0 \leq 2$ .

- The limit should be 2 (but why?).

- To prove the limit, introduce error term  $e_n = a_n - 2$ . For the case  $a_0 \geq 2$ , we have  $e_n \geq 0$  and

$$(e_{n+1} + 2) = \sqrt{2(e_n + 2)} \Rightarrow (e_{n+1} + 2)^2 = 2(e_n + 2).$$

Try to derive a useful recursive inequality for  $e_{n+1}$  and  $e_n$  so that you can establish lower ( $e_n \geq 0$ ) and upper bounds for  $e_n$  to show  $e_n \rightarrow 0$  by Squeeze Theorem.